2012 - 2013 Forest Inventory Southwest Region, Mississippi









ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Mississippi Institute for Forest Inventory acknowledges the Mississippi Forestry Commission for its role in collecting field data. MIFI also acknowledges the College of Forest Resources and the Forest and Wildlife Research Center at Mississippi State University for assistance with development of the timber inventory methodology and software. ThIS inventory would not be possible without the cooperation of public agencies, including the Mississippi Automated Resource Information System (MARIS) for providing auxiliary data. Finally, MIFI extends a sincere debt of gratitude to private landowners in providing access to measurement plots. The Mississippi Land Water and Timber Resources Board provided a grant to complete the inventory project.

Mississippi Institute for Forest Inventory

Wayne Tucker - Retired Executive Director

Mississippi Forestry Commission

Charlie Morgan - Mississippi State Forester Richard McInnis - Forest Management Chief Randy Chapin - Southwest District Forester Dale Oliver - Capitol District Forester Steven Williams – Lawrence/Walthall Counties Nelson Hearn - Pike County Baxter Rowley – Marion County John Polk – Jefferson Davis County Jim Philips - Assistant District Forester Stuart Sellers – Assistant District Forester Everett Floyd – Assistant District Forester John Locke - Assistant District Forester Charles Welborn - Adams/Wilkinson Counties Travis Stewart – Amite County Miles Henderson - Copiah County Tommy Walker – Claiborne County Rick Hollis - Jefferson/Franklin Counties Randy Giachelli – Hinds County Howard Stogner – Lincoln County Sam Gual – Madison County Mike Alsworth - Rankin County Ben Vanderford – Simpson County MFC Forest Inventory and Spatial Analysis Team (FIST) Buck Buchanan – Forest Technology Forester Van Crump - Forest Technology Forester Josh Skidmore – Forest Technology Forester Randal Romedy - Retired GIS Specialist

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments
Executive Summary 1
KiOR - A Mississippi Success Story
Remote Sensing
Land Cover
Ownership
Growth
Economic Impact
Forces of Change
Population Dynamics
A Brief History of Mississippi's Forests
The Continuing Role of Pine Plantations
Inventory Methods
Reliability of Data
District Volume
Obtaining Additional Help 16
Glossary of Terms

<u>Credits</u> Layout Design - Patrick Glass Editors - Angel Riggs, PhD Wayne Tucker Patrick Glass Photos - Nate Irby - Front Cover Patrick Glass Wayne Tucker

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Mississippi Institute for Forest Inventory (MIFI) was created in 2002 to inventory the state's forest resources and promote this sector of Mississippi's economy based on these resources. While this information is currently provided by the United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service - Forest Inventory and Analysis assessments, the inventory is only reliable at the state or half-state level. In order to formulate sound forest policies and stimulate the forest resource economic sector, a real-time inventory of resources is necessary.

MIFI uses a contemporary inventory methodology that integrates satellite-based remote sensing, stratified random sampling theory, and innovative measurement technology to produce a near real-time inventory of the forest resources in the state. Emphasis is placed on the need at the local level for reliable estimates of the current timber volume and growth potential of the forest resources. Geographical constraints are incorporated in the inventory design for precision estimates in areas as small as an individual county.

The inventory design system was first introduced in a pilot-scale study of four counties within the state of Mississippi and replicated again within the state of Texas. To begin the process for the entire state, the state was divided into five inventory districts with a consecutive rotation. Funding was provided through a grant from the Mississippi Land, Water and Timber Resources Board to re-inventory 15 counties in the Southwest district of Mississippi. This district was inventoried first in 2005 because of the losses of pulp, solid wood, and engineered wood product production facilities.

While current economic factors rely heavily on the production of timber-based products, the inventory provides much more information. The inventory, directly and indirectly, assesses non- timber production values related to wildlife, recreation, alternative fuels, climate change, and a myriad of other concerns, at a scale ranging from local to global in perspective. The inventory provides a means for multi-use forest management and planning.

The inventory for each district is delivered both in writing and via the World Wide Web. Our website is the primary tool for retrieving inventory information. An interface allows the user to analyze inventory results and query specific geographic locations. To learn more about MIFI or access the inventory interface, visit either <u>www.mifi.ms.gov</u> or <u>www.mfc.ms.gov</u>.

Respectfully,

Mississippi Institute for Forest Inventory/Mississippi Forestry Commission



KIOR - A MISSISSIPPI SUCCESS STORY

On August 30, 2010 KiOR Inc. issued a press release announcing an unprecedented partnership with the State of Mississippi. Established under the administration of former Gov. Haley Barbour the collaboration was to establish five next-generation facilities that would convert cellulose from wood into bio-crude that is then processed into gasoline and diesel fuel. According to the agreement, KiOR will build three of the five facilities by 2015. The project will deliver more than 1,000 direct and indirect jobs and an estimated \$500 million worth of investment. In a recent press release about the partnership issued September 26, 2013 by KiOR, Inc. the company stated:

KiOR Announces a Project to Double Columbus Production Capacity.

\$50 MILLION JOINTLY COMMITTED TO PROJECT BY VINOD KHOSLA AND KHOSLA VENTURES ENABLES LONG-TERM BUSINESS PLAN OF LARGER STANDARD SCALE COMMERCIAL FACILITIES

PASADENA, Texas, Sept. 26, 2013 (GLOBE NEWSWIRE) -- KiOR, Inc. (Nasdaq:KIOR) announced today that it is pursuing plans to double production capacity at its Columbus, Mississippi, cellulosic fuels facility through construction of a second facility incorporating KiOR's commercially proven technology. KiOR estimates that the project — Columbus II — will cost approximately \$225 million, will break ground within 90 days of the Company raising sufficient equity and debt capital to commence the project, and will take approximately 18 months to construct and start up. Once completed with its latest technology improvements, KiOR expects that the Columbus II project will allow each Columbus facility to achieve greater yields, production capacity and feedstock flexibility than the original design basis for the existing Columbus facility, enabling KiOR to more quickly make progress towards its long-term goal of 92 gallons per bone dry ton of biomass. ...

The partnership established under Barbour's administration utilized information provided by MIFI to firmly establish the sustainable availability of feedstocks. The MIFI information was a significant contribution to the continued success of that collaboration and implicitly reinforces the necessity of having a sustainable inventory methodology to continue the success of endeavours such as this one.



REMOTE SENSING

MIFI represents an advancement of forest inventory philosophy, the first production scale integration of satellite remote sensing and forest inventory. Neither of the technologies can separately answer the two most important questions posed with forest resource assessment:

- How much volume is present?
- Where is that volume located?

These two technologies are brought together through the use of a Geographical Information System (GIS). By combining spatial data as derived from satellite imagery through classification, and Global Positioning System (GPS) linked attribute data obtained from ground measurements; the GIS answers the questions associated with the forest resource assessment. Mississippi Forest Land Cover Classification





State Forest Land Cover Classification Percentages

LAND COVER

The total productive land area of Mississippi is 30,521,018 acres. In 2003, the area of forestland totaled 19.79 million acres, or 64.85%, of the land area in Mississippi. Pine forests cover 6.62 million acres, or 33.45%, of the forested area. Hardwood and oak-pine timber types combine to occupy more than 10.5 million acres, or 53.11%, of the state's timberland. Land that is regenerating as forest area, but is yet unclassified, is 2.66 million acres, or 13.45%, of the current forested area.



OWNERSHIP

Parcel ownership for land in Mississippi is predominated by family. Traditional family legacy subdivides large holdings into smaller parcels. Families acknowledge the legal distinction in ownership of the land but continue to manage the parcels as contiguous properties.

Mississippi recently began transitioning to a digital format for property records. However, only corporate and governmental ownership records are available in geo-referenced digital formats. MIFI focuses on the use of these records to create ownership descriptions. By process of elimination, the non-industrial private land ownership patterns can be discerned.

- Corporate timberland currently accounts for 3.1 million acres.
- Publicly owned federal timberland currently accounts for 2.2 million acres.
- Publicly owned state timberland currently accounts for approximately 1 million acres.
- Native American timberland in Mississippi amounts to approximately 25,000 acres.
- Almost 80% of the timberland in Mississippi is owned by private citizens.

Family forest owners dominate the private ownership group with 350,000 landowners who control parcels of 10 acres or greater. Mississippi Land Ownership



GROWTH

Sustainability of the forest resource is necessary to foster economic viability. Archival satellite imagery is used to assess the trend in resource utilization. The trend analysis utilizes satellite imagery that is classified into a forest/non-forest map of the state on an approximate five-year cycle dating from 1973 to present.

Softwood growth rates represent a return on investment realized as the increase in volume over a given length of time and reported as an annualized percentage rate. The ability to quickly and repeatedly determine growth rates in pines, coupled with the dominance of pine volume in the market mandate the prevalence of softwood growth rates. This is not to say that hardwood growth rates are of less importance. However, the requirements to measure hardwood annual growth in the field are prohibitive and a legacy of hardwood growth and yield research hinder those measurements.

- Softwood growth rate for the Southwest MIFI District is 8.8%.
- Hardwood growth rate for the Southwest MIFI District is 3.3%.

Mississippi Land Cover Age Classification



These growth rates can be compared to the interest rate paid upon a savings account and provide useful tools for investment analysis. The average current rate for a five-year certificate of deposit is 1.84%. Pine timber production that is six times as profitable when compared to a savings account represents a competitive alternative for investors.

The forest land cover age classification map shows the age distribution of Mississippi's forests. It also depicts the focus of harvesting activity throughout the years. The majority of harvesting occurs in a band in the center of the state from North to South and in the lower portion of the state below the I-20 corridor.



ECONOMIC IMPACT

Roundwood production is the mainstay of Mississippi's forest-based economy. Hardwood and softwood production supply the markets for everything from furniture and flooring raw material to construction-grade solid wood products.

- Forestry, logging, primary wood products, and furniture manufacturing contribute between \$11 billion and \$14 billion annually to the state's economy.
- Approximately 54,000 individuals are directly employed in logging, forestry and other wood-processing industries, with a combined income of \$ 1.1 billion.



A rapid and reliable measure of sustainability is the growth-to-drain ratio calculated by dividing the total annual volume growth by the total annul volume removals. This measure of sustainability is a way of determining if the forest is being utilized to its current maximum potential without creating conditions that will result in reduction or total loss of forest resources in the future. The current growth-to-drain ratio for Southwest Mississippi is greater than 2.0. This number means that this region of the state is producing approximately 100% more volume than is being utilized. Implications of growth/drain ratios greater than 1.5 include greater risks of wildfire, forest health issues related to insect and disease outbreaks, and obvious economic challenges related to reduced industrial utilization.

For further information pertaining to utilization or to obtain specific growth/drain ratio estimates for counties or portions of the SW Region please contact a MIFI representative or the Mississippi Forestry Commission.

Forces of Change

Mississippi's forestland is dynamic and constantly changing. The primary driving force in change is the human element. Population centers are expanding. The resulting landscape is a mixture of forest and urban land cover often within close proximity to each other.

Natural forces typically do not result in loss of forestland. Insects and disease are always present and often influence stand structure throughout all stages of development. Other natural events can reshape the state's forest in a matter of hours. Mississippi has not experienced a major hurricane event since 2005, when hurricane Katrina traversed the entire length of the state. The timber damaged by Katrina was equivalent to a complete year's worth of harvest activity. Tornadic activity can be just as severe in its devastating effects to timber as demonstrated by the 2011 events, that struck northern Mississippi. Fortunately, the resilience of the forest and underlying land base allow for reestablishment of forest structure and maintains forest sustainability.

Whether natural or human induced, long-term or short-term, permanent or temporary, Mississippi's forestlands are constantly changing. These changes are reflected in the current condition of the State's forests as evidenced by trends in land use, stand composition, estimates of wood volume, and rates of net annual growth, removals, and mortality. The effects extend to overall forest health, as well as water quality, recreation potential, future timber availability and other aspects of forestland use and condition.



Population Dynamics

Increasing human population, the root cause of increased urbanization, can signal changes in demands on forest resources. The population of Mississippi in 2000 was approximately 2.84 million people.

The population increased to 2.97 million people in 2010. The majority of this increase occurred in proximity to established metropolitan areas including: DeSoto County near Memphis, TN; the counties surrounding the Jackson metroplex of Hinds, Madison, and Rankin; the vicinity of Hattiesburg; and the Mississippi Gulf Coast.

Increased human population can interfere with forest management by reducing the size of holdings and the management options available. Forest population density (FPD) is the number of people per square mile of forestland measured at the county level. Counties with an FPD of 0 to 100 are generally considered to be rural. An FPD approaching 1,000 indicates a saturated urban center. The Mississippi average FPD is 92 for the entire state.

FPD changes are not consistent throughout Mississippi due to varied changes in forest area and fluctuations in population within a county. Urban centers tend to establish and expand along transportation corridors. The exception to the utility of FPD as an indicator of urbanization is readily demonstrated when analyzing the "Delta" counties of Mississippi. Sunflower County has a total land area of 707 square miles and approximately 36,000 acres of forestland. The population for Sunflower County, according to the 2012 Census, was 28,431. These statistics would compute to a FPD of 505 for Sunflower County, indicating a highly urbanized area.

A Brief History of Mississippi's Forests

From the earliest occupation of Mississippi by Native Americans, the forests have been the primary livelihood of its residents. Wood products were used to manufacture dwellings, and wildlife in the forest represented both a source of food and trade goods. If by definition a "virgin forest" is a forest that has been uninfluenced by humans, then virgin forests have not existed in Mississippi since the pre-Colombian era.

Agriculture was the major force that shaped early Mississippi landscapes. The practice of slash-and-burn agriculture of early settlers resulted in a highly fragmented landscape of forests that exhibited all the stages of secession. At the beginning of the 20th century, large lumbering firms of the Northeast and Great Lakes regions looked for new resources as the large growth timber of those regions was exhausted. The presence of rail networks and largely untapped reserves of timber in the Southeast attracted their attention. Thus, mechanized timber production began in Mississippi.

Until the late 1930s, the primary focus of forestry was the production of timber, with little regard for scientificbased management. Professional foresters began to foster the concept of actively managing pine forestland that could meet the demand for timber related products. As environmental awareness increased, management of forestland began to take a multi-use approach. Aesthetics, recreation, and water quality are principles that professional foresters are now trained to incorporate into their management practices.





The Continuing Role of Pine Plantations

A little more than 40 years ago, planted pine stands occupied fewer than 2 million acres in the South. By the late 1990s pine plantations accounted for nearly half of all pine stands. The dramatic increase in pine plantations has become one of the defining issues in southern forest management and is an issue in Mississippi as well.

Pine stands are often mechanically regenerated after harvest to ensure the site remains in production as a pine forest type. Since the inception of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) in 1985, combined with the Forest Resource Development Program (FRDP) and the Forest Incentive Program (FIP) for cost sharing, establishment of plantations in Mississippi has totaled 2,146,254 acres.

This represents 11% of the total timberland area and nearly a third of the pine timber area in Mississippi. When well managed, planted pines have substantially lower mortality rates and higher rates of net annual growth, averaging nearly 128 cubic feet of wood growth per acre per year, compared to 76 cubic feet for natural pine stands.

Inventory Methods

The Mississippi Institute for Forest Inventory began the inventory in 2004. The sampling scheme is significantly different than traditional forest surveys, which produce estimates for an entire state. That traditional type of analysis prohibits the estimates of areas equivalent to the size of a county. MIFI directs sampling in a two stage process: analysis of satellite-based remote sensing with statistical validation for depicting the land cover types and subsequent change through time; and intensive ground measurement of the forest timber for a region or district of the state. This information provides statistical precision for county level estimates that can be used for economic development.

The remote sensing effort utilizes the spectral reflectance of vegetation captured in six or seven spectral bands by the LandSat satellite during both active and dormant seasons. Through a combination of band analyses and mathematical modeling, primary classifications of water, non-forest, pine, hardwood, and mixed pine-hardwood classes are obtained. Additional imagery from previous surveys is analyzed and then layered to represent the change in land cover over time. This stacking effect creates another classification: immature forest vegetation, which lacks maturity to allow for assignment in one of the dominant forestland cover classifications.

The ground-based measurements were implemented on a one-fifth acre fixed radius plot located randomly from the forest cover classification of the remotely sensed data. Sawtimber, pole and veneer volume were sampled and characteristics associated with stand dynamics were measured. A one-tenth acre plot was incorporated to measure the volume of product classes used to produce fiber for the pulp industry. Finally, a one-twentieth acre plot was inventoried to measure non- merchantable stems that range from 1.0 to 4.5 inches in diameter at breast height.

In the event there was no merchantable material located on a plot, such as following a harvest, a one-hundredth acre plot was established to measure reproduction material that will develop into a future timber stand. A representative sample of the current forest conditions was obtained at each sample location for all timber species, from the smallest seedling to the largest tree encountered on any of the plots. Individual tree attributes measured include species, product, observable damage, diameter at breast height, total height, height to absolute diameter limits for pulpwood and saw timber volume, crown length, bark thickness, 5- and 10-year radial growth, and age. Stand level attributes recorded include slope, size class, apparent stand level damages, over story composition with reference to the remote sensing products, logging operability, physiographic position, Society of American Foresters forest cover type designation, litter depth, and USFS fuel model designation.

To avoid statistical confounding, plots were located within a strictly homogenous stand condition. In the event an operational or management activity disrupted the proposed plot site (e.g. the establishment of a right-of-way, property thinning, etc.), the plot was shifted a specified distance to the stand that exhibited the higher heterogeneity in volume. Estimates of timber volume and forest classifications were derived from tree measurements and classifications made at these locations. Volumes for individual tally trees were computed using profile equations for each of the 60 major species in Mississippi.

Reliability of Data

The measure of reliability of inventory statistics is provided by sampling errors. MIFI inventories supported by all the allocated sample plots are designed to achieve reliable statistical precision (\pm 15% at 95% confidence) at the county level for total cubic-foot volume outside bark. However, users should note that sampling error increases at the same level of confidence, as the number of plots is lowered by reducing the area. Sampling errors are often unacceptably high for small components of the total resource. The opposite occurs when estimates are derived from larger areas. Sampling errors and confidence limits mean that the chances are 95 times out of 100 that the true population value is within the limits indicated by the range of the sampling error.



District Volume

Mississippi was divided into five districts based on geography, physiography, economic and political characteristics. The loss of several primary wood-using facilities in the Southwest portion of the state dictated that priority should be given to this region for conducting the initial inventory. The need to obtain a current inventory of available timber with statistical reliability sufficient to entice new industry into the region was crucial for maintaining the economic livelihood of this rural portion of the state. This report presents the results of the second assessment of the resources eight years after the first inventory was completed.



Southwest Region Inventory Counties





The following tables report the forest cover types, volumes, and sampling errors associated with the 15 counties of the Southwest MIFI district. Also included are the estimates for pine growth and non-commercial forest regeneration that will provide the future timber supply. All volumes are expressed in 100s of cubic feet outside bark. Stem counts are expressed in 1,000s.

MIFI Southwest Region Summary				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Non-Forest	1,247,279			
Pine	2,653,214	73,700,841	53,178,081	2.9
Hardwood	1,938,615	39,704,650	26,479,520	3.7
Forested	4,595,388	113,533,361	79,750,764	2.3
Total	5,842,666			

Adams County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	55,168	1,884,848	1,712,418	8.4
Hardwood	163,491	4,496,222	3,978,198	6.4
Forested	218,770	6,385,792	5,694,917	5.2

Amite County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	305,466	11,373,058	8,919,155	7.5
Hardwood	55,660	1,637,417	1,266,227	19.5
Forested	362,549	13,070,133	10,230,600	6.9

Claiborne County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	86,719	2,497,494	1,691,984	5.9
Hardwood	145,669	3,339,908	2,161,482	10.5
Forested	232,543	5,841,763	3,855,721	6.9

Copiah County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	195,905	5,084,352	3,549,964	12.4
Hardwood	137,104	3,673,685	2,761,221	13.7
Forested	333,128	8,760,233	6,312,807	9.2

Franklin County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	233,155	8,402,458	6,587,993	9.4
Hardwood	48,466	1,132,109	774,326	14.5
Forested	282,118	9,557,115	7,382,505	8.1

Hinds County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	92,195	3,167,736	1,356,301	21.7
Hardwood	199,144	5,823,223	2,062,538	11.1
Forested	291,428	8,993,973	3,420,604	10.1

Jefferson County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	114,079	3,650,069	2,395,704	14.5
Hardwood	105,150	2,237,968	1,437,750	19.2
Forested	219,607	5,902,001	3,839,520	11.7

Lawrence County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	114,793	4,103,647	2,643,802	14.9
Hardwood	52,374	1,746,728	1,004,368	20.8
Forested	167,189	5,851,338	3,648,928	12.0

Lincoln County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	186,610	6,091,548	4,374,305	10.1
Hardwood	56,072	1,431,382	1,007,722	14.2
Forested	242,815	7,526,455	5,383,585	8.4

Madison County				
Strata	Acres	Pulpwood Volume	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %
Pine	146,203	4,074,730	1,920,959	12.7
Hardwood	98,294	2,356,870	1,100,371	14.7
Forested	244,497	6,431,600	3,021,330	9.5

Pike County						
Strata	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %				
Pine	131,057	4,113,464	4,113,464 3,355,832			
Hardwood 29,577		700,291 523,265		24.1		
Forested	160,645	4,814,036	3,879,180	9.1		

Rankin County							
Strata Acres Pulpwood Volume Sawtimber Volume Sampl							
Pine	191,815	4,874,975	3,169,328	9.3			
Hardwood	Hardwood 141,390		2,478,158	12.9			
Forested	333,216	8,297,534	5,647,564	7.6			

Simpson County							
Strata Acres Pulpwood Volume Sawtimber Volume Samplin							
Pine	Pine 105,702		1,943,018	17.2			
Hardwood	Hardwood 118,053		2,027,761	13.1			
Forested	223,755	5,488,783	3,970,780	10.6			

Walthall County						
Strata	Sawtimber Volume	Sampling Error %				
Pine	126,848	2,900,113	1,981,492	7.7		
Hardwood	27,538	516,409	274,446	12.3		
Forested	154,408	3,417,063	2,256,382	6.6		

Wilkinson County							
Strata Acres Pulpwood Volume Sawtimber Volume Sampling							
Pine	Pine 181,965		7,576,175	5.8			
Hardwood 160,209		5,093,361 4,079,492		11.8			
Forested	342,477	13,898,547	11,664,145	6.2			



	Number of Stems by Diameter Class			5-yr Projected Pine Volume		Annual Growth Rate (%)		
County	1-inch	2-inch	3-inch	4-inch	Pulpwood	Sawtimber	Pulpwood	Sawtimber
Adams	33,161	23,560	15,541	9,404	2,528,322	2,244,550	6.1	5.6
Amite	55,506	57,201	27,346	20,135	6,387,330	13,945,311	7.6	9.4
Claiborne	37,249	26,094	16,998	9,047	3,532,200	2,993,456	7.2	12.1
Copiah	163,422	68,525	33,135	23,156	7,793,310	5,798,606	8.9	10.3
Franklin	28,163	38,554	22,995	15,874	11,579,553	9,418,838	6.6	7.4
Hinds	46,544	48,904	29,367	15,934	4,360,878	3,460,245	6.6	20.6
Jefferson	21,894	23,926	19,348	12,309	5,021,065	3,931,323	6.6	10.4
Lawrence	34,877	33,192	16,799	11,148	6,482,904	5,269,162	9.6	14.8
Lincoln	18,604	30,739	21,390	14,483	8,966,663	7,319,174	8.0	10.8
Madison	53,586	40,708	19,702	25,281	6,348,270	4,943,321	9.3	20.8
Pike	51,947	35,359	21,919	13,976	6,781,452	5,341,199	10.5	9.7
Rankin	52,925	37,313	26,911	17,681	7,422,801	5,770,561	8.8	12.7
Simpson	38,599	43,066	23,507	14,075	4,588,672	3,454,814	10.9	12.2
Walthall	17,048	24,644	13,509	8,202	4,499,549	3,622,343	9.2	12.8
Wilkinson	42,046	42,207	28,619	16,343	10,288,929	9,181,936	3.2	3.9
Total	695,570	573,992	337,094	227,048	106,581,898	86,694,839	7.7	10.3

Obtaining Additional Help

For additional assistance with the Dynamic Reporter software, the MIFI website, or for a compact disc copy of the Dynamic Reporter Installation, contact:

Brian Wharton, State FIA Coordinator 660 North Street, Suite 300 Jackson, Mississippi 39202 (601) 906-3512 cell <u>bwharton@mfc.state.ms.us</u>



Glossary of Terms

<u>Basal area</u>. The area in square feet of the cross section at breast height of a single tree or of all the trees in a stand, usually expressed in square feet per acre.

<u>Commercial species</u>. Tree species currently or potentially suitable for industrial wood products.

<u>CRP</u>. The Conservation Reserve Program, a major Federal afforestation program authorized by the 1985 Farm Bill.

<u>D.b.h</u>. Tree diameter in inches (outside bark) at breast height (4.5 feet above ground).

<u>Diameter Class</u>. A classification of trees based on tree d.b.h. One-inch diameter classes are commonly used. For example, the 6-inch class includes trees 5.6 through 6.5 inches d.b.h.

<u>D.o.b</u>. (diameter outside bark) Stem diameter including bark.

<u>Forest Land</u>. Land at least 10 percent stocked by forest trees of any size, or formerly having had such tree cover and not currently developed for nonforest use. The minimum area considered for classification is 1 acre.

<u>Forest management type</u>. A classification of timberland based on forest type and stand origin.

<u>Forest type</u>. A classification of forest land based on the species forming a plurality of live-tree stocking. Major Mississippi forest-type groups are:

<u>Longleaf-slash pine</u>. Forests in which longleaf or slash pine, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include oak, hickory, and gum)

<u>Loblolly-shortleaf pine</u>. Forests in which loblolly pine, shortleaf pine, or other southern yellow pines, except longleaf or slash pine, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include oak, hickory and gum) <u>Oak-pine</u>. Forests in which hardwoods (usually upland oaks) constitute a plurality of the stocking but in which pines account for 25 to 50 percent of the stocking. (Common associates include gum, hickory, and yellow- poplar)

<u>Oak-hickory</u>. Forests in which upland oaks or hickory, singly or in combination, constitutes a plurality of the stocking, except where pines account for 25 to 50 percent, in which case the stand would be classified oak- pine. (Common associates include yellow-poplar elm, maple, and black walnut)

<u>Oak-gum-cypress</u>. Bottom-land forests in which tupelo, blackgum, sweetgum, oaks, or southern cypress, singly or in combination, constitutes a plurality of the stocking, except where pines account for 25 to 50 percent, in which case the stand would be classified oak-pine. (Common associates include cottonwood, willow, ash, elm, hackberry, and maple)

<u>Elm-ash-cottonwood</u>. Forests in which elm, ash, or cottonwood, singly or in combination, constitutes a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include willow, sycamore, beech, and maple)

<u>Maple-beech-birch</u>. Forests in which maple, beech, or yellow birch, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include hemlock, elm, basswood, and white pine)

Nonstocked stands. Stands less than 10 percent stocked with live trees.

<u>Pine plantation</u>. Stands that (a) have been artificially regenerated by planting or direct seeding, (b) are classed as a pine or other softwood forest type, and (c) have at least 10 percent stocking.

<u>Natural pine</u>. Stands that (a) have not been artificially regenerated, (b) are classed as a pine or other softwood forest type, and (c) have at least 10 percent stocking.

<u>Oak-pine</u>. Stands that (a) have at least 10 percent stocking and classed as a forest type of oak-pine.

<u>Upland hardwood</u>. Stands that have at least 10 percent stocking and classed as an oak-hickory or maple-beech-birch forest type.

<u>Lowland hardwood</u>. Stands that have at least 10 percent stocking with a forest type of oak-gum-cypress, elm-ash- cottonwood, palm, or other tropical.

Nonstocked stand. Stands less than 10 percent stocked with live trees.

<u>GIS</u> - Geographical Information System. Combines traditional mapping skills with spatially referenced data in a computer to provide advanced maps.

<u>Hardwoods</u>. Dicotyledonous trees, usually broadleaf and deciduous.

<u>Hard hardwoods</u>. Hardwood species with an average specific gravity greater than 0.50 such as oaks, hard maples, hickories, and beech.

<u>Soft hardwoods</u>. Hardwood species with an average specific gravity of .50 or less, such as gums, yellow poplar, cottonwoods, red maple, basswoods, and willows.

<u>Industrial wood</u>. All roundwood products except fuelwood.

Land area. The area of dry land and land temporarily or partly covered by water, such as marshes, swamps, and river floodplains (omitting tidal flats below mean high tide), streams sloughs, estuaries, and canals less than 200 feet wide, and lakes, reservoirs, and ponds less than 4.5 acres in area.

<u>Live trees</u>. All living trees, all size classes, all tree classes, and both commercial and noncommercial species are included.

<u>Log Grade</u>. A classification of logs based on external characteristics indicating quality or value.

<u>Logging residues</u>. The unused merchantable portion of growing-stock trees cut or destroyed during logging operations.

<u>Noncommercial species</u>. Tree species of typically small size, poor form, or inferior quality that normally do not develop into trees suitable for industrial wood products.

<u>Nonforest land</u>. Land that has never supported forests and land formerly forested where timber production is precluded by development for other uses.

Nonstocked stands. Stands less than 10 percent stocked with live trees.

<u>Ownership</u>. The property owned by one ownership unit, including all parcels of land in the United States.

<u>National forest land</u>. Forest land that has been legally designated as national forests or purchase units, and other land under the administration of the Forest Service, including experimental areas and Bank head-Jones Title III land.

<u>Forest industry land</u>. Land owned by companies or individuals operating primary wood-using plants.

<u>Nonindustrial private forest (NIPF) land</u>. Privately owned land excluding forest industry land or forest industry-leased land. Corporate. Owned by corporations, including incorporated farm ownerships.

<u>State, county, and municipal land</u>. Land owned by states, counties, and local public agencies or municipalities, or land leased to these governmental units for 50 years or more.

<u>Primary wood-using plants</u>. Industries receiving roundwood or chips from roundwood for the manufacture of products, such as veneer, pulp, and lumber.

<u>Reforestation</u>. Area of land previously classified as forest that is regenerated by planting trees or natural regeneration.

<u>Remote Sensing</u>. The use of aircraft or satellite imagery to identify and describe the land cover and land use.

<u>Roundwood (roundwood logs)</u>. Logs, bolts, or other round sections cut from trees for industrial or consumer uses. <u>Roundwood chipped</u>. Any timber cut primarily for pulpwood, delivered to non- pulp mills, chipped, and then sold to pulp mills as residues, including chipped tops, jump sections, whole trees, and pulpwood sticks.

<u>Roundwood products</u>. Any primary product such as lumber, poles, pilings, pulp, or fuelwood, that is produced from roundwood.

<u>Saw-Log</u>. A log meeting minimum standards of diameter, length, and defect, including logs at least 8 feet long, sound and straight, with a minimum diameter inside bark for softwoods of six inches (8 inches for hardwoods).

<u>Saw-log portion</u>. The part of the bole of sawtimber trees between a 1-foot stump and the saw-log top.

<u>Saw-log top</u>. The point on the bole of sawtimber trees above which a conventional saw log cannot be produced. The minimum saw-log top is 7.0 inches d.o.b. for softwoods and 9.0 inches d.o.b for hardwoods.

<u>Sawtimber-size trees</u>. Softwoods 8.0 inches d.b.h and larger and hardwoods 11.0 inches d.b.h. and larger.

<u>Sawtimber volume</u>. Growing-stock volume in the sawlog portion of sawtimber-size trees in board feet.

<u>Seedlings</u>. Trees less than 1.0 inch d.b.h. and greater than 1 foot tall for hardwoods, greater than 6 inches tall for softwood, and greater than .5 inch in diameter at ground level for longleaf pine.

<u>Select red oaks</u>. A group of several red oak species composed of cherrybark, Shumard, and northern red oaks. Other red oak species are included in the "other red oaks" group.

<u>Select white oaks</u>. A group of several white oak species composed of white, swamp chestnut, swamp white, chinkapin, Durand, and bur oaks. Other white oak species are included in the "other white oaks" group.

<u>Site class</u>. A classification of forest land in terms of potential capacity to grow crops of industrial wood based on fully stocked natural stands. <u>Softwoods</u>. Coniferous trees, usually evergreen, having leaves that are needles or scalelike.

<u>Yellow pines</u>. Loblolly, longleaf, slash, pond, shortleaf pitch, Virginia, sand, spruce, and Table Mountain pines.

<u>Other softwoods</u>. Cypress, eastern red-cedar, whitecedar, eastern white pine, eastern hemlock, spruce and fir

<u>Spectral reflectance</u>. Sunlight reflected from the ground or canopy of the forest that is recorded by the sensor in the satellite or aircraft that is separated into small classes (bands).

<u>Stand age</u>. The average age of dominant and co-dominant trees in the stand.

<u>Stand origin</u>. A classification of forest stands describing their means of origin.

<u>Planted</u>. Planted or artificially seeded.

Natural. No evidence of artificial regeneration.

<u>Stand-size class</u>. A classification of forest land based on the diameter class distribution of live trees in the stand.

<u>Statistical Precision</u>. The ability to achieve the same results with repeated measurements.

<u>Sawtimber stands</u>. Stands at least 10 percent stocked with live trees, with half or more of total stocking in sawtimber and poletimber trees, and with sawtimber stocking at least equal to poletimber stocking.

<u>Stocking</u>. The degree of occupancy of land by trees, measured by basal area or the number of trees in a stand and spacing in the stand, compared with a minimum standard, depending on tree size, required to fully utilize the growth potential of the land.

<u>Thematic map</u>. Displays complex map data using classes that combine similar data.

<u>Timberland</u>. Forest land capable of producing 20 cubic feet of industrial wood per acre per year and not with-drawn from timber utilization.

<u>Timber products</u>. Roundwood products and byproducts.

<u>Tree</u>. Woody plants having one erect perennial stem or trunk at least 3-inches d.b.h. a more or less definitely formed crown for foliage and a height of at least 13 feet (at maturity).

<u>Tree Grade</u>. A classification of the saw-log portion of sawtimber trees based on: (1) the grade of the butt log or (2) the ability to produce at least one 12-foot or two 8- foot logs in the upper section of the saw- log portion. Tree grade is an indicator of quality. Grade 1 is the best quality. <u>Upper-stem portion</u>. The part of the main stem or fork of sawtimber trees above the saw-log top to minimum top diameter 4.0 inches outside bark or to the point where the main stem or fork breaks into limbs.

<u>Volume of live trees</u>. The cubic-foot volume of sound wood in live trees at least 4.6 inches d.b.h from a 1-foot stump to a minimum 3.0 inch top d.o.b of the central stem for softwood and 4.0 inches for hardwoods.



MISSISSIPPI INSTITUTE for FOREST INVENTORY