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## Foreword

The U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southern Research Station's (SRS) Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) Research Work Unit and cooperating State forestry agencies conduct annual forest inventories of resources in the 13 Southern States (Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia), the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. In order to provide more frequent and nationally consistent information on America's forest resources, all research stations and their respective FIA work units conduct annual surveys with a common sample design. These surveys are mandated by law through the Agricultural Research Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (Farm Bill).

The primary objective in conducting these inventories is to gather the resource information needed to formulate sound forest policies, provide information for economic development, develop forest programs, and provide a scientific basis to monitor forest ecosystems. These data are used to provide an overview of forest resources including, but not limited to, forest area, forest ownership, forest type, stand structure, timber volume, growth, removals, mortality, and management activity. In addition, less intensive assessments are done that help address issues of ecosystem health; such assessments include information about invasive species, down woody material, and tree crown condition. This information is applicable at the multi-State, individual State, and survey unit level; it provides the necessary background for initiation of more intensive studies of critical situations but is not designed to reflect resource conditions at very small scales.

More information about Forest Service resource inventories is available in "Forest Resource Inventories: An Overview" (U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service 1992). More detailed information about sampling methodologies used in the annual FIA inventories can be found in "The Enhanced Forest Inventory and Analysis Program—National Sampling Design and Estimation Procedures" (Bechtold and Patterson 2005).

Tabular data for the FIA reports are designed to provide a comprehensive array of forest resource statistics. The 35 core tables that complement this report are found in appendix A and can be downloaded from http://srsfia2.fs.fed.us/states/ texas.shtml.

Additional data for those seeking specialized information for other Southern States are available at http://srsfia2.fs.fed.us/.

Online data query tools for specific locations, landowner survey results, timber output trends, and estimates of carbon and biomass are available at http://www.fia. fs.fed.us/tools-data/other/default.asp.

Additional information about any aspect of SRS FIA surveys may be obtained from:

Forest Inventory and Analysis
Research Work Unit
U.S. Department of Agriculture

Forest Service
Southern Research Station
4700 Old Kingston Pike
Knoxville, TN 37919
Telephone: 865-862-2000
William G. Burkman
Program Manager
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## Introduction

This resource bulletin presents the findings of the first statewide forest survey of the 254 counties in Texas. This report covers the eighth survey of 43 counties in east Texas and 50 percent of the data for the first survey of 211 counties in central and west Texas conducted during the period 2004-08. Baseline data on the extent, condition, and classification of forest land and associated timber volumes, as well as forest landowner and forest health characteristics, are evaluated at the State and region level. Forest ownership and land use patterns, along with growth, removals, and mortality, were also evaluated for east Texas.

Estimates of forest resources are reported at multiple scales. The most common scales discussed in this report are the State, region, and unit level. The State of Texas is divided into seven Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) units and two regions (fig. 1). The seven FIA units are labeled (1) Southeast, (2) Northeast, (3) North Central, (4) South, (5) West Central, (6) Northwest, and (7) West. The eastern region, or east Texas, is made up of units 1 and 2 , while the rest of the State is considered the western region, or central and west Texas, consisting of units 3 through 7 .

The first forest reports of east Texas were for the 1935 survey (Cruikshank 1938, Cruikshank and Eldredge 1939).


Figure 1-Survey units of east and central/west Texas, 2008.

Subsequent surveys were in 1953-55 (U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service 1956), 1965 (Sternitzke 1967a, 1967b), 1975 (Murphy 1976), 1986 (McWilliams and Lord 1988), 1992 (Rosson 2000), and 2003 (Rudis and others 2008).

The Southern Research Station's (SRS) FIA Program and the Texas A\&M Forest Service initiated an inventory of the 254 counties in Texas in 2004 and completed the field survey in 2008. The information also is contained in the Forest Inventory and Analysis database and represents the full complement (all five panels) of data for east Texas and 50 percent of the first annualized
inventory data collected in central and west Texas. The current information is based on 3,763 plots for east Texas and 10,053 plots for central and west Texas.

For comparative accounting and national reporting purposes, forest inventory and monitoring procedures have been standardized at the national level. Details about the methods are documented in appendix B and include comparisons with previous methods and warnings about interpreting data that seem to indicate trends extending over multiple surveys. Appendix C discusses reliability of the data, and appendix D lists tree species recorded.


Live oaks in Washington County, TX.

## Forest Area

Texas contained 171.9 million acres of total area, of which 167.5 million acres was land and 4.4 million acres was water, according to the U.S. Census (U.S. Department of Commerce 2001) (table 1). The 2008 forest survey estimated that the land area consisted of 62.5 million acres of forest land or 36 percent of the total acres. Central and west Texas comprised the majority of the total acres with 149.5 million acres, but only 34 percent of those acres were forest land. East Texas had 22.4 million total acres, including 12.1 million acres of forest land. Total nonforest land for the State was 105.0 million acres, of which 91 percent was in central and west Texas.

Of the 62.5 million acres of forest land in Texas identified by the current forest survey, most was in central and west Texas region (fig. 2). Twenty-nine percent, or


Figure 2—Area of forest land by forest survey unit, Texas, 2008.

Table 1-Area by region, survey unit, and land status, Texas, 2008

| Region and survey unit | Total area | All forest | Unreserved |  |  | Reserved |  |  | Nonforest land | Census water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Timberland | Unproductive | Total | Productive | Unproductive |  |  |
| thousand acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast | 12,500.1 | 6,793.7 | 6,667.0 | 6,637.9 | 29.1 | 126.7 | 126.7 | 0.0 | 5,061.0 | 645.4 |
| Northeast | 9,918.0 | 5,334.9 | 5,334.9 | 5,326.9 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4,293.4 | 289.7 |
| Total | 22,418.1 | 12,128.6 | 12,001.9 | 11,964.8 | 37.1 | 126.7 | 126.7 | 0.0 | 9,354.4 | 935.1 |
| Central/West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Central | 22,777.5 | 6,779.8 | 6,728.3 | 1,923.3 | 4,805.0 | 51.5 | 41.0 | 10.5 | 15,457.9 | 539.8 |
| South | 26,625.6 | 9,136.4 | 9,115.3 | 359.7 | 8,755.7 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 15,066.9 | 2,422.3 |
| West Central | 31,604.1 | 18,138.3 | 18,043.7 | 190.5 | 17,853.2 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 94.7 | 13,153.9 | 311.8 |
| Northwest | 44,939.2 | 10,834.0 | 10,806.9 | 18.8 | 10,788.1 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 33,913.0 | 192.1 |
| West | 23,526.5 | 5,465.7 | 5,382.2 | 9.1 | 5,373.1 | 83.5 | 0.0 | 83.5 | 18,037.6 | 23.3 |
| Total | 149,472.9 | 50,354.2 | 50,076.4 | 2,501.4 | 47,575.1 | 277.9 | 62.1 | 215.8 | 95,629.3 | 3,489.3 |
| All units | 171,891.0 | 62,482.8 | 62,078.2 | 14,466.2 | 47,612.0 | 404.6 | 188.8 | 215.8 | 104,983.8 | 4,424.5 |

[^0]18.1 million acres, of the State's forest land was in the west central unit. The northwest unit made up another 17 percent, followed closely by the south unit with 15 percent. When compared to the proportion of forest land in relation to total land area of each survey unit, forest land comprised 57 percent of the west central unit, 24 percent of the northwest unit, and 34 percent of the south unit.

The proportion of land area in forest land in Texas' 254 counties ranged from 0 to 91 percent. Throughout the State, 21 counties had $>73$ percent of their land area in forest land (fig. 3). The west central and
southeast units had the densest concentration of forest land, with 16 of the 21 counties having $>73$ percent of their land area in forest land. Sixteen counties, concentrated mainly in the northwest unit, had no forest land.

Forest land consists of three components:
(1) timberland, (2) reserved forest, and (3) unproductive forest (or woodland). Timberland is forest land that is capable of producing at least 20 cubic feet of wood volume per year. Texas had an estimated 14.5 million acres of timberland. East Texas comprises 83 percent, or 12.0 million acres, of the timberland acres, while estimates for


Figure 3-Percent of forest land by county, Texas, 2008.
central and west Texas were slightly more than 2.5 million acres (fig. 4). Reserved forest land included restricted-use areas such as national or State parks, monuments, wildlife refuges, recreation sites, or other similarly protected areas where timber harvesting is severely limited or prohibited. Less than 1 percent, or 404,600 acres, of the forest area was classified as reserved, while 53 percent of the reserved land was classified as unproductive. Central and west Texas made up the majority of reserved forest with 277,900 acres, while east Texas remained fairly stable since 2003, at 126,700 acres. Unproductive forest land, also referred to as woodland, does not meet the minimum productivity


Figure 4-Area of timberland by survey unit, Texas, 2008.

requirements. Unproductive forest land is generally characterized by sterile soils, poor drainage, high elevation, rockiness, lack of rainfall, or steep slopes. At the time of the 2008 inventory, the area of unproductive forest land was 47.6 million acres. The eastern region made up less than a tenth of a percent, or 37,100 acres, of the estimated unproductive land.

The proportion of land area in timberland in Texas' 254 counties ranged from 0 to 91 percent. Throughout the State, seven counties had $>72$ percent of their land area in timberland (fig. 5). The southeast and
northeast units had the densest concentration of timberland, with six of the seven counties having $>72$ percent of their land area in timberland. One hundred and sixtytwo counties, concentrated mainly in the western units, had no timberland.

Timberland area in east Texas had increased slightly from 11.7 million acres in 2003 to 12.0 million acres in 2008 (fig. 6). In fact, timberland area in east Texas has remained fairly stable for >50 years. Area of timberland in the southeast unit had fluctuated slightly, while the northeast unit had shown a slight upward trend.


Figure 5-Percent of timberland by county, Texas, 2008.


Figure 6-Timberland area by survey unit and survey year, east Texas.


Figure 7-Percent of forest land by ownership class, Texas, 2008.


Figure 8—Percent of timberland by ownership class, east Texas, 2008.

Private individual landowners controlled the majority ( 52 percent) of east Texas' 12.0 million acres of timberland and another 21 percent was controlled by private corporations, more than a twofold increase since the 2003 survey (fig. 8). Forest industry ownership of timberland

## Ownership

FIA classifies forest land ownership into two general categories: (1) private lands, and (2) public lands. Private lands are subdivided into individuals, forest industry, and corporate. Public forest land includes national forest, other Federal lands (for example, U.S. Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Department of Energy, and Department of Defense), State, county, and municipal lands. Figure 7 shows the distribution of ownership of Texas' forest land as of 2008. As has typically been the case, most ( 72 percent) of Texas' 62.5 million acres of forest land was owned by private individuals. Corporate ownerships controlled 18 percent, while forest industry controlled another 4 percent. Public land was only 6 percent, or 3.6 million acres.
continued to decline, dropping to 19 percent. Only 8 percent of east Texas' timberland was publicly owned, as national forest and other Federal lands, State lands, and local lands.

Millions of acres of east Texas' timberland have changed hands over the years, particularly acres once belonging to forest industry. The downward trend in forest acres owned by forest industry has continued since noted in the 2003 report (Rudis and others 2008). As of 2008, forest industry owned 2.2 million acres, which was 1.2 million fewer acres than were under industry management just 5 years ago (table 2). Some of these former forest industry acres are now owned by private individuals, while others are under corporate ownership.

Table 2—Area of timberland by ownership class and survey year, east Texas, 2003 and 2008

|  | Survey year |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Ownership class | 2003 |  |
| acres |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |
| National forest | 667.6 | 663.4 |
| Other public | 257.3 | 326.9 |
| Forest industry | $3,445.9$ | $2,243.2$ |
| Other corporate | $1,159.5$ | $2,465.4$ |
| Nonindustrial private | $6,126.6$ | $6,266.0$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $11,656.9$ | $11,964.9$ |

Other corporate timberland in east Texas amounted to 2.5 million acres in 2008, up from 1.2 million acres in 2003. These timberland acres are largely held in timber investment and management organizations, real estate investment trusts, limited liability corporations, and similar entities. When forest industry owned and managed these timberland acres, there was some assurance that they would remain in the timber base and contribute to the State's wood supply. New landowners may have other management goals and priorities in mind. Future surveys will continue to track changes in forest ownership and assess the impact these changes have on the use and management of Texas' timberlands.

The care and management of the nearly 47.7 million acres of Texas forest land was in the hands of some 451,000 individuals (table 3). In east Texas, 6.4 million forest land acres was controlled by 208,000 individuals, while 243,000 individuals control 38.4 million forest land acres in central and west Texas. Predicting what these family forest landowners intend to do with their land is difficult without some knowledge of their interests and ownership objectives. The National Woodland Owner Survey (NWOS) gathers statistics on these family forest landowners and the land they own. This information provides insight as to how they might manage their forest land in the years to come.

Table 3-Area and number of familyowned forests by region, size of forest landholdings, area, and ownership, Texas, 2008

| Region and size of <br> forest landholdings | Area   <br> Acres  Ownership <br> Number   |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| thousand |  |  |
| East |  |  |
| $1-9$ | 346 | 94 |
| $10-19$ | 680 | 55 |
| $20-49$ | 914 | 31 |
| $50-99$ | 1,125 | 17 |
| $100-199$ | 813 | 6 |
| $200-499$ | 1,236 | 4 |
| $500-999$ | 456 | 1 |
| $1,000-4,999$ | 655 | $<1$ |
| $5,000-9,999$ | 136 | $<1$ |
| $10,000+$ | 50 | $<1$ |
| Total | 6,411 | 208 |
| Central/West |  |  |
| $1-9$ | 312 | 117 |
| $10-19$ | 167 | 15 |
| $20-49$ | 1,269 | 47 |
| $50-99$ | 917 | 13 |
| $100-199$ | 3,132 | 21 |
| $200-499$ | 4,525 | 16 |
| $500-999$ | 4,227 | 6 |
| $1,000-4,999$ | 11,519 | 6 |
| $5,000-9,999$ | 4,002 | 1 |
| $10,000+$ | 8,355 | 1 |
| Total | 38,425 | 243 |
|  |  |  |

The size of a forested tract often indicates how, or if, a forested parcel will be managed. The rule of thumb is that it is not financially viable to manage for timber products on parcels $<10$ acres in size. This holds true for east Texas, where the land is more suitable for timber production. In east Texas, 5 percent ( 346,000 acres) of the family forest land was in tracts ranging from 1 to 9 acres (table 3). Family forest landholdings in tracts from 10 to $<500$ acres in size amounted to nearly 4.8 million acres. In central and west Texas, 73 percent ( 28.1 million acres) of the family forest land was in tracts >500 acres.

Based on size of landholdings alone, the majority of Texas' family forest land offers potential for a variety of management opportunities. Many of these landowners realize the financial potential their lands hold. In east Texas, land investments was ranked as important or very important by some 116,000 family forest owners (72 percent), potentially affecting some 5.4 million acres (table 4). Some 26,000 landowners ( 13 percent) ranked timber production as an important objective. In central and west Texas, 172,000 landowners (71 percent) ranked land investments as an important objective. Seventy-eight percent of family forest landowners, holding nearly 28.4 million acres, ranked passing the land to their children as an important incentive.

Table 4-Area and number of family-owned forests by region, reason, area, and ownership for owning forest land, Texas, 2008

|  | Area | Ownership |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Region and reason ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Acres | Number |
|  |  | thousand |
| East |  |  |
| To enjoy beauty or scenery | 3,731 | 135 |
| To protect nature and biologic diversity | 3,213 | 106 |
| For land investment | 4,003 | 116 |
| Part of home or vacation home ${ }^{b}$ | 3,030 | 149 |
| Part of farm or ranch | 2,919 | 103 |
| Privacy | 2,829 | 121 |
| To pass land on to children or other heirs | 4,051 | 114 |
| To cultivate/collect nontimber rangeland and woodland products | 593 | 28 |
| For production of firewood or biofuel | 555 | 18 |
| For production of saw logs, pulpwood, or other timber products | 2,642 | 26 |
| Hunting or fishing | 2,385 | 52 |
| For recreation other than hunting or fishing | 1,692 | 38 |
| No answer | 61 | 1 |
| Central/West |  |  |
| To enjoy beauty or scenery | 23,377 | 199 |
| To protect nature and biologic diversity | 18,436 | 149 |
| For land investment | 19,094 | 172 |
| Part of home or vacation home ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 21,147 | 180 |
| Part of farm or ranch | 29,572 | 170 |
| Privacy | 20,663 | 187 |
| To pass land on to children or other heirs | 28,359 | 190 |
| To cultivate/collect nontimber rangeland and woodland products | 2,689 | 27 |
| For production of firewood or biofuel | 1,160 | 9 |
| For production of saw logs, pulpwood, or other timber products | 1,342 | 8 |
| Hunting or fishing | 20,959 | 133 |
| For recreation other than hunting or fishing | 11,564 | 121 |
| No answer | 273 | $<1$ |
| a Categories are not exclusive. |  |  |
| b Includes primary and secondary residences. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Recent activity on some of these privately owned acres provides evidence of landowners taking advantage of the opportunities that owning forest land offers. Over the past 5 years in east Texas, 2.2 million acres have undergone a timber harvest, another 1.6 million acres have been site prepped for planting, and 2.1 million acres have been planted (table 5). In central and west Texas, 13.6 million acres have undergone a timber harvest including land cleared for range, another 14.7 million acres have had improvements to wildlife habitat, and 24.2 million acres have had road and trail maintenance.

Knowing what family forest landowners potentially have planned for their land over the next 5 years adds to the positive outlook for the long term. In east Texas, 57,000 owners with 2.1 million forested acres plan to at least maintain their land as forest while 10,000 owners with 853,000 acres already in their possession said they plan to buy additional forest land (table 6). In central and west Texas, 86,000 owners with 16.0 million forested acres plan to at least maintain their forest. Another 23,000 forest landowners with 5.2 million acres already in their possession said they plan to buy additional forest land.

Table 5-Area and number of family-owned forests by region and forestry activity (past 5 years),
Texas, 2008

| Region and activity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Area <br> Acres | Ownership Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thousand |  |
| East |  |  |
| Timber harvest | 2,186 | 27 |
| Collection of NTFP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 526 | 37 |
| Site preparation | 1,568 | 19 |
| Tree planting | 2,123 | 39 |
| Fire hazard reduction | 1,717 | 33 |
| Application of chemicals | 1,506 | 35 |
| Road/trail maintenance | 2,310 | 25 |
| Wildlife habitat improvement | 1,507 | 18 |
| Posting land | 2,847 | 49 |
| Private recreation | 2,855 | 56 |
| Public recreation | 426 | 4 |
| None of the above | 767 | 24 |
| Central/West |  |  |
| Tree harvest | 13,559 | 58 |
| Collection of NTFP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,034 | 9 |
| Fire hazard reduction | 7,540 | 93 |
| Application of chemicals | 18,618 | 62 |
| Road/trail maintenance | 24,210 | 38 |
| Wildlife habitat improvement | 14,708 | 32 |
| Insect/disease control | 4,632 | 15 |
| Control of invasive plant | 18,261 | 144 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Categories are not exclusive. <br> ${ }^{b}$ NTFP $=$ nontimber forest products |  |  |

Table 6-Area and number of family-owned forests by region and landowners' future (5 year) plans for their forest land, Texas, 2008

| Region and future plans ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Area <br> Acres | Ownership <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thousand |  |
| East |  |  |
| Leave it as is-no activity | 1,351 | 60 |
| Minimal activity to maintain forest land | 2,107 | 57 |
| Harvest firewood | 877 | 20 |
| Harvest saw logs or pulpwood | 1,828 | 18 |
| Collect nontimber forest products | 285 | 7 |
| Sell some or all of their forest land | 668 | 16 |
| Give some or all of their forest land to heirs | 1,027 | 18 |
| Subdivide some or all of their forest land and sell subdivisions | 148 | 4 |
| Buy more forest land | 853 | 10 |
| Convert some or all of their forest land to another use | 297 | 9 |
| Convert another land use to forest land | 247 | 7 |
| No current plans | 843 | 46 |
| Unknown | 359 | 10 |
| Other | 321 | 8 |
| No answer | 120 | 2 |
| Central/West |  |  |
| Leave it as is-no activity | 7,456 | 115 |
| Minimal activity to maintain rangeland and woodland land | 16,030 | 86 |
| Harvest firewood | 5,587 | 46 |
| Harvest saw logs or pulpwood | - | - |
| Collect nontimber rangeland and woodland products | 518 | 1 |
| Sell some or all of their rangeland and woodland land | 2,466 | 85 |
| Give some or all of their rangeland and woodland land to heirs | 12,244 | 42 |
| Subdivide some or all of their rangeland and woodland land and sell subdivisions | 255 | 1 |
| Buy more rangeland and woodland land | 5,176 | 23 |
| Convert some or all of their rangeland and woodland land to another use | 1,003 | 3 |
| Convert another land use to rangeland and woodland land | 655 | 2 |
| Graze livestock | 33,469 | 106 |
| No current plans | 3,589 | 15 |
| Unknown | 1,671 | 5 |
| Other | 4,631 | 90 |

[^1]
## Forest-Type Groups

FIA identifies the two major forest types as softwood and hardwood. Hardwood area accounted for 44.5 million acres or 71 percent of the forest land, and softwood represented 24 percent with 15.0 million acres. The major forest types are grouped to simplify the many possibilities of foresttype description. The forest-type groups for Texas are:

## Softwood

Longleaf-slash pine
Loblolly-shortleaf pine Pinyon-juniper Other eastern softwoods

## Hardwood

Oak-pine
Oak-hickory
Oak-gum-cypress Elm-ash-cottonwood Other hardwoods Woodland hardwoods Exotic hardwoods

The dominant forest-type group in Texas was woodland hardwoods, covering 23.4 million acres (table 7). Second in dominance was the oak-hickory foresttype group, covering 13.6 million acres. Together, these two forest-type groups covered 59 percent of Texas forest land. Pinyon-juniper forest-type group was ranked third with 9.5 million acres, followed by loblolly-shortleaf pine foresttype group with 5.0 million acres. The area covered by the loblolly-shortleaf pine foresttype group was all in the eastern two units of the State, covering 41 percent of the area of those units.

Hardwood forest types covered the majority of forest land area in central and west Texas, accounting for 37.7 million

Table 7-Area of forest land by forest-type group and region, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Total | Region |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | East | Central/ West |
|  |  | acres |  |
| Softwoods |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 274.9 | 191.4 | 83.5 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 4,966.5 | 4,966.5 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 9,502.7 | 0.0 | 9,502.7 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 262.1 | 53.0 | 209.1 |
| Total | 15,006.2 | 5,210.9 | 9,795.3 |
| Hardwoods |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,704.5 | 1,509.5 | 195.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 13,621.8 | 3,045.5 | 10,576.3 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 2,144.9 | 1,388.2 | 756.7 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 2,728.8 | 614.0 | 2,114.8 |
| Other hardwoods | 633.2 | 19.2 | 614.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 23,405.6 | 10.5 | 23,395.1 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 237.6 | 207.6 | 30.0 |
| Total | 44,476.4 | 6,794.5 | 37,681.9 |
| Nonstocked | 3,000.2 | 123.2 | 2,877.0 |
| All groups | 62,482.8 | 12,128.6 | 50,354.2 |

[^2]acres (fig. 9). Softwood forest types occupied 9.8 million acres of central and west Texas' forest land area. Woodland hardwoods were the most abundant forest-type group, covering 23.4 million acres, of which 95 percent was controlled by nonindustrial private forest (NIPF) landowners (table 8). Oak-hickory forest type ranked second, accounting for another 21 percent or 10.6 million acres. Ninety-seven percent of the oak-hickory forest type was controlled by NIPF owners and the remaining 3 percent was public land. Pinyon-juniper was the predominant softwood forest-type group, covering 9.5 million acres and accounting for 97 percent of the softwood forest-type group. Again, most (94 percent) of the pinyon-juniper forest-type group was controlled by NIPF landowners.


Total 50.4 million acres

Figure 9—Forest land by major forest-type groups, central and west Texas, 2008.

Table 8—Area of forest land by forest-type group and ownership group, central and west Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | National forest | Other public | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private forest |
|  |  |  | acres |  |  |
| Softwoods |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 83.5 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 65.5 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 209.1 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 196.1 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 9,502.7 | 0.0 | 560.9 | 9.6 | 8,932.1 |
| Total | 9,795.3 | 0.0 | 592.0 | 9.6 | 9,193.7 |
| Hardwoods |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 195.0 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 0.0 | 165.9 |
| Oak-hickory | 10,576.3 | 26.2 | 309.0 | 0.0 | 10,241.2 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 756.7 | 8.9 | 43.0 | 0.0 | 704.8 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 2,114.8 | 10.5 | 150.7 | 0.0 | 1,953.5 |
| Other hardwoods | 614.0 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 601.7 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 23,395.1 | 0.0 | 1,239.9 | 20.0 | 22,135.1 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| Total | 37,681.9 | 53.3 | 1,776.1 | 20.0 | 35,832.4 |
| Nonstocked | 2,877.0 | 0.0 | 108.2 | 0.0 | 2,768.7 |
| All groups | 50,354.2 | 53.3 | 2,476.4 | 29.6 | 47,794.8 |

Numbers in columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$ for the cell.

Hardwood forest types made up the majority of timberland area in east Texas, accounting for 6.7 million acres (fig. 10). Oak-hickory was the predominant hardwood forest-type group with 3.0 million acres, followed by oak-pine covering 1.5 million acres and oak-gum-cypress covering 1.4 million acres. Eighty-four percent of all east Texas' hardwood forest-types were controlled by NIPF landowners. Softwood forest types occupied 5.2 million acres of east Texas' timberland area. Loblollyshortleaf pine was the most abundant forest-type group with 4.9 million acres and composed the majority ( 95 percent) of all softwood forest types. NIPF landowners controlled 59 percent of the loblolly-shortleaf forest-type group, while forest industry ranked second with control of 28 percent (table 9). East Texas' softwood timberland area was split nearly equally between natural pine stands ( 2.6 million acres) and planted pine stands ( 2.5 million acres).


Figure 10-Timberland by major forest-type groups, east Texas, 2008.

Table 9—Area of timberland by forest-type group and ownership group, east Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | National forest | Other public | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private forest |
|  | thousand acres |  |  |  |  |
| Softwoods |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 112.9 | 67.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 4,919.1 | 546.7 | 58.0 | 1,390.6 | 2,923.7 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 53.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 46.8 |
| Total | 5,163.5 | 558.2 | 64.2 | 1,503.5 | 3,037.5 |
| Hardwoods |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,487.5 | 45.9 | 39.1 | 206.0 | 1,196.5 |
| Oak-hickory | 3,011.7 | 35.0 | 76.6 | 203.4 | 2,696.7 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 1,355.4 | 18.2 | 83.3 | 280.3 | 973.6 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 600.3 | 6.0 | 32.0 | 8.7 | 553.6 |
| Other hardwoods | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 207.6 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 21.0 | 162.8 |
| Total | 6,692.2 | 105.1 | 254.8 | 719.3 | 5,613.0 |
| Nonstocked | 109.2 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 20.4 | 80.9 |
| All groups | 11,964.8 | 663.4 | 326.9 | 2,243.2 | 8,731.4 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding. $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$ for the cell.


## Inventory Volume

In 2008 inventory of all-live tree volume for Texas was 32.6 billion cubic feet. Sixtyseven percent of the inventory was in hardwoods and 33 percent in softwoods (table 10). Sixty-four percent of the hardwood volume was in the central and west Texas units, while 91 percent of the softwood volume was in the east Texas units. Thirty-two percent, or 10.4 billion cubic feet, of the volume was in the southeast. The northeast unit ranked second with 7.2 billion cubic feet, followed by the west central with 6.2 billion cubic feet.

Of the 17.6 billion cubic feet of volume in east Texas, 17.3 billion cubic feet ( 98 percent) was on timberland. Timberland volume was up 3 percent from the reported 16.8 billion cubic feet in 2003 and 22 percent since 1992 (fig. 11). Softwood
volume was up 70 percent since 1975, while hardwood increased 4.9 billion cubic feet from the 2.9 billion cubic feet reported in 1975. Total volume on timberland has more than doubled since 1975.


Figure 11-Volume of live trees on timberland by survey year, east Texas.

Table 10-Live-tree volume by region, survey unit, and species group on forest land, Texas, 2008

|  |  | Species group |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region and <br> survey unit | Total | Softwood | Hardwood |
|  |  |  | million cubic feet |
| East |  |  |  |
| Southeast | $10,429.8$ | $6,426.9$ | $4,003.0$ |
| Northeast | $7,194.4$ | $3,228.4$ | $3,966.0$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $17,624.2$ | $9,655.2$ | $7,969.0$ |
| Central/West |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ North Central | $4,233.7$ | 405.7 | $3,828.0$ |
| South | $2,617.1$ | 31.4 | $2,585.7$ |
| $\quad$ West Central | $6,170.9$ | 123.2 | $6,047.7$ |
| $\quad$ Northwest | $1,651.8$ | 322.4 | $1,329.4$ |
| West | 289.2 | 126.3 | 163.0 |
| $\quad$ Total | $14,962.7$ | $1,008.9$ | $13,953.7$ |
| All units | $32,586.9$ | $10,664.2$ | $21,922.7$ |

[^3]
## Softwood Inventory

There were 10.7 billion cubic feet of softwood volume in the 2008 inventory (table 11). The majority of the volume was in the southeast unit; the next largest volume was in the northeast unit. Together, these two units made up 91 percent of Texas' softwood volume. Using 2 -inch diameter at breast height (d.b.h.) classes to describe the size distribution of the softwood volume shows that 38 percent of the live-tree volume was in trees 7.0 through 12.9 inches in d.b.h (fig. 12). Another 16 percent of the volume was in trees >21.0 inches d.b.h. Most of the larger trees were in the eastern region and in particular the southeast unit.


Figure 12—Softwood volume on forest land by 2-inch diameter class and survey unit, Texas, 2008.

Table 11-Softwood live-tree volume by region, survey unit, and diameter class on forest land, Texas, 2008

| Region and survey unit |  | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total volume | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0- \\ 20.9 \end{gathered}$ | 21.0+ |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast | 6,426.9 | 485.2 | 760.0 | 808.4 | 790.2 | 698.8 | 677.0 | 570.3 | 463.4 | 1,173.8 |
| Northeast | 3,228.4 | 252.8 | 376.9 | 384.6 | 438.7 | 404.4 | 369.8 | 307.3 | 220.2 | 473.6 |
| Total | 9,655.2 | 738.0 | 1,136.9 | 1,193.0 | 1,228.9 | 1,103.2 | 1,046.8 | 877.5 | 683.5 | 1,647.4 |
| Central/West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Central | 405.7 | 52.1 | 73.8 | 99.4 | 56.4 | 44.5 | 35.7 | 11.2 | 29.4 | 3.3 |
| South | 31.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 13.7 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| West Central | 123.2 | 26.1 | 23.6 | 24.7 | 16.3 | 13.4 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| Northwest | 322.4 | 40.9 | 54.5 | 50.9 | 54.4 | 45.3 | 27.9 | 18.8 | 10.4 | 19.4 |
| West | 126.3 | 24.8 | 26.1 | 16.0 | 15.3 | 10.2 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 17.3 |
| Total | 1,008.9 | 144.0 | 178.0 | 191.0 | 145.1 | 127.0 | 88.8 | 47.0 | 44.1 | 44.0 |
| All units | 10,664.2 | 881.9 | 1,314.8 | 1,384.0 | 1,374.0 | 1,230.2 | 1,135.6 | 924.5 | 727.7 | 1,691.4 |

[^4]In 2008, the loblolly-shortleaf pine group accounted for 85 percent of the softwood inventory volume with over 9.1 billion cubic feet (fig. 13). Western woodland softwoods group accounted for another 5 percent of softwood volume with 558 million cubic feet, followed by other eastern softwoods accounting for another 4 percent, or 433 million cubic feet. Longleaf-slash pine group accounted for only 3 percent, or 313 million cubic feet, of the softwood volume.

Almost 60 percent, or 6.4 billion cubic feet, of the softwood volume was controlled by NIPF landowners (fig. 14). National forests controlled 18 percent, or 2.0 billion cubic feet, of the softwood volume. Another 1.9 billion cubic feet of the softwood volume was controlled by forest industry. Of the 9.1 billion cubic feet of loblolly and shortleaf pine 57 percent, or 5.2 billion cubic feet, was controlled by NIPF landowners. Almost all (98 percent) of the softwood volume controlled by the national forest was loblolly-shortleaf pine group. Fifty-seven percent of the longleaf and slash pine was controlled by forest industry.


Figure 13-Softwood volume on forest land by species group, Texas, 2008.


Figure 14-Volume of softwood on forest land by ownership, Texas, 2008.

Texas forest land had 2.5 billion cubic feet of live-tree softwood volume, almost 24 percent of which was in plantations (table 12). Almost all of this volume from plantations was in east Texas. The majority (68 percent) of the softwood volume from planted stands was in the southeast unit. East Texas had 9.7 billion cubic feet of livetree softwood volume with 26 percent in planted stands.

In east Texas, softwood volume on timberland increased from 9.2 billion cubic in 2003 to 9.5 billion cubic feet in 2008.

Diameter distribution is another way to assess change of volume and perhaps offer insight for future volumes. During the last three survey periods $(1992,2003$, and 2008), softwood volumes in the 6- to 12-inch diameter classes have shown steady increases (fig. 15). The volumes in the 14- to 20-inch diameter classes have tracked closely, indicating that incremental growth is replacing loss in those diameter classes. The combined volumes in the large diameters of $>21$ inches have steadily increased since 1992.

Table 12—Live-tree softwood volume by region, survey unit, and stand origin on forest land, Texas, 2008

| Region and survey unit | Stand origin |  |  | Planted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Natural | Planted |  |
|  | --- million cubic feet ---- percent |  |  |  |
| East |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast | 6,426.9 | 4,702.4 | 1,724.4 | 27 |
| Northeast | 3,228.4 | 2,423.4 | 804.9 | 25 |
| Total | 9,655.2 | 7,125.9 | 2,529.4 | 26 |
| Central/West |  |  |  |  |
| North Central | 405.7 | 403.1 | 2.6 | 1 |
| South | 31.4 | 31.4 | 0.0 | 0 |
| West Central | 123.2 | 123.2 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Northwest | 322.4 | 322.4 | 0.0 | 0 |
| West | 126.3 | 126.3 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Total | 1,008.9 | 1,006.3 | 2.6 | 0 |
| All units | 10,664.2 | 8,132.2 | 2,531.9 | 24 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.


Figure 15-Softwood volume on timberland by 2-inch diameter class and survey year, east Texas.

## Hardwood Inventory

There were 21.9 billion cubic feet of hardwood volume in the 2008 inventory (table 13). The majority of the hardwood volume was in the west central unit, accounting for 28 percent of the State's hardwood volume. The southeast and northeast units combined made up another 36 percent of Texas' hardwood volume. Using 2 -inch d.b.h. classes to describe the size distribution of the hardwood volume shows that 40 percent of the live-tree volume was in trees 7.0 through 12.9 inches in d.b.h. (fig. 16). Another 14 percent of the volume was from trees $>21.0$ inches d.b.h.


Figure 16-Volume of hardwood on forest land by 2-inch diameter class and survey unit, Texas, 2008.

Table 13-Hardwood live-tree volume by region, survey unit, and diameter class on forest land, Texas, 2008

| Region and survey unit | Total volume | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0- \\ 20.9 \end{gathered}$ | 21.0+ |
| million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast | 4,003.0 | 368.9 | 445.9 | 487.7 | 466.2 | 469.3 | 399.2 | 325.0 | 266.0 | 774.8 |
| Northeast | 3,966.0 | 366.2 | 449.7 | 482.5 | 490.8 | 479.1 | 375.1 | 319.4 | 251.5 | 751.7 |
| Total | 7,969.0 | 735.1 | 895.6 | 970.2 | 957.0 | 948.4 | 774.3 | 644.5 | 517.5 | 1,526.4 |
| Central/West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Central | 3,828.0 | 392.9 | 528.9 | 569.0 | 548.6 | 422.0 | 375.0 | 264.0 | 248.7 | 478.9 |
| South | 2,585.7 | 260.5 | 335.3 | 335.3 | 318.9 | 286.8 | 273.9 | 205.7 | 151.3 | 417.9 |
| West Central | 6,047.7 | 822.2 | 954.5 | 901.3 | 840.2 | 754.2 | 578.0 | 390.2 | 276.9 | 530.3 |
| Northwest | 1,329.4 | 168.9 | 203.5 | 212.7 | 183.8 | 145.8 | 102.4 | 87.1 | 61.9 | 163.2 |
| West | 163.0 | 29.8 | 32.6 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 11.4 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 10.2 | 9.7 |
| Total | 13,953.7 | 1,674.3 | 2,054.9 | 2,044.3 | 1,918.1 | 1,620.2 | 1,339.2 | 953.6 | 749.0 | 1,600.1 |
| All units | 21,922.7 | 2,409.4 | 2,950.5 | 3,014.4 | 2,875.1 | 2,568.6 | 2,113.5 | 1,598.1 | 1,266.5 | 3,126.5 |

[^5]In 2008, the oak group accounted for 38 percent of the hardwood inventory volume with nearly 8.4 billion cubic feet (fig. 17). Western woodland hardwoods group accounted for another 32 percent of hardwood volume with 7.1 billion cubic feet, followed by other eastern soft hardwoods accounting for 10 percent, or 2.3 billion cubic feet.

Almost 88 percent, or 19.2 billion cubic feet, of the hardwood volume was controlled by NIPF landowners (fig.18). Forest industry controlled 5 percent, or 1.1 billion cubic feet of the hardwood volume. Public ownerships controlled the remaining 7 percent of the hardwood volume.


Total 21.9 billion cubic feet

Figure 17-Volume of hardwood on forest land by species group, Texas, 2008.


Figure 18-Volume of hardwood on forest land by ownership, Texas, 2008.

In east Texas, hardwood volume on timberland increased from 7.6 billion cubic feet in 2003 to 7.8 billion cubic feet in 2008. During the last three survey periods (1992, 2003, and 2008), hardwood volumes have shown steady increases in almost all diameter classes (fig.19). The volume in the 20 -inch diameter class was the only class to show a slight decrease between 2003 and 2008.


Figure 19—Hardwood volume on timberland by 2-inch diameter class and survey year, east Texas.

## Components of Change

Net growth, removals, and mortality (GRM) are the components of change reported by FIA. Current estimates of GRM are based on the remeasurement of previously forested plots (2003) that remained in a forested condition in the 2008 inventory cycle. Forest plots have not been remeasured in central and west Texas, so the GRMs in this section will represent change in forested plots only for east Texas.

Estimates of each component are expressed as the average annual value between 2004 and 2008. Average annual net growth is the total (or gross) growth minus mortality. Net growth and removals reflect the forest dynamics (natural and human induced) and were only slightly influenced by forest area change. When net growth exceeds removals, then net change is positive and inventory volume is increasing. The opposite is true when removals exceed net growth. These components of change help evaluate how much and why the forest inventory volume is changing.

Figure 20 shows the total average annual components of change of live-tree volume for the last two FIA surveys in east Texas. While gross growth increased for the 2008 survey, both mortality and removals have decreased since the 2003 inventory. Net change remained positive in both inventory cycles, showing an increase of 22 percent between 2003 and 2008.

When assessing the impact of average annual net growth and removals, it is helpful to include total volume. Figure 21 presents average annual net growth and


Figure 20-Average annual components of change for live trees by survey period, east Texas.
removals on the same scale with total livetree volume for the survey period. The net change (net growth minus removals) of 235 million cubic feet is the result of net growth increasing while removals decreased. Comparing net change to total volume, the total inventory increased about 17 percent annually. This average annual net increase is reflected in the increase of total inventory volume since the 2003 survey.


Figure 21—Average annual net growth and removals for live trees compared to volume by survey period, east Texas.

Loblolly pine in Davy Crockett National Forest, TX


## Softwood Net Growth, Removals, and Mortality

Softwood net growth averaged 650.2 million cubic feet per year from 2004 to 2008 in east Texas (fig. 22). This was a 19-percent increase from 546.4 million cubic feet reported in 2003. The average annual growth of the softwood inventory represented 67 percent of the total average annual growth (softwood and hardwood), and was about 6.7 percent of the softwood inventory.

At 547.3 million cubic feet per year, average annual softwood removals represented 75 percent of all removals and only 5.6 percent of the softwood inventory. Although increasing by 6 percent (from 515.9 to 547.3 million cubic feet), softwood removals were still less than net growth. The softwood net growth to removals relationship was still increasing the total softwood inventory volume, at a higher rate than shown in the 2003 survey. Softwood net growth exceeded removals by 6 percent for the

2003 survey, while it outpaced removals by 19 percent in 2008.

Softwood mortality also increased slightly. Average annual mortality was 73.6 million cubic feet in 2008, an increase of 2 percent since 2003. Softwood mortality made up 50 percent of total mortality (softwood and hardwood).

When assessing the impact of average annual net growth and removals, it is helpful to include total volume. Figure 23 presents average annual net growth and removals on the same scale with total live-tree volume for the survey period. The net change (net growth minus removals) of 103 million cubic feet was the result of net growth increasing at a faster rate than removals. Comparing net change to total volume, the total softwood inventory increased about 5 percent annually from 2004 to 2008. This average annual net increase was reflected in the increase of total inventory volume since the 2003 survey.


Figure 22—Average annual components of change for softwood live trees by survey period, east Texas.


Figure 23-Average annual net growth and removals for softwood live trees compared to volume by survey period, east Texas.

## Hardwood Net Growth, Removals, and Mortality

Hardwood net growth averaged 318.2 million cubic feet per year from 2004 to 2008 in east Texas (fig. 24). This was a 28 -percent increase from 249.1 million cubic feet reported in 2003. The average annual growth of the hardwood inventory represented 33 percent of the total average annual growth (softwood and hardwood), and was about 3.2 percent of the hardwood inventory.

At 185.9 million cubic feet per year, average annual hardwood removals represented 25 percent of all removals and only 1.9 percent of the hardwood inventory. Average annual hardwood removals decreased 16 percent, from 221.4 million cubic feet in 2003 to 185.9 million cubic feet in 2008.

With hardwood net growth increasing and removals going down, the hardwood net growth to removals relationship was still
increasing the total hardwood inventory volume. Hardwood net growth exceeded removals by 13 percent in 2003, while it outpaced removals by 71 percent in 2008.

Hardwood mortality also decreased considerably. Average annual mortality was 73.7 million cubic feet in 2008, a decrease of 31 percent since 2003. Hardwood mortality made up 50 percent of total mortality (softwood and hardwood).

Figure 25 presents average annual net growth and removals on the same scale with total live-tree volume for the survey period. The net change (net growth minus removals) of 132 million cubic feet was the result of net growth increasing and removals decreasing. Comparing net change to total volume, the total hardwood inventory increased about 30 percent annually from 2004 to 2008. This average annual net increase was reflected in the increase of total inventory volume since the 2003 survey.


Figure 24-Average annual components of change for hardwood live trees by survey period, east Texas.


Figure 25-Average annual net growth and removals for hardwood live trees compared to volume by survey period, east Texas.

## Forest Disturbance

Forest land disturbance is part of forest dynamics and can be separated into two categories: (1) planned forest management treatments, and (2) forest disturbances, both of which are expressed as average annual area estimates. Forest treatments are part of the forest operations management tools or silvicultural methods, such as various harvesting systems, site preparation, tree planting, prescribed burning, or natural regeneration. Forest disturbances include insect and disease outbreaks, wildfires, weather events, animal, grazing, and human activities such as land clearing.

## Forest Management Treatments

Some form of harvesting or timber stand improvement occurred on 644,400 acres annually from 2004 to 2008 (fig. 26). This represented 1 percent of the total forest land area each year. Final harvests averaged 173,700 acres each year during this survey
or 0.3 percent of all forest land area. About 153,800 acres experienced a partial harvest and 219,400 acres were thinned.

Tree planting occurred on 130,300 acres each year, compared to 95,300 acres that were regenerated naturally. Site preparation occurred on 117,500 acres and 295,200 acres underwent some other form of silvicultural practice.

## Natural Disturbances

Most disturbances are natural occurrences and have greatly contributed to forest dynamics throughout history. Quite often, disturbances affect small areas and contribute to species richness. However, some large-scale disturbances-such as intense fires, insect and disease epidemics, and major weather events-can be catastrophic.

The largest area of damage, 27 percent, resulted from fire events (fig. 27). Fire, which greatly influences plant ecology


Figure 26-Average area treated annually by treatment type, Texas, 2008.


Figure 27-Average area disturbed annually by disturbance type, Texas, 2008.
over time, caused about 191,500 acres of damage annually. Fire damage includes both wildfire and prescribed burning. Disturbances from human activities account for another 168,100 acres or 23 percent. Domestic animals caused damage on 111,000 acres annually or 15 percent of the total disturbance. The average
annual damage from weather was another 17 percent, amounting to 125,600 acres of damage annually.

Damage from other disturbance agents totaled about 121,800 acres annually: diseases (63,900 acres), insects (29,200 acres), wild animals ( 12,900 acres), and other natural events (15,800 acres).


Live oaks killed by oak wilt, Central Texas.

## Timber Products and Utilization

Average annual timber removals from timberland include the merchantable and nonmerchantable volume of trees harvested for products and whole trees or portions of trees cut and left behind as logging residue. Average annual removals volume also includes trees removed due to land clearing for agriculture or urban development and timberland set aside by statute prohibiting tree harvesting. The latter removals are considered land use change removals. Total removals include harvested products, logging residues, and land use removals and are reported by broad species group at the regional, State, FIA survey unit, or county level for ownership, forest type, diameter class, stand origin, and other variables.

Most FIA removal tables report only the merchantable portion or volume from a 1 -foot stump to the 4 -inch top in cubic feet for trees $\geq 5$ inches d.b.h. For the sawtimber portion of sawtimber-size trees, removal volume is reported in board feet (International $1 / 4$-inch log rule) as well. Removal estimates are generated for the sawtimber portion of growing-stock trees, all other growing-stock trees $\geq 5$ inches d.b.h., and all live trees $\geq 5$ inches d.b.h., which include rough and rotten cull trees. It is best to think of these categories for removals as subsets; sawtimber removals are a subset of growing-stock removals, growing-stock removals are a subset of all live tree removals, and all of these are a subset of total aboveground tree removals, which include the volume of the stumps, tops, and limbs to 1 inch in diameter. Volume of removal trees $<5$ inches d.b.h. have been considered noncommercial and have not been reported on a routine basis.

Reporting removals in this fashion served FIA and its users well for many decades when dealing with the traditional timber products such as saw logs, veneer logs, poles, and other solid-wood forest products. However, the traditional fiber products industries (pulpwood, composite panel, and mulch) along with the emerging bioenergy industry have increased the utilization of rough and cull trees, tops and limbs, a portion of trees $<5$ inches d.b.h., and in some cases, understory vegetation. These industries' use of nontraditional timber products and other forest vegetation is expected to increase dramatically.

The majority of timber bought and sold commercially has been scaled by weight at the destination mills for many years. The forestry community has become familiar with weight as a unit of measure for timber products and has requested FIA to include weight as a reporting unit for removals. The cubic foot volumes have been converted to green tons throughout this section by using 69.0 pounds of wood and bark per cubic foot of solid wood for softwoods and 77.4 pounds of wood and bark per cubic foot of solid wood for hardwoods. It is important to keep in mind that this is fresh green weight of wood and bark per cubic foot immediately after harvest.

This section focuses on total average annual removals for all-live tree volume for trees $\geq 5$ inches d.b.h. expressed in cubic feet and green tons. It also includes an estimate of removals for stumps, tops, and limbs, expressed as average annual harvest removals from nonmerchantable sources. In addition, an estimate of removals for trees $\geq 5$ inches is discussed under the section for logging residue and is not included in total annual removals.

## Timber Products

The diverse forest products industry in Texas is made up of a variety of mills, ranging from small- to large-sized softwood and hardwood sawmills, oriented strand board mills, and plywood mills to very large pulpmills. This section presents estimates from industry surveys conducted in 2003, 2005, and 2007 to determine the output for timber products and plant byproducts (Xu 2004, 2006, 2008). Data used for this section were compiled from the timber product output (TPO) database and can be found at http://srsfia2.fs.fed.us.

Estimates of TPO and plant residues were obtained from canvasses (questionnaires) sent to all primary wood-using mills in the State. The canvasses are used to determine the types and amount of roundwood or timber (such as saw logs, pulpwood, plywood and veneer, and poles) received by each mill, the county of origin, the species used, and how the mills disposed of the bark and wood residues produced. The canvasses were conducted every year by personnel from the Texas A\&M Forest Service. These data are used to augment the FIA annual inventory of all-live timber removals by giving some idea of the proportions that are used for timber products. Individual TPO studies, or industry surveys, are necessary to track trends and capture changes in product output.

In 2003, volume harvested and delivered for products (including residential fuelwood) from all sources totaled 674.4 million cubic feet ( 23.8 million green tons) (table 14). Output volumes slightly increased in 2005 to 707.0 million cubic feet ( 25.0 million green tons) and declined in 2007 to 634.3 million cubic feet ( 22.4 million green tons). Volume harvested for softwood products in 2003 totaled 542.7 million cubic feet ( 18.7 million green tons) and accounted for 80 percent of the total product volume, while the volume increased in 2005 to 564.8 million cubic feet ( 19.5 million green tons). In 2007, there was a decline from the 2005 output softwood volume totals to 501.7 million cubic feet ( 17.3 million green tons). Hardwood output volume followed the same trend, showing an increase in output from 131.7 million cubic feet ( 5.1 million green tons) in 2003 to 142.2 million cubic feet ( 5.5 million green tons) in 2005, with a decline to 132.5 million cubic feet ( 5.1 million green tons) in 2007.

Saw-log production increased from 247.1 million cubic feet in 2003 to 279.7 million cubic feet in 2005, then decreased 17 percent to 231.6 million cubic feet in 2007. At 199.4 million cubic feet ( 6.9 million green tons), softwoods accounted for 86 percent of saw-log output volume while hardwood output volume totaled 32.2 million cubic feet ( 1.2 million green tons) in 2007.

Table 14-Output of industrial roundwood products by product, species group, and year, Texas

| Product and species group | Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 |
|  | ----- - thousand cubic feet ----- - |  |  | ----------- - green tons ---------- - - |  |  |
| Saw logs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 198,832.0 | 237,699.0 | 199,402.0 | 6,859,789.0 | 8,200,717.0 | 6,879,454.0 |
| Hardwood | 48,263.0 | 41,987.0 | 32,166.0 | 1,866,909.0 | 1,624,140.0 | 1,244,245.0 |
| Total | 247,095.0 | 279,686.0 | 231,568.0 | 8,726,698.0 | 9,824,858.0 | 8,123,699.0 |
| Veneer logs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 178,935.0 | 194,772.0 | 163,637.0 | 6,173,334.0 | 6,719,718.0 | 5,645,547.0 |
| Hardwood | 20.0 | 493.0 | 570.0 | 774.0 | 19,070.0 | 22,049.0 |
| Total | 178,955.0 | 195,265.0 | 164,207.0 | 6,174,108.0 | 6,738,788.0 | 5,667,595.0 |
| Pulpwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 161,940.0 | 129,468.0 | 135,401.0 | 5,586,999.0 | 4,466,702.0 | 4,671,393.0 |
| Hardwood | 77,836.0 | 94,695.0 | 94,867.0 | 3,010,851.0 | 3,662,990.0 | 3,669,644.0 |
| Total | 239,776.0 | 224,163.0 | 230,268.0 | 8,597,850.0 | 8,129,692.0 | 8,341,036.0 |
| Other industrial ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 2,441.0 | 2,329.0 | 2,761.0 | 84,216.0 | 80,351.0 | 95,256.0 |
| Hardwood | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 658.0 |
| Total | 2,441.0 | 2,329.0 | 2,778.0 | 84,216.0 | 80,351.0 | 95,913.0 |
| Total (industrial) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 542,148.0 | 564,268.0 | 501,201.0 | 18,704,338.0 | 19,467,488.0 | 17,291,649.0 |
| Hardwood | 126,119.0 | 137,175.0 | 127,620.0 | 4,878,533.0 | 5,306,201.0 | 4,936,595.0 |
| Total | 668,267.0 | 701,443.0 | 628,821.0 | 23,582,872.0 | 24,773,689.0 | 22,228,244.0 |
| Residential fuelwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 556.0 | 550.0 | 547.0 | 19,182.0 | 18,975.0 | 18,872.0 |
| Hardwood | 5,581.0 | 5,033.0 | 4,918.0 | 215,884.0 | 194,686.0 | 190,238.0 |
| Total | 6,137.0 | 5,583.0 | 5,465.0 | 235,066.0 | 213,662.0 | 209,110.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 542,704.0 | 564,818.0 | 501,748.0 | 18,723,521.0 | 19,486,463.0 | 17,310,521.0 |
| Hardwood | 131,700.0 | 142,208.0 | 132,538.0 | 5,094,417.0 | 5,500,888.0 | 5,126,833.0 |
| Total | 674,404.0 | 707,026.0 | 634,286.0 | 23,817,938.0 | 24,987,351.0 | 22,437,354.0 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not add to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Includes poles, posts, and composite panels.

Pulpwood production totaled 239.8 million cubic feet ( 8.6 million green tons) in 2003, decreased 7 percent to 224.2 million cubic feet ( 8.1 million green tons) in 2005, and increased 3 percent to 230.3 million cubic feet ( 8.3 million green tons) in 2007 . In the 2007 survey, pulpwood accounted for 36 percent of the 634.3 million cubic feet total product output. In 2003, softwood pulpwood production totaled 161.9 million cubic feet ( 5.6 million green tons) with a decrease of 20 percent in 2005 to 129.5 million cubic feet ( 4.5 million green tons). However, softwood pulpwood production increased 5 percent in 2007 to 135.4 million cubic feet ( 4.7 million green tons) or 59 percent of the total pulpwood volume produced. Hardwood pulpwood production in 2003 totaled 77.8 million cubic feet ( 3.0 million green tons) with an increase in 2005 to 94.7 million cubic feet ( 3.7 million green tons). Hardwood pulpwood production was fairly stable from 2005 to 2007, totaling 94.9 million cubic feet ( 3.7 million green tons).

Volume harvested for veneer products in 2003 totaled 179.0 million cubic feet ( 6.2 million green tons) with an increase of 9 percent in 2005 to 195.3 million cubic feet ( 6.7 million green tons). In 2007, volume harvested for veneer dropped 16 percent from 2005 totals to 164.2 million cubic feet ( 5.7 million green tons) and accounted for 26 percent of total products for the State.

Volume harvested for other industrial products such as poles, posts, composite panels, and mulch in 2003 totaled 2.4 million cubic feet $(84,200$ green tons), or $<1$ percent of the State's total product output. In 2005 other industrial products volume declined 5 percent to 2.3 million cubic feet ( 80,400 green tons) and increased 19 percent in 2007 to 2.8 million cubic feet $(96,000$ green tons). Softwood accounted for the majority of volume harvested for other industrial products in all three survey years and represented 99 percent of the volume in 2007.

Volume used for residential fuelwood totaled 6.1 million cubic feet $(235,100$ green tons) and accounted for $<1$ percent of total product output in 2003. During 2005 residential fuelwood production declined slightly to 5.6 million cubic feet $(213,700$ green tons), then decreased again to 5.5 million cubic feet ( 209.1 million green tons) in 2007. At 4.9 million cubic feet (190,200 green tons), hardwoods accounted for 90 percent of the 2007 residential fuelwood production.

## Mill Residue

Mill or plant residues are defined as wood material generated in the production of timber products from roundwood at primary manufacturing plants. This material falls into three main categories:

1. Coarse residues, or material, such as slabs, edgings, trim, veneer cores and ends, which are suitable for chipping
2. Fine residues, or material, such as sawdust, shavings, and veneer residue, which are not suitable for chipping
3. Bark, which is used mainly for industrial fuel.

For many years, most mill residue produced in Texas has been utilized for primary products such as pulp, in secondary products such as mulch and animal bedding, or as fuel at wood product mills.

In 2003 nearly 164.4 million cubic feet, or 56 percent, of mill residue produced was used for industrial fuel either at pulp mills for boiler fuel or at sawmills for dry kiln operations (table 15). This total decreased 48 percent to 84.8 million cubic feet from 2003 to 2005 and decreased another 17 percent to 70.1 million cubic feet in 2007. Bark and fine residue, at 52.4 and 14.1 million cubic feet, respectively, accounted for 80 percent of mill residue utilized for industrial fuel in 2007, as compared to 78 percent in 2005 and 81 percent in 2003. In

Table 15-Disposal of residue at primary wood-using plants by product, species group, type of residue, and year Texas

| Product and species group | All types |  |  | Type of residue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Bark |  |  | Coarse |  |  | Fine |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 |
|  | thousand cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiber byproducts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 88,949 | 85,359 | 76,864 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77,271 | 76,400 | 70,946 | 11,678 | 8,959 | 5,918 |
| Hardwood | 12,667 | 5,963 | 4,908 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,572 | 5,659 | 4,908 | 1,095 | 304 | 0 |
| Total | 101,616 | 91,322 | 81,772 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88,843 | 82,059 | 75,854 | 12,773 | 9,263 | 5,918 |
| Fuel byproduct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 94,986 | 64,174 | 51,217 | 68,125 | 41,758 | 37,063 | 12,288 | 11,660 | 3,112 | 14,573 | 10,756 | 11,042 |
| Hardwood | 69,424 | 20,626 | 18,945 | 54,322 | 15,584 | 15,344 | 2,260 | 1,103 | 518 | 12,842 | 3,939 | 3,083 |
| Total | 164,410 | 84,800 | 70,162 | 122,447 | 57,342 | 52,407 | 14,548 | 12,763 | 3,630 | 27,415 | 14,695 | 14,125 |
| Miscellaneous byproduct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 14,491 | 9,582 | 13,870 | 9,344 | 5,727 | 5,369 | 1,957 | 1,324 | 5,559 | 3,190 | 2,531 | 2,942 |
| Hardwood | 11,144 | 3,441 | 2,588 | 8,456 | 2,426 | 1,833 | 819 | 399 | 205 | 1,869 | 616 | 550 |
| Total | 25,635 | 13,023 | 16,458 | 17,800 | 8,153 | 7,202 | 2,776 | 1,723 | 5,764 | 5,059 | 3,147 | 3,492 |
| Not used byproduct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 66 | 16 | 31 | 19 | 12 | 4 | 44 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Hardwood | 116 | 41 | 202 | 34 | 10 | 20 | 42 | 20 | 1 | 40 | 11 | 181 |
| Total | 182 | 57 | 233 | 53 | 22 | 24 | 86 | 22 | 25 | 43 | 13 | 184 |
| All products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 198,492 | 159,131 | 141,982 | 77,488 | 47,497 | 42,436 | 91,560 | 89,386 | 79,641 | 29,444 | 22,248 | 19,905 |
| Hardwood | 93,351 | 30,071 | 26,643 | 62,812 | 18,020 | 17,197 | 14,693 | 7,181 | 5,632 | 15,846 | 4,870 | 3,814 |
| Total | 291,843 | 189,202 | 168,625 | 140,300 | 65,517 | 59,633 | 106,253 | 96,567 | 85,273 | 45,290 | 27,118 | 23,719 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not add to totals due to rounding.

2007, 88 percent of bark residue produced was used for fuel, with the remainder of the utilized bark going for miscellaneous products. During 2003, 84 percent ( 88.8 million cubic feet) of the total coarse residue produced was utilized for fiber products, increasing to 85 percent ( 82.1 million cubic feet) in 2005. In 2007, use of coarse residue for fiber products also increased, to 89 percent ( 75.9 million cubic feet). Bark and wood residues not utilized accounted for less than one-tenth of 1 percent for all residues produced in 2003, 2005, and 2007.

## Land Use Removals

Land use removals (land clearing or setaside forest land), or removal volume attributed to land use change, accounted for 8 percent of total removals with 64.8 million cubic feet ( 2.4 million green tons)
in 2003; this percentage remained stable with the removal of 72.0 million cubic feet ( 2.7 million green tons) in 2005 (tables 16a and 16b). In 2007, the percentage of land use change removals increased to 9 percent, totaling 74.1 million cubic feet ( 2.7 million green tons). The merchantable portion of live trees accounted for 68 percent ( 44.1 million cubic feet) of land use change removals for 2003. During 2005 the merchantable portion of live trees was unchanged at 68 percent ( 48.9 million cubic feet), only to decrease in 2007 to 65 percent ( 48.3 million cubic feet). The softwood species group accounted for 26.0 million cubic feet, or 40 percent, of the land use change removals in 2003. The share of total land use change removals in the softwood species group dropped to 31 percent ( 22.5 million cubic feet) with an increase to 51 percent ( 38.0 million cubic feet) in 2007.

Table 16a-Volume of timber removals by year, species group, removals class, and source, Texas

|  | Roundwood products |  |  | Logging residues |  |  | Other removals |  |  | All removals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year and species group | Growing stock | Nongrowing stock | All sources | Growing stock | Nongrowing stock | All sources | Growing stock | Nongrowing stock | All sources | Growing stock | Nongrowing stock | All sources |
|  | thousand cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 454,953 | 87,751 | 542,704 | 20,928 | 55,713 | 76,641 | 17,987 | 8,022 | 26,009 | 493,868 | 151,486 | 645,354 |
| Hardwood | 123,008 | 8,692 | 131,700 | 15,723 | 36,468 | 52,191 | 26,118 | 12,644 | 38,762 | 164,849 | 57,804 | 222,653 |
| Total | 577,961 | 96,443 | 674,404 | 36,651 | 92,181 | 128,832 | 44,105 | 20,666 | 64,771 | 658,717 | 209,290 | 868,007 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 526,036 | 38,782 | 564,818 | 18,405 | 62,195 | 80,600 | 15,564 | 6,942 | 22,506 | 560,005 | 107,919 | 667,924 |
| Hardwood | 132,477 | 9,731 | 142,208 | 9,362 | 27,242 | 36,604 | 33,322 | 16,131 | 49,453 | 175,161 | 53,104 | 228,265 |
| Total | 658,513 | 48,513 | 707,026 | 27,767 | 89,437 | 117,204 | 48,886 | 23,073 | 71,959 | 735,166 | 161,023 | 896,189 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood | 475,810 | 25,938 | 501,748 | 33,349 | 68,929 | 102,278 | 29,790 | 8,217 | 38,007 | 538,949 | 103,084 | 642,033 |
| Hardwood | 111,474 | 21,064 | 132,538 | 21,841 | 38,989 | 60,830 | 18,526 | 17,571 | 36,097 | 151,841 | 77,624 | 229,465 |
| Total | 587,284 | 47,002 | 634,286 | 55,190 | 107,918 | 163,108 | 48,316 | 25,788 | 74,104 | 690,790 | 180,708 | 871,498 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
Table 16b-Volume of timber removals by year, species group, removals class, and source, Texas


## Logging Residue

The merchantable portions of trees cut and left onsite are underutilized removals by FIA merchantability standards, while the nonmerchantable portions of trees (part of the l-foot stump or volume in tops $<4$ inches in diameter) used for products are considered overutilized removals by FIA merchantability standards (Mathison and others 2009). Logging residue has been considered a possible source for bioenergy and other timber products during recent years. It is important to keep in mind that logging residue traditionally has not had a marketable value. Retrieval of logging residue is a matter of economics and markets. If markets are available and a willingness to pay a reasonable price exists, then more total tree volume (including what has been left as logging residue) is utilized for products.

Most loggers are set up to merchandise the main bole of the tree or the merchantable portion of the tree (from a 1 -foot stump to a 4 -inch diameter top). The current conventional logging system in Texas is a feller buncher, working with one or two rubber tired grapple skidders, a delimbing gate or pull-through delimber at the deck, a knuckleboom loader, and the appropriate number of tractor trailers to haul the volume harvested. Improved mechanization and equipment capabilities have dramatically increased
productivity and utilization across the South. These systems are typically capable of producing, on average, about 10 loads per day of tree-length wood.

Woody material typically left on a logging site includes:

1. Whole trees, $\geq 5$ inches d.b.h., or portions of the merchantable boles of severed trees broken and left during the felling operation (merchantable)
2. Small trees, <5 inches d.b.h., damaged or killed during harvesting operations (nonmerchantable)
3. Residual stump portions, tops, and limbs or forks not utilized because of insufficient size or quality to fit on the trailers (nonmerchantable).

This wood material left on the site is referred to as merchantable and nonmerchantable logging residues.

FIA calculates the merchantable portion of logging residue in a two-stage process. First, for those plots that were classified as timberland during the previous inventory and that stayed in timberland for the current inventory cycle, the volume of whole trees cut and not utilized is identified by FIA field crews during the remeasurement phase of the inventory. A removal volume is derived for trees that are classified in this category. Second, underutilization factors derived from felled-tree utilization studies are applied to the volume classified as utilized by field crews for the remainder of the merchantable portion of logging residue.

The reader should remember that total removal volume is made up of volume from the merchantable and nonmerchantable portions of removal trees. Overutilization factors from the utilization studies were used to determine how much of the nonmerchantable portion of removals was used for timber products. The nonmerchantable volume is calculated for the land use change removal estimate and added to the merchantable volume for a total land use change removal volume. After the nonmerchantable portion of timber products and land use change values are calculated and subtracted from total nonmerchantable removals volume, the remainder is the volume of nonmerchantable logging residues.

With this in mind, the logging residue volume in Texas for 2003 totaled 128.8 million cubic feet ( 4.7 million green tons), decreasing to 117.2 million cubic feet (4.2 million green tons) in 2005 and increasing to 163.1 million cubic feet ( 5.9 million green tons) in 2007 (table 16a and 16b). This volume accounted for $<20$ percent of total timber removals in each of the 3 years. During 2003, logging residue from the merchantable portion of all-live removals totaled 36.7 million cubic feet ( 1.3 million green tons), or 28 percent of total logging residue, declining to 27.8 million cubic feet ( 997,100 green tons) in 2005 . There was an increase for the 2007 survey in logging residue from the merchantable portion of all-live removals, resulting in 55.2 million cubic feet ( 2.0 million green tons), or 34 percent of total logging residue.

It is interesting to note that while total logging residue accounted for about 13 to 18 percent of total removals in 2003, 2005, and 2007, the merchantable portion of logging residue for both softwood and hardwood combined accounted for about 3 to 6 percent of total live removals for those survey periods. For softwoods, the merchantable portion of logging residue accounted for 3 to 5 percent of the total softwood all-live tree removals for the 2003, 2005, and 2007 surveys. The merchantable portion of hardwood logging residue accounted for 7 percent ( 15.7 million cubic feet) of all-live hardwood
removals ( 222.7 million cubic feet) in 2003. In 2005, the merchantable portion of hardwood logging residue declined to 4 percent ( 9.4 million cubic feet) of all-live removals and increased in 2007 to 10 percent (21.8 million cubic feet). Nonmerchantable sources (such as the residual stump, forks, tops, and limbs) accounted for 92.2 million cubic feet, or 72 percent of total logging residue in 2003. This percentage increased in 2005 , showing 76 percent ( 89.4 million cubic feet) of logging residue came from nonmerchantable sources, and decreased to 66 percent ( 107.9 million cubic feet) in 2007.


[^6] Christopher M. Oswalt, U.S. Forest Service)

## The Forest Sector in the Texas Economy

## Manufacturing Sector and Wood Products Industries

The Texas manufacturing sector contributes significantly to both the State and the Nation's economy. In 2008, the Texas manufacturing sector provided 9 percent of the Nation's manufacturing gross domestic product (GDP). This GDP contribution placed the Texas manufacturing sector second in significance across all States (U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis 2011). Similarly, manufacturing constituted 13 percent of the State's economy during 2008 (U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis 2011). Within the manufacturing sector, Texas wood products industries contributed close to 8 percent of all jobs and 3 percent of the value added (U.S. Census Bureau 2011). This figure, however, represents a 5 -percent decline from 2004 employment levels (U.S. Census Bureau 2011).

## Economic Contribution of the Forest Products Industry

The following analyses were developed by using IMPLAN (IMpact analysis for PLANning) version 3.0 economic modeling tools (Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. 2009). IMPLAN models report on the direct, indirect, induced, and total effects of the forest products industry. For a sector analysis, IMPLAN direct effects represent total sales by the forest products industry. Indirect effects capture total sales resulting from the forest industry's purchase of goods and services from other local industries, and the induced effects denote the impacts from changes in household expenditures resulting from the change in production. Total effects consist of direct, indirect, and induced effects. For each of these
contribution effects, IMPLAN generates estimates for employment (full-time and part-time jobs), labor income, output, and total value added. Output represents the sector's total value of production, and the total value added is the difference between the total output and the costs of intermediate inputs. In other words, total value added is the industry's gross contribution to the overall economy of an area (Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. 2011a).

We assessed the forest products sector's economic contribution by using IMPLAN's estimated total output for each industry as the model's initial effects. Forest industries were grouped into five categories: (1) timber-logging, (2) sawmill-panel, (3) pulp, (4) durable goods, and (5) nondurable goods. Within the manufacturing industries, the primary sector includes sawmill-panel and pulp industries, and the secondary sector comprises industries in the durable and nondurable goods categories. A complete list of the industries included under each category is provided in appendix E. Following, we provide direct and total effect figures for the State models developed using IMPLAN datasets for 2004, 2006 and 2008. Additionally, we provide a summary for 2008 by FIA survey unit. All estimated dollar values are shown in 2008 dollars.

During 2008, Texas' forest products sector provided direct employment totaling 77,310 full-time and part-time jobs, with an associated $\$ 4.05$ billion in labor income. The State's forest sector activity resulted in total employment (direct, indirect, and induced) of 166,553 jobs and labor income close to $\$ 9$ billion. Further, the sector contributed $\$ 6.05$ billion in direct value added and generated an overall $\$ 13.64$ billion in total value added to the State's economy.

## Primary and Secondary Forest Industry

As shown in table 17, a significant portion of the forest sector's economic contribution originated from industries in the secondary sector. During 2008 the secondary sector contributed close to 65 percent of the forest products sector's direct total value added. Within the secondary sector, industries in the durable goods category supplied 43,853 direct jobs, close to 57 percent of the total direct employment generated by the forest sector. Furthermore, this category accounted for $\$ 2.11$ billion ( 35 percent) of the direct value added by the forest sector.

Considering total effects, durable goods industries supplied 76,272 jobs, or 46 percent of the total effect on employment, and $\$ 4.87$ billion ( 36 percent) of the total effect on total value added by the forest sector. Nondurable goods industries ranked second in significance with 30 percent and 32 percent of the value added for direct and total effect, respectively ( $\$ 1.84$ billion of direct total value added and $\$ 4.32$ billion of total value added). In comparison, industries in the primary sector supplied 11,825 jobs and $\$ 1.51$ billion in direct value added, corresponding to 15 percent and 25 percent of the forest sector direct employment and value added, respectively.

Table 17-Forest products sector direct and total economic contribution by year, Texas

| Impact type and category | Employment |  |  | Change | Total value added |  |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2006 | 2008 |  | 2004 | 2006 | 2008 |  |
|  | --- - number of jobs ---- |  |  | - percent - | -- - - millions of dollars -- -- |  |  | - percent |
| Direct effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber logging | 4,599 | 4,809 | 5,625 | 22.3 | \$548.83 | \$434.90 | \$586.04 | 6.8 |
| Sawmill panel | 9,120 | 9,625 | 8,463 | -7.2 | 781.92 | 1,049.01 | 705.04 | -9.8 |
| Pulp | 3,833 | 3,282 | 3,362 | -12.3 | 794.24 | 879.02 | 804.17 | 1.3 |
| Total-primary sector | 12,953 | 12,907 | 11,825 | -8.7 | 1,576.16 | 1,928.03 | 1,509.21 | -4.2 |
| Durable goods | 38,181 | 43,922 | 43,853 | 14.9 | 2,129.39 | 2,723.35 | 2,113.50 | -0.7 |
| Nondurable goods | 18,020 | 17,074 | 16,007 | -11.2 | 1,816.82 | 2,033.62 | 1,840.91 | 1.3 |
| Total-secondary sector | 56,201 | 60,996 | 59,861 | 6.5 | 3,946.21 | 4,756.97 | 3,954.41 | 0.2 |
| Total direct effect | 73,753 | 78,713 | 77,310 | 4.8 | 6,071.21 | 7,119.90 | 6,049.67 | -0.4 |
| Total effect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timber logging | 16,452 | 16,016 | 17,186 | 4.5 | 1,074.82 | 939.74 | 1,171.99 | 9.0 |
| Sawmill panel | 16,301 | 17,462 | 15,397 | -5.5 | 1,388.67 | 1,744.69 | 1,327.34 | -4.4 |
| Pulp | 14,732 | 12,166 | 14,592 | -1.0 | 1,808.75 | 1,744.52 | 1,948.06 | 7.7 |
| Total-primary sector | 31,034 | 29,627 | 29,988 | -3.4 | 3,197.42 | 3,489.22 | 3,275.40 | 2.4 |
| Durable goods | 59,173 | 70,839 | 76,272 | 28.9 | 3,848.85 | 5,009.40 | 4,869.24 | 26.5 |
| Nondurable goods | 45,680 | 42,064 | 43,106 | -5.6 | 4,148.14 | 4,216.26 | 4,320.32 | 4.2 |
| Total-secondary sector | 104,853 | 112,902 | 119,378 | 13.9 | 7,997.00 | 9,225.66 | 9,189.57 | 14.9 |
| Total-total effect | 152,339 | 158,545 | 166,553 | 9.3 | 12,269.24 | 13,654.62 | 13,636.95 | 11.1 |

Note: Percent change corresponds to change between 2004 and 2008.
Source: IMpact analysis for PLANning (IMPLAN) V3.0.

## Trend Analysis

Comparison of the economic contribution from 2004 to 2008 (table 17) reveals a mixed trend. Overall, the primary sector displays a negative trend across all categories. In the case of employment, industries in the primary sector supplied 8.7 percent fewer jobs in 2008 than in 2004, a reduction of approximately 1,128 direct jobs. Likewise, the primary sector's direct total value added fell by nearly $\$ 67$ million. On the other hand, the secondary sector showed a positive change, with a direct effect on employment 6.5 percent higher (3,660 additional full-time and part-time jobs) in 2008 compared to 2004 figures. As seen in figure 28 , the percentage increase in employment in the secondary sector originated from durable goods industries. Additionally, as displayed in figure 28, timber-logging had the highest percentage increase, for both employment and total value added.

Most forest products industries displayed an increase in the direct economic contribution from 2004 to 2006 and a decline from 2006 to 2008. Figure 29 shows direct employment across the industry groups. Timber-logging activity exhibited continuous growth. The contribution from sawmill-panel and durable goods industries increased from 2004 to 2006 and decreased from 2006 to 2008 , although durable goods remained above the 2004 figures. In contrast, direct employment from both pulp and nondurable goods industries decreased from 2004 to 2006. While direct employment in nondurable goods industries decreased from 2006 to 2008 as well, employment in pulp industries showed a slight increase from 2006 to 2008 but remained below 2004 levels.

The direct total value added revealed a different trend (fig. 30), with primary and secondary sectors' economic contribution


Figure 28-Percent change in direct employment and value added between 2004 and 2008, Texas.


Figure 29-Forest products sector direct employment by group category, Texas, 2004, 2006, and 2008.


Figure 30-Forest products sector direct total value added by group category, Texas, 2004, 2006, and 2008.
increasing from 2004 to 2006 and dropping from 2006 to 2008. This fall in economic activity reflects the general economic downturn developing towards the end of this period. For the sawmill-panel and durable goods industry groups the 2008 direct value added fell below 2004 figures. Direct total value added from durable goods industries decreased by approximately $\$ 610$ million between 2006 and 2008.

Similar trends occurred across the primary and secondary sectors' total effect (direct, indirect, and induced effect combined). However, we caution against comparison of total effects across years due to differences in the methodology used to estimate regional purchase coefficients (RPCs). Specifically, for data prior to 2007 IMPLAN calculates multipliers by using RPCs obtained from econometric models based on 51 regions and 120 industries. Starting with the 2007 datasets, however, IMPLAN version 3.0 estimates the RPCs
via trade flow analysis. The trade flow analysis uses all the IMPLAN sectors along with the observed usage at the county level (Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. 2011b).

## Economic Effect by Forest Inventory and Analysis Survey Unit

The forest industry in Texas is distributed across the State in a distinct pattern. Specifically, secondary industries located primarily in the central area of the State (north central and west central units), while primary industries and timberlogging activity concentrate in the southeast and northeast units (Li and Carraway 2009). Using the FIA survey units as area inputs in the IMPLAN model illustrates the varied economic effect of the forest industry across the State (appendix E contains a list of the counties included in each survey unit).

Table 18 displays the forest sector's contribution to the State's economy in 2008 by FIA survey unit. The secondary forest industries located in the north central and west central units accounted for 67 percent of the direct employment, or 40,096 jobs, supplied by the secondary sector in the State. Further, the value added from these two units corresponded to 69 percent of the total value added by the secondary sector. On the other hand, the southeast
and northeast units together accounted for 86 percent of the direct employment and 78 percent of the total direct value added by the timber-logging category. Likewise, the primary industries in the southeast and northeast units provided 78 percent of the employment and 69 percent of the direct value added by the State's primary sector.

The forest products sector's activity in the north central unit far exceeded that of other units in the State both in direct

Table 18-Forest products sector contribution to employment and value added by FIA survey unit, Texas, 2008

| Survey unit and impact type | Employment |  |  |  | Value added |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Timber logging | Primary sector | Secondary sector | Total | Timber logging | Primary sector | Secondary sector | Total |
|  | ----- | - - numb | of jobs - |  |  | - - million | of dollars - |  |
| North Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct | 255 | 1,604 | 28,460 | 30,318 | \$46.36 | \$335.45 | \$2,049.09 | \$2,430.90 |
| Total | 1,036 | 5,511 | 56,919 | 63,466 | 86.48 | 724.85 | 4,629.40 | 5,440.73 |
| Northeast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct | 1,985 | 2,400 | 5,209 | 9,594 | 184.83 | 149.34 | 268.41 | 602.58 |
| Total | 3,207 | 3,595 | 7,833 | 14,635 | 256.71 | 238.38 | 454.57 | 949.66 |
| Northwest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct | 134 | 340 | 2,054 | 2,528 | 19.47 | 33.07 | 132.78 | 185.32 |
| Total | 602 | 747 | 3,338 | 4,688 | 37.13 | 66.91 | 225.40 | 329.44 |
| South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct | 181 | 218 | 3,206 | 3,604 | 30.45 | 17.95 | 163.24 | 211.63 |
| Total | 1,532 | 350 | 4,679 | 6,561 | 65.52 | 27.50 | 262.15 | 355.17 |
| Southeast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct | 2,877 | 6,846 | 7,543 | 17,265 | 273.38 | 885.34 | 577.44 | 1,736.16 |
| Total | 5,441 | 14,541 | 12,940 | 32,923 | 446.41 | 1,726.01 | 1,083.84 | 3,256.27 |
| West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct | 6 | 0 | 1,754 | 1,760 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 99.43 | 100.47 |
| Total | 24 | 0 | 3,093 | 3,117 | 1.66 | 0.00 | 195.11 | 196.77 |
| West Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct | 187 | 417 | 11,636 | 12,241 | 30.51 | 88.07 | 664.02 | 782.60 |
| Total | 732 | 1,049 | 20,426 | 22,207 | 54.35 | 137.46 | 1,375.67 | 1,567.49 |
| All units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct | 5,625 | 11,825 | 59,861 | 77,310 | 586.04 | 1,509.21 | 3,954.41 | 6,049.66 |
| Total | 12,576 | 25,793 | 109,229 | 147,597 | 948.25 | 2,921.12 | 8,226.14 | 12,095.52 |

FIA = Forest Inventory and Analysis.
Source: IMpact analysis for PLANning (IMPLAN) V3.0.
employment and total value added. As displayed in figure 31, the unit's lead in employment contribution can be attributed to secondary sector activity. Industry in the north central unit supplied an estimated 30,318 jobs (table 18), 39 percent of the forest products sector's direct employment. Similarly, forest industry in this unit contributed $\$ 2.43$ billion of direct total value added, 40 percent of the direct total value added by the forest sector to the State's economy. As shown in figure 31, direct employment from timber-logging activity, as well as employment by the primary sector, was concentrated within the southeast and northeast units.

The southeast unit follows the north central unit in terms of overall economic significance for employment and total value added. During 2008 the southeast unit supplied 17,265 direct jobs ( 22 percent of the forest sector direct employment) and \$1.74 billion in direct total value added (almost

29 percent of the forest sector's total direct value added). Third in overall economic contribution, the west central unit provided an estimated 12,241 jobs, or 16 percent of the forest sector's total direct employment. Further, the forest industry in the west central unit generated an estimated $\$ 783$ million in direct total value added, approximately 13 percent of direct value added by the forest sector.

As a side note when comparing results from tables 17 and 18 , table 18 shows only the economic contribution within each survey unit. These unit-level analyses do not consider across-units effects (the effect that forest activity on one unit might have on neighboring units). Therefore, the total effects from all units in table 18 do not match the State totals in table 17. The State analysis (table 17) shows the total contribution to the State, which considers effects within and across counties.


Figure 31-Forest products sector direct employment by group category and survey unit, Texas, 2008.

## Concluding Remarks

The forest sector makes a significant contribution to the Texas manufacturing sector and therefore to the State's economy. During 2008 the forest sector provided 77,310 jobs and $\$ 6.05$ billion in direct total value added to the economy of the State. Overall, the forest sector activity generated a total (direct, indirect, and induced) employment of more than 160,000 jobs and labor income close to $\$ 9$ billion.

The secondary sector accounted for a large portion of the forest sector's total value added and employment, supplying 65 percent of the direct value added and 77 percent of the direct employment. Within the secondary sector, industries in the durable goods category provided 73 percent of the direct employment and 53 percent of the direct total value added.

The primary sector supplied 15 percent of the forest products sector's direct employment and 25 percent of the forest sector's direct total value added. Within the primary sector, the sawmill-panel category provided 72 percent of the direct employment. Industries in the pulp category, on
the other hand, accounted for 53 percent of the direct total value added by the primary sector.

During 2008, the economic activity of the forest products sector showed signs of the general slowdown within the U.S. economy. Between 2006 and 2008 direct employment fell by nearly 2 percent, and the total value added dropped by 15 percent. The primary sector experienced an 8 -percent decline in employment, compared to a 2 -percent employment drop observed in the secondary sector. Conversely, from 2006 to 2008, direct employment in the timber-logging category increased by approximately 17 percent.

Across the State, the north central unit led in employment and value added, followed by the southeast unit. Forest products industries in the north central unit contributed $\$ 2.43$ billion of direct total value added (40 percent of the forest sector total) and 30,318 jobs ( 39 percent of the forest products sector's direct employment). Forest industry in the southeast unit supplied 17,265 jobs and $\$ 1.74$ billion of direct total value added, or 29 percent of the direct value added by the forest sector.

Live oaks, Washington


## Forest Health

## Invasive Plants Found in East Texas Forests

Foresters and ecologists have noted the spread of nonnative invasive species onto United States forest land for decades. Despite soaring costs and inestimable environmental impacts, nonnative invasive species continue to spread across managed and natural forests. This update describes current results from data collected in Texas between 2003 and 2008 and provides graphic illustrations of where invasive plants are being observed in forests across the State.

The estimates and coverage maps of nonnative invasive plants found in Texas' forests will be updated on a periodic basis. For more information regarding past inventory reports for the State, inventory program information, field sampling methodology, and estimation procedures, please refer to the citations at the end of this report.

Invasive plants from the FIA watch list were found on 1,107 forested plots across east Texas (49 percent of forested plots sampled; table 19). Seventeen percent

Table 19-Number of invasive species detections on forest land, number and percent of plots on which they occur by survey unit, Texas, 2008

| Count of <br> unique <br> species | South- <br> east | North- <br> east | SlotsSurveyed <br> plots <br> percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | ---- number----- |  |  |  |
| 1 | 377 | 364 | 741 | 33 |
| 2 | 113 | 148 | 261 | 12 |
| 3 | 53 | 35 | 88 | 4 |
| 4 | 14 | 2 | 16 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 558 | 549 | 1,107 | 49 |

- = no sample for the cell.

Total number of surveyed plots; Southeast = 1,263; Northeast $=995$; total $=2,258$.
of plots contained two or more invasive plants from the list. Invasive plants were detected throughout east Texas, with 44 percent of forested plots in the southeast containing invasives and 55 percent in the northeast containing invasives (fig. 32). Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica) was the most frequently detected plant on east Texas forest land, and was particularly common in the northeast FIA unit (table 20). Chinese tallowtree (Triadica sebifera) was the second most frequently detected invasive plant, and was most common in the southeast unit, along the coast (table 20).


Figure 32—Presence/absence of invasive species on forest land, east Texas, 2008.

Table 20-Invasive species detected on forest land with frequency of plot detections and mean percent subplot cover by common name, scientific name, and survey unit, Texas, 2008

| Common name | Scientific name | Survey unit |  |  | Survey unit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Southeast | Northeast | Total | Southeast | Northeast | Total |
|  |  | -- number of plots ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - - |  |  | -- - mean percent ${ }^{\text {b }}$ - - |  |  |
| Silktree, Mimosa | Albizia julibrissin | 12 | 27 | 39 | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| Chinaberry | Melia azedarach | 33 | 20 | 53 | 15 | 2 | 10 |
| Tallowtree, Popcorntree | Triadica sebifera | 358 | 34 | 392 | 21 | 5 | 20 |
| Chinese/European privet | Ligustrum sinense/L. vulgare | 75 | 177 | 252 | 15 | 9 | 11 |
| Japanese/glossy privet | Ligustrum japonicum/L. lucidum | 20 | 3 | 23 | 23 | 5 | 20 |
| Bush honeysuckles | Lonicera spp. | 9 | 0 | 9 | 11 | - | 11 |
| Sacred bamboo, Nancina | Nandina domestica | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Nonnative roses | Rosa spp. | 4 | 18 | 22 | 16 | 2 | 4 |
| Nonnative climbing yamsair yam/Chinese yam | Dioscorea bulbifera | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | - | 0 |
| English ivy | Hedera helix | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | - | 5 |
| Japanese honeysuckle | Lonicera japonica | 182 | 466 | 648 | 13 | 11 | 12 |
| Kudzu | Pueraria Montana var. Iobata | - | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Chinese/Japanese wisteria | Wisteria sinensis/W. floribunda | - | 2 | 2 | - | 30 | 30 |
| Giant reed | Arundo donax | - | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Tall fescue | Lolium arundinaceum | 1 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 50 | 40 |
| Nonnative bamboos | Phyllostachys spp., Bambus spp. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 30 | 22 |
| Japanese climbing fern | Lygodium japonicum | 117 | 2 | 119 | 10 | 3 | 9 |
| Shrubby lespedeza | Lespedeza fructescens | 2 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Sericea lespedeza | Lespedeza cuneata | 1 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 4 |

- = no sample for the cell.

Total number of surveyed plots: Southeast =1,263; Northeast $=995$; total $=2,258$.
${ }^{a}$ Plot refers to the forested portion of all subplots measured. If a species was detected on more than one subplot, it is only counted once here.
${ }^{b}$ Percent cover in this column is the average cover on an individual subplot, not the whole plot.

Invasive trees were more common in southeastern Texas (fig. 33). Chinese tallowtree was the most commonly detected tree species in both FIA units in east Texas, though it was far more common in the southeast, and covered a larger percentage of the subplots where it was found in that unit (table 20). Chinaberry (Melia azedarach) and mimosa (Albizia julibrissin) were also detected on Texas forest land, although both occurred on fewer than 5 percent of sampled plots (table 20).

Invasive shrubs were more commonly detected in northeastern Texas (fig. 34), though when found in the Southeast, they tended to cover a larger proportion of the subplot (table 20). Chinese and European privets (Ligustrum sinense/L. vulgare) were the most common invasive shrubs, occurring on 11 percent of forested plots in eastern Texas, and 18 percent of plots in northeast Texas alone (table 20). No other invasive shrub occurred on $>1$ percent of sampled plots.


Figure 33-Number of invasive tree species on plots, east Texas, 2008.


Figure 34-Number of invasive shrub species on plots, east Texas, 2008.

Japanese honeysuckle was the only invasive vine occurring on more than three sampled plots (table 20). Though it was detected across east Texas, it was more common in the northeast (fig. 35), where it occurred on 21 percent of sampled plots (table 20). Japanese honeysuckle covered, on average, 12 percent of the area of subplots on which it was detected.

Nonnative herbs and grasses were found on only a handful of sampled plots in east

Texas (fig. 36). Shrubby and Chinese lespedezas (Lespedeza bicolor/cuneata) were most common, although covering only a small aerial proportion of the subplots on which they were found (table 20).

Japanese climbing fern (Lygodium japonicum) occurred throughout southeast Texas on about 9 percent of plots surveyed in that region, and 5 percent of plots statewide (fig. 37). On subplots where it was found, it covered approximately 10 percent of the aerial proportion (table 20).


Figure 35-Number of invasive vine species on plots, east Texas, 2008.


Figure 36-Number of invasive grass and herb species on plots, east Texas, 2008.


Figure 37-Number of invasive fern species on plots, east Texas, 2008.
tracking the spread of common invasive plants across the landscape, and allows for a land-scape-level approach to invasive species problem-solving.

## Down Woody Material

Down woody material (DWM) plot data estimate biomass components of the forest floor that include coarse woody debris, fine woody debris, duff, litter, shrubs/herbs, slash piles, and fuel bed depths (Woodall and Monleon 2008). DWM data are used for evaluating fire risk and fuel loading, as well as for estimating and monitoring carbon pools. DWM data can also be used to assess wildlife dynamics and evaluate soil erosion potential.

For the 2008 survey, forest fuel loads average slightly $>11$ tons per acre on forest land in Texas

Invasive plants are common on nearly one-half of east Texas' forested plots. The prevalence of invasive plants in east Texas underscores the importance of public education regarding the economic and ecological costs of invasive plants, and the need for management and control efforts. Chinese tallowtree and Japanese honeysuckle are particularly problematic in east Texas. Both species are capable of altering local environments through competition with native plants. Chinese tallowtree, in particular, is a threat to native wet prairies, replacing entire ecosystems with monoculture stands of the tree. The FIA Nonnative Invasive Plant program provides a method for
(table 21). Litter and duff compose the largest portions of DWM, with averages of 3.6 tons of litter per acre and 3.3 tons of duff per acre, followed by averages of 2.7 tons per acre of slash, 1.1 tons per acre of fine woody debris, and 0.6 tons per acre of coarse woody debris. Fine woody debris (FWD) represents wood pieces with a diameter of $<3$ inches, and is of importance in predicting fire hazards. FWD is broken out in fuel categories of 1 -hour fuels, 10 -hour fuels, and 100-hour fuels. Coarse woody debris (CWD) represents wood pieces with a diameter $>3$ inches and makes up the 1,000-hour fuel category.

Table 21—Mean fuel loading on forest land by forest-type group and fuel class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Down and deadwood |  |  |  | Forest floor fuels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1- hour | $10-$ hour | $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & \text { hour } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000- } \\ & \text { hour } \end{aligned}$ | Slash | Duff | Litter |
|  | tons per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 13.3 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 13.4 | 12.1 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| Oak-pine | 0.3 | 1.3 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 11.6 |
| Oak-hickory | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 9.9 | 5.6 | 7.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 5.2 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 3.4 |
| Other hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 0.7 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| Nonstocked | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 3.2 |
| All groups | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.6 |

$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Total carbon stocks of DWM were 347.5 million tons in Texas during the 2008 survey (table 22). Litter and duff accounted for the majority, 61 percent, of that volume with 112.5 and 101.0 million tons, respectively. Slash made up another 24 percent or 83.4 million tons, followed by 33.2 million tons of FWD and 17.4 million tons of CWD.

The majority of Texas' CWD is small in diameter, and in intermediate stages of decay (tables 23 and 24). Volume of CWD
averaged 53.8 cubic feet per acre, with an average of 26.8 pieces per acre. The $3.0-$ to 7.9-inch large-end diameter class averaged 16.5 cubic feet per acre and 21.5 pieces per acre, followed by the 8.0 - to 12.9 -inch large-end diameter class, averaging 16.3 cubic feet per acre and 4.4 pieces per acre. Decay class 2 averaged 17.7 cubic feet per acre and 5.7 pieces per acre, while decay class 3 averaged 15.5 cubic feet per acre and 11.8 pieces per acre.

## Forest Health

Table 22-Carbon stocks of dead, down woody materials on forest land by forest-type group, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Forest floor |  | Fine woody debris |  |  | CWD | Slash |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Duff | Litter | Small | Medium | Large |  |  |
|  | million tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 2.6 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 1.4 |
| Exotic hardwood | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 34.6 | 31.1 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 11.0 |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 1.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 6.2 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 34.7 | 43.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 61.7 |
| Oak-pine | 8.9 | 13.6 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 6.6 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 10.8 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 2.8 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 101.0 | 112.5 | 1.7 | 7.1 | 24.4 | 17.4 | 83.4 |

CWD = coarse woody debris.
Numbers in columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table 23-Mean volume of coarse woody debris on forest land by forest-type group, large-end diameter, and decay class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Large-end diameter |  |  |  | Decay class |  |  |  |  | Total volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0- \\ 7.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0- \\ & 12.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 17.9 \end{gathered}$ | 18.0+ | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
|  | cubic feet per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 25.5 | 19.8 | 108.6 | 0.0 | 108.6 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 154.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 51.1 | 43.6 | 22.8 | 19.8 | 16.4 | 37.7 | 36.2 | 31.3 | 15.8 | 137.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 41.0 | 305.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 346.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 346.3 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 5.4 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 11.8 |
| Oak-pine | 48.5 | 62.2 | 93.1 | 16.5 | 40.7 | 38.6 | 37.3 | 73.5 | 30.3 | 220.3 |
| Oak-hickory | 18.8 | 32.7 | 15.3 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 17.9 | 26.6 | 20.7 | 2.1 | 75.2 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 19.9 | 49.8 | 26.3 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 74.1 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 113.2 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 50.1 | 7.7 | 13.5 | 91.4 | 1.6 | 112.0 | 19.4 | 29.1 | 0.7 | 162.8 |
| Other hardwoods | 52.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 40.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.3 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 3.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 16.5 | 16.3 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 17.7 | 15.5 | 12.5 | 3.0 | 53.8 |

$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table 24-Mean count of coarse woody debris on forest land by forest-type group, large-end diameter, and decay class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Large-end diameter |  |  |  | Decay class |  |  |  |  | Total pieces |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 3.0- \\ 7.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0- \\ & 12.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 17.9 \end{gathered}$ | 18.0+ | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
|  | pieces per acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 9.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 14.5 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 51.4 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 12.3 | 19.5 | 14.9 | 9.9 | 7.0 | 63.7 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 25.9 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 12.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 16.6 |
| Oak-pine | 41.1 | 12.7 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 7.8 | 19.1 | 3.3 | 60.2 |
| Oak-hickory | 26.8 | 9.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 18.4 | 9.2 | 1.2 | 37.3 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 21.5 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 21.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 32.2 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 68.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 8.8 | 27.7 | 33.5 | 0.3 | 72.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 78.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 68.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 6.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 21.5 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 11.8 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 26.8 |

$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.


Table 25-Mean cover and height of shrub, herb, litter, and fuel bed on forest land by forest-type group, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Cover |  |  |  |  | Height |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Live shrub | Dead shrub | Live herb | Dead herb | Litter | Live shrub | Dead shrub | Live herb | Dead herb | Fuel bed |
|  |  |  | ercent |  |  |  |  | feet - |  | - - - |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 22.5 | 1.0 | 33.2 | 2.5 | 83.2 | 9.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.6 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 29.5 | 2.3 | 22.1 | 5.6 | 86.2 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.8 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 57.2 | 5.5 | 21.0 | 5.5 | 68.2 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 4.9 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 10.3 | 1.1 | 31.9 | 12.0 | 34.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Oak-pine | 26.4 | 2.6 | 23.8 | 6.7 | 83.2 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 |
| Oak-hickory | 21.4 | 2.0 | 40.7 | 6.2 | 61.8 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 24.0 | 2.1 | 22.1 | 5.4 | 66.4 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 21.6 | 1.9 | 49.1 | 10.3 | 57.6 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Other hardwoods | 12.8 | 0.8 | 36.5 | 7.8 | 68.8 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 13.6 | 2.0 | 42.0 | 15.7 | 32.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 35.7 | 8.4 | 54.7 | 19.7 | 33.4 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 1.5 |
| Nonstocked | 9.8 | 1.9 | 57.6 | 9.1 | 27.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| All groups | 17.3 | 1.9 | 38.2 | 11.1 | 48.1 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

DWM also accounts for the cover and height of shrubs and herbs, live and dead, along with litter cover (table 25). Average cover of live shrubs was 17.3 percent, while dead shrub coverage was 1.9 percent. Live herb coverage was 38.2 percent and dead herb, 11.1 percent. Average height of live shrubs and herbs was 2.7 feet and 1.2 feet, respectively. Average height of dead shrubs and herbs along with the fuel bed was $<$ l foot.

## Crowns

FIA includes visual assessments of individual tree crown condition on the Phase 3 subset of its inventory plots to aid the monitoring of changes and trends in forest health. Tree crown condition can be used to track forest health because a tree undergoing stress reacts by slowing growth and shedding parts of its crown (Millers and others 1992). The shedding of foliage and fine twigs not only changes the tree's appearance but also alters its rate
of photosynthesis and carbohydrate production. Thus, poor crown conditions can be a signal of declining growth rates and degraded forest health.

FIA reports on three tree crown condition variables, crown density, crown dieback, and foliage transparency, and one sapling crown condition variable, sapling crown vigor. Each of the three tree crown variables is visually assessed by a two-person field crew and recorded in increments of 5 percent from 0 to 99 for all-live trees. Sapling crown vigor is recorded in 1 of 3 categories for all-live saplings. All crown assessments are made during the summer, leaf-on season.

All four crown condition indicators were summarized by FIA species group for east and west Texas separately. General differences in average crown condition between the two regions were observed, but no formal comparisons were made. Changes in crown condition between 2003 and 2008 were calculated for east Texas.

## Eastern region summary—Crown

 dieback is a symptom of recent stress demonstrated by the death of fine twigs and branches in the upper and outer portions of the crown. Crown dieback may result from a disruption in water and nutrient transport from the roots to the crown, direct injury to the crown, or even normal physiological processes such as heavy seed production. Overall, 90.5 percent of all trees exhibited $<5$ percent crown dieback. Average diebackwas 0.0 percent for softwoods and 2.2 percent for hardwoods, and ranged from 0.0 percent for several species groups to as high as 7.5 percent for basswood (table 26).

Crown density is a measure of the amount of foliage present on the tree and is recorded as the percentage of light blocked through the projected crown outline by live and dead branches, foliage, and reproductive structures. Within individual species,

Table 26-Mean crown conditions and other statistics ${ }^{a}$ for all-live trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. by species group, east Texas, 2008

| Species group | Plots | Trees | Crown density |  | Crown dieback |  | Foliage transparency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
|  | ---nu | er--- | -- | - | - - pe | - |  |  |
| Softwoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 5 | 52 | 41.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 1.9 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 97 | 1,200 | 43.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 0.8 |
| Cypress | 3 | 55 | 41.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 1.1 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 13 | 33 | 48.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 1.5 |
| Total | 107 | 1,340 | 43.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.8 |
| Hardwoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 17 | 34 | 48.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 1.1 |
| Select red oaks | 14 | 25 | 49.6 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 19.8 | 1.0 |
| Other white oaks | 42 | 213 | 41.7 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 23.8 | 1.0 |
| Other red oaks | 74 | 293 | 45.5 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 22.0 | 0.8 |
| Hickory | 21 | 44 | 50.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 18.4 | 1.2 |
| Hard maple | 3 | 3 | 45.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 23.3 | - |
| Soft maple | 18 | 48 | 42.0 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 24.0 | 2.4 |
| Beech | 6 | 9 | 58.3 | - | 0.0 | - | 20.0 | - |
| Sweetgum | 67 | 239 | 46.0 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 21.0 | 0.5 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 26 | 63 | 47.7 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 20.5 | 1.6 |
| Ash | 12 | 37 | 49.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 22.0 | 1.3 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 1 | 10 | 60.5 | - | 0.0 | - | 23.5 | - |
| Basswood | 1 | 2 | 45.0 | - | 7.5 | - | 20.0 | - |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 61 | 171 | 44.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 23.6 | 1.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 25 | 45 | 46.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 21.9 | 2.2 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 30 | 72 | 43.8 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 20.8 | 1.5 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 1 | 1 | 75.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 40.0 | - |
| Total | 122 | 1,309 | 45.4 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 22.0 | 0.6 |
| Species total | 136 | 2,649 | 44.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 21.0 | 0.5 |

D.b.h. = diameter at breast height; SE = standard error.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
$-=$ SE is not presented for species groups with number of trees <20.
${ }^{a}$ The mean and SE calculations consider the clustering of trees on plots.
higher crown densities typically represent healthier trees. Most crown densities ranged from 30.0 to 55.0 percent (fig. 38). Average crown density was 43.1 percent for softwoods and 45.4 percent for hardwoods, and ranged from 41.2 percent for longleaf and slash pines (Pinus palustris/P. elliottii) to 75.0 percent for the western woodland hardwoods (table 26).

Foliage transparency is an indicator of the amount of foliage present on the tree and
is measured as the percentage of skylight visible through the live, normally foliated portion of the crown. As with crown density, average foliage transparency tends to be species-specific; however, there typically is less variation among the foliage transparency averages than there is among the crown density averages. In general, lower foliage transparency ratings indicate healthier trees. Eighty-six percent of all trees had foliage transparency values of $<25$ percent (fig. 39). Average foliage


Figure 38-Crown density frequency distribution by region, Texas, 2008.


Figure 39-Foliage transparency distribution by region, Texas, 2008.
transparency was 21.0 percent for all trees combined and ranged from a low of 14.0 percent for longleaf and slash pines to a high of 40.0 percent for the western woodland hardwoods (table 26).

Saplings are categorized based upon the amount and condition of foliage present into three broad vigor classes of good, fair,
and poor because their crowns are not developed enough to assess the three crown condition indicators applied to larger trees. Overall, 83.8 percent of the sapling crowns were categorized as good (table 27). The other white oaks group had the lowest percentage of saplings in the good category and the ash group had the highest percentage of saplings in the poor category (table 27).

Table 27—Distribution of sapling crown vigor class for all-live saplings 1.0 to $<5.0$ inches d.b.h. by species group, east Texas, 2008

| Species group | Plots | Trees | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percent | SE ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | $S E^{a}$ | Percent | $S E^{a}$ |
|  | --nu | er - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 2 | 9 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 49 | 164 | 74.4 | 7.1 | 25.0 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Cypress | 2 | 7 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Other eastern softwoods | 12 | 25 | 96.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 61 | 205 | 79.0 | 6.2 | 20.5 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Hardwoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 6 | 8 | 75.0 | - | 25.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Select red oaks | 8 | 12 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Other white oaks | 12 | 20 | 65.0 | 15.6 | 35.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other red oaks | 44 | 105 | 91.4 | 2.8 | 8.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hickory | 15 | 23 | 78.3 | 8.4 | 21.7 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hard maple | 3 | 3 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Soft maple | 18 | 40 | 77.5 | 8.9 | 20.0 | 8.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Beech | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Sweetgum | 51 | 147 | 93.9 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 15 | 27 | 88.9 | 7.7 | 11.1 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ash | 9 | 22 | 72.7 | - | 22.7 | - | 4.5 | - |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 1 | 4 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 54 | 118 | 83.1 | 3.8 | 15.3 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 22 | 40 | 85.0 | 7.8 | 15.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 35 | 96 | 77.1 | 8.6 | 21.9 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Total | 119 | 666 | 85.3 | 2.1 | 13.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Species total | 127 | 871 | 83.8 | 2.4 | 15.2 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 |

[^7]Trees and saplings measured in 2008 were compared with their first measurement in 2003 to determine whether crown conditions improved, declined, or remained stable during the remeasurement period. Among the trees that survived from 2003 to 2008, foliage transparency and crown dieback remained relatively stable for all trees, as did softwood crown density; however, average crown density for surviving hardwoods decreased significantly from 54.5 percent to 45.2 percent (table 28 ). This decrease was due primarily to large declines within the sweetgum, select red
oaks, and other white oaks species groups, but biologically the cause is unknown. Among the saplings that survived, 67.4 percent demonstrated no change in vigor class. An improvement in vigor class was observed for 22.2 percent of the surviving saplings and a decline in vigor class for the remaining 10.4 percent.

As an indicator of degraded health, poor crown conditions are potential signals of impending mortality. On average, trees that died between 2003 and 2008 had poorer crown conditions, and in particular higher

Table 28-Mean crown conditions and other statistics ${ }^{a}$ for all-live trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h., east Texas, paired measurements, 2003-08

| Crown condition indicator and species group | Paired trees only ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2003 |  | 2008 |  | $t$-test p -value |
|  | Plots | Trees | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |  |
|  | - - number -- |  | ------- percent------- |  |  |  |  |
| Crown density |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwoods | 17 | 320 | 42.7 | 2.4 | 42.8 | 2.0 | 0.9510 |
| Hardwoods | 20 | 214 | 54.5 | 2.5 | 45.2 | 1.1 | 0.0001 |
| Total | 23 | 534 | 47.4 | 2.4 | 43.7 | 1.3 | 0.0804 |
| Crown dieback |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwoods | 17 | 320 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0138 |
| Hardwoods | 20 | 214 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4202 |
| Total | 23 | 534 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0627 |
| Foliage transparency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwoods | 17 | 320 | 20.9 | 1.4 | 19.6 | 1.0 | 0.5328 |
| Hardwoods | 20 | 214 | 23.7 | 1.8 | 22.1 | 0.9 | 0.3527 |
| Total | 23 | 534 | 22.0 | 1.3 | 20.6 | 0.7 | 0.3528 |

D.b.h. = diameter at breast height; SE = standard error.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ The mean and SE calculations consider the clustering of trees on plots.
${ }^{b}$ Includes only the trees measured in both inventory cycles.
${ }^{c}$ The probability of obtaining a larger $t$-value under the null hypothesis that the difference between the two means equal 0 .
crown dieback (fig. 40), than the trees that survived. Likewise, saplings with poor crown vigor suffered a larger percentage of mortality than saplings with good or fair crown vigor (fig. 41).

## Western region summary-Crown

 dieback is a symptom of recent stress demonstrated by the death of fine twigs and branches in the upper and outer portions of the crown. Crown dieback may result from a disruption in water and nutrient transport from the roots to the crown, direct injury to the crown, or even normal physiological processes such as heavy seed production. Overall, 81.3 percent of the trees assessed had $<5$ percent crown dieback. Average dieback was 6.5 percent for softwoods and6.6 percent for hardwoods, and ranged from a low of 0.0 percent for tupelo and blackgum to a high of 10.0 percent for black walnut (Juglans nigra) (table 29).

Crown density is a measure of the amount of foliage present on the tree and is recorded as the percentage of light blocked through the projected crown outline by live and dead branches, foliage, and reproductive structures. Within individual species, higher crown densities typically represent healthier trees. Most crown densities (76.0 percent) ranged between 30.0 and 55.0 percent (fig. 38). Average crown density was 55.0 percent for softwoods and 42.0 percent for hardwoods, and ranged as high as 57.6 percent for the western woodland softwoods (table 29).


Figure 40-Crown dieback distribution by tree survivorship for remeasured trees, east Texas, 2008.


Figure 41-Sapling crown vigor class distribution by tree survivorship for remeasured trees, east Texas, 2008.

Table 29-Mean crown conditions and other statistics ${ }^{a}$ for all-live trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. by species group, central/west Texas, 2008

| Species group | Plots | Trees | Crown density |  | Crown dieback |  | Foliage transparency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
|  | ---nu | er--- | --- | - | - - pe | t- - | -- | - |
| Softwoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other eastern softwoods | 6 | 26 | 43.8 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 30.2 | 6.3 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 20 | 110 | 57.6 | 3.5 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 29.1 | 3.5 |
| Total | 26 | 136 | 55.0 | 3.6 | 6.5 | 2.7 | 29.3 | 3.1 |
| Hardwoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select red oaks | 7 | 59 | 37.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 31.6 | 6.4 |
| Other white oaks | 44 | 302 | 43.6 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 23.5 | 2.6 |
| Other red oaks | 5 | 27 | 42.2 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 25.2 | 1.1 |
| Hickory | 9 | 22 | 37.3 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 22.5 | 1.8 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 1 | 2 | 52.5 | - | 0.0 | - | 17.5 | - |
| Ash | 6 | 20 | 47.3 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 22.8 | 2.9 |
| Black walnut | 1 | 1 | 30.0 | - | 10.0 | - | 20.0 | - |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 41 | 148 | 47.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 24.9 | 1.9 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 6 | 19 | 41.6 | - | 1.3 | - | 27.1 | - |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 12 | 57 | 41.8 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 25.4 | 1.5 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 151 | 1,073 | 41.0 | 1.0 | 8.6 | 1.7 | 29.5 | 1.5 |
| Total | 192 | 1,730 | 42.0 | 0.9 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 27.7 | 1.1 |
| Species total | 203 | 1,866 | 42.9 | 0.9 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 27.8 | 1.1 |

D.b.h. = diameter at breast height; SE = standard error.

- = no sample for the cell; SE is not presented for species groups with number of trees <20.
${ }^{a}$ The mean and SE calculations consider the clustering of trees on plots.

Foliage transparency is an indicator of the amount of foliage present on the tree and is measured as the percentage of skylight visible through the live, normally foliated portion of the crown. As with crown density, average foliage transparency tends to be species-specific; however, there typically is less variation among the foliage transparency averages than there is among the crown density averages. In
general, lower foliage transparency ratings indicate healthier trees. Trees with foliage transparency of $<30$ percent represented 74.5 percent of the sample (fig. 39). Average foliage transparency was 27.8 percent for all trees combined and ranged from a low of 17.5 percent for tupelo and blackgum (Nyssa aquatic/N. sylvatica) to a high of 31.6 percent for the select red oaks (Quercus spp.) (table 29).

Saplings are categorized based upon the amount and condition of foliage present into three broad vigor classes of good, fair, and poor because their crowns are not developed enough to assess the three crown condition indicators applied to larger trees. Overall, 75.9 percent of the sapling crowns assessed were categorized as good (table 30). The hickory (Carya spp.) and other eastern softwoods groups had the highest percentage of saplings in the good category and the ash (Fraxinus spp.), group had the highest percentage of saplings in the poor category (table 30).

Comparison of eastern and western regions-Crown conditions in central and west Texas differed from those in east Texas. Specifically, average crown dieback
and average foliage transparency were higher in the western region than in the eastern region (tables 26 and 29). Average crown density was about the same for hardwoods in both regions; however, average crown density for softwoods was higher in the west than in the east (tables 26 and 29). The differences in average crown conditions may be attributable to the different moisture regimes and growth habits (crown form) of the most abundant species in each region. For example, the western softwoods are composed primarily of ash, Pinchot and redberry junipers (Juniperus pinchotti/J. erythrocarpa) which have very different crown forms from the southern pines found in the east. This contrast likely contributed to the difference in average softwood crown density between the two regions.

Table 30—Distribution of sapling crown vigor class for all-live saplings 1.0 to $<5.0$ inches d.b.h. by species group, central/west Texas, 2008

| Species group | Plots | Trees | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percent | $S E^{a}$ | Percent | $S E^{a}$ | Percent | $S E^{a}$ |
|  | ---nu | er--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other eastern softwoods | 3 | 8 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Western woodland softwoods | 14 | 31 | 80.6 | 7.9 | 12.9 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
| Total | 17 | 39 | 84.6 | 6.5 | 10.3 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Hardwoods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select red oaks | 2 | 13 | 76.9 | - | 23.1 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Other white oaks | 11 | 26 | 84.6 | 7.6 | 15.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hickory | 3 | 4 | 100.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Ash | 2 | 12 | 83.3 | - | 8.3 | - | 8.3 | - |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 27 | 85 | 70.6 | 10.9 | 28.2 | 10.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 4 | 5 | 60.0 | - | 40.0 | - | 0.0 | - |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 17 | 57 | 86.0 | 4.8 | 14.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 103 | 266 | 72.9 | 4.2 | 22.2 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 1.9 |
| Total | 140 | 468 | 75.2 | 3.4 | 21.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| Species total | 151 | 507 | 75.9 | 3.2 | 20.7 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 1.1 |

D.b.h. = diameter at breast height; $\mathrm{SE}=$ standard error.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

- = SE is not presented for species groups with number of trees <20.
${ }^{a}$ SE calculations consider the clustering of trees on plots.
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## Glossary

Afforestation-Area of land previously classified as nonforest that is converted to forest by tree planting or by natural reversion to forest.

Average annual mortality-Average annual volume of trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. that died from human and natural causes during the intersurvey period.

Average annual removals-Average annual volume of trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. removed from the inventory by harvesting, cultural operations (such as timber-stand improvement), land clearing, or changes in land use during the intersurvey period.

Average net annual growth-Average annual net change in volume of trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h./d.r.c. without taking into account losses from cutting (gross growth minus mortality) during the intersurvey period.

Basal area-The cross sectional area of a tree at breast height or of all the trees in a stand, usually expressed in square feet or square feet per acre.

Biomass-The aboveground fresh weight of solid wood and bark in live trees $\geq 1.0$-inch d.b.h. from the ground to the tip of the tree. All foliage is excluded. The weight of wood and bark in lateral limbs, secondary limbs, and twigs $<0.5$ inch in diameter at the point of occurrence is included for sapling-size trees but is excluded for poletimber and sawtimber size trees.

Bole-That portion of a tree between a l-foot stump and a 4 -inch top d.o.b. in trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h.

Census water-Streams, sloughs, estuaries, canals, and other moving bodies of water $\geq 200$-feet wide, and lakes, reservoirs, ponds, and other permanent bodies of water $\geq 4.5$ acres in area.

Commercial species-Tree species currently or potentially suitable for industrial wood products

Diameter at breast height (d.b.h.)—The diameter for tree stem, located at 4.5 feet above the ground (breast height) on the uphill side of a tree. The point of diameter measurement may vary on abnormally formed trees.

Diameter class-A classification of trees based on tree d.b.h. Forest inventory and analysis commonly uses 2 -inch diameter classes, with the even inch as the approximate midpoint for a class. For example, the 6-inch class includes trees 5.0 through 6.9 inches d.b.h.
D.o.b. (diameter outside bark)—Stem diameter including bark.

Forest land-Land that is at least 10 percent stocked by forest trees of any size, or land formerly having such tree cover, and is not currently developed for a nonforest use. The minimum area for classification as forest land is 1 acre. Forested strips must be at least 120 feet wide to qualify as forest land.

Forest management type-A classification of timberland based on forest type and stand origin.

Pine plantation—Stand that (a) has been artificially regenerated by planting or direct seeding, (b) is classed as a member of the pine or other softwood forest type, and (c) has at least 10-percent stocking.

Natural pine—Stand that (a) has not been artificially regenerated, (b) is classed as a member of the pine or other softwood forest type, and (c) has at least 10-percent stocking.

Oak-pine—Stand that has at least 10-percent stocking and is classed as a member of the oak-pine forest type.

Upland hardwood—Stand that has at least 10-percent stocking and classed as a member of the oak-hickory or maple-beech-birch forest type.

Lowland hardwood-Stand that has at least 10-percent stocking and is classed as a member of the oak-gum-cypress, elm-ash-cottonwood, palm, or other tropical forest type.

Nonstocked stands—Stands < 10 percent stocked with live trees.

Forest-type group-A combination of forest types that share closely associated species or site requirements.

White-red-jack pine-Forests in which eastern white pine, red pine, or jack pine, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include hemlock, birch, and maple.)

Spruce-fir—Forests in which spruce or true firs, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include maple, birch, and hemlock.)

Longleaf-slash pine-Forests in which longleaf or slash pine, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include oak, hickory, and gum.)

Loblolly-shortleaf pine-Forests in which loblolly pine, shortleaf pine, or other southern yellow pines, except longleaf or slash pine, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include oak, hickory, and gum.)

Oak-pine—Forests in which hardwoods (usually upland oaks) constitute a plurality of the stocking but in which pines account for 25 to 50 percent of the stocking. (Common associates include gum, hickory, and yellow-poplar.)

Oak-hickory-Forests in which upland oaks or hickory, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking, except where pines account for 25 to 50 percent of stocking, in which case the stand is classified as oak-pine. (Common associates include yellow-poplar, elm, maple, and black walnut.)

Oak-gum-cypress-Bottomland forests in which tupelo, blackgum, sweetgum, oaks, or southern cypress, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking, except where pines account for 25 to 50 percent of stocking, in which case the stand is classified as oak-pine. (Common associates include cottonwood, willow, ash, elm, hackberry, and maple.)

Elm-ash-cottonwood—Forests in which elm, ash, or cottonwood, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include willow, sycamore, beech, and maple.)

Maple-beech-birch—Forests in which maple, beech, or yellow birch, singly or in combination, constitute a plurality of the stocking. (Common associates include hemlock, elm, basswood, and white pine.)

Nonstocked stands—Stands 10 percent stocked with live trees.

Forested tract size-The area of forest within the contiguous tract containing each forest inventory and analysis sample plot.

Fresh weight-Mass of tree component at time of cutting.

Gross growth—Annual increase in volume of trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. in the absence of cutting and mortality. (Gross growth includes survivor growth, ingrowth, growth on ingrowth, growth on removals before removal, and growth on mortality before death.)

Growing-stock trees-Living trees of commercial species classified as sawtimber, poletimber, saplings, and seedlings. Trees must contain at least one 12 -foot or two 8 -foot logs in the saw-log portion, currently or potentially (if too small to qualify), to be classed as growing stock. The $\log (\mathrm{s})$ must meet dimension and merchantability standards to qualify. Trees must also have, currently or potentially, one-third of their gross board-foot volume in sound wood.

Growing-stock volume-The cubic-foot volume of sound wood in growing-stock trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. from a 1 -foot stump to a minimum 4.0-inch top d.o.b. of the central stem.

Hardwoods-Tree species belonging to the botanical divisions Magnoliophyta, Ginkgophyta, Cycadophyta, or Pteridophyta, usually angiospermic, dicotyledonous, broad-leaved and deciduous.

Soft hardwoods—Hardwood species with an average specific gravity of $\leq 0.50$, such as gums, yellow-poplar, cottonwoods, red maple, basswoods, and willows.

Hard hardwoods-Hardwood species with an average specific gravity $>0.50$, such as oaks, hard maples, hickories, and beech.

Hot check-An inspection normally done as part of the training process. The inspector is present on the plot with the trainee and provides immediate feedback regarding data quality. Data errors are corrected. Hot checks can be done on training plots or production plots. See: Quality assurance and quality control.

Industrial wood-All roundwood products except fuelwood.

Land area-The area of dry land and land temporarily or partly covered by water, such as marshes, swamps, and river floodplains (omitting tidal flats below mean high tide), streams, sloughs, estuaries, and canals 200feet wide, and lakes, reservoirs, and ponds 4.5 acres in area.

Live trees-All living trees. All size classes, all tree classes, and both commercial and noncommercial species are included.

Log grade—A classification of logs based on external characteristics indicating quality or value.

Logging residues-The unused merchantable portion of growing-stock trees cut or destroyed during logging operations.

Net annual change-Net annual increase or decrease in volume of live trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. Net annual change is equal to net annual growth minus average annual removals.

Noncommercial species-Tree species of typically small size, poor form, or inferior quality that normally do not develop into trees suitable for industrial wood products.

Nonforest land-Land that has never supported forests and land formerly forested where timber production is precluded by development for other uses.

Nonstocked stands—Stands $<10$ percent stocked with live trees.

Other forest land-Forest land other than timberland and reserved forest land. It includes available and reserved forest land that is incapable of producing 20 cubic feet per acre per year of wood under natural conditions because of adverse site conditions such as sterile soils, dry climate, poor drainage, high elevation, steepness, or rockiness.

Other removals-The volume of trees removed from the inventory by cultural operations such as timber stand improvement, land clearing, and other changes in land use, resulting in the removal of the trees from timberland.

Ownership-A legal entity having control of a parcel or group of parcels of land. An ownership may be an individual; a combination of persons; a legal entity such as corporation, partnership, club, or trust; or a public agency.

National forest land-Federal land that has been legally designated as national forests or purchase units, and other land under the administration of the Forest Service, including experimental areas and Bankhead-Jones Title III land.

Forest industry land-An ownership class of private lands owned by a company or an individual(s) operating a primary woodprocessing plant.

Nonindustrial private forest (NIPF) landPrivately owned land excluding forest industry land.

Corporate-Owned by corporations, including incorporated farm ownerships.

Individual—All lands owned by individuals, including farm operators.

Other public—An ownership class that includes all public lands except national forests.

Miscellaneous Federal land-Federal land other than national forests.

State, county, and municipal land-Land owned by States, counties, and local public agencies or municipalities, or land leased to these governmental units for 50 years or more.

Plant residues-Wood material generated in the production of timber products at primary manufacturing plants.

Coarse residues-Material, such as slabs, edgings, trim, veneer cores and ends, suitable for chipping.

Fine residues-Material, such as sawdust, shavings, and veneer chippings, not suitable for chipping.

Plant byproducts—Residues (coarse or fine) used in the manufacture of industrial products or for consumer use or as fuel.

Unused plant residues-Residues (coarse or fine) not used for any product, including fuel.


Poletimber-size tree-Softwoods 5.0 to 8.9 inches d.b.h. and hardwood 5.0 to 10.9 inches d.b.h.

Primary wood-using plants-Industries receiving roundwood or chips from roundwood for the manufacture of products, such as veneer, pulp, and lumber.

Productive-reserved forest land—Forest land sufficiently productive to qualify as timberland but withdrawn by statute or administrative regulation from production of timber that is utilized.

Reforestation-Area of land previously classified as forest that is regenerated by tree planting or natural regeneration.

Rotten trees-Live trees of commercial species not containing at least one 12 foot saw log, or two noncontiguous saw logs, each $\geq 8$ feet in length, now or prospectively, primarily because of rot or missing sections, and with less than one third of the gross board foot tree volume in sound material.

Rough trees-Live trees of commercial species not containing at least one 12 foot saw log, or two noncontiguous saw logs, each $\geq 8$ feet in length, now or prospectively, primarily because of roughness, poor form, splits, and cracks, and with less than one third of the gross board foot tree volume in sound material; and live trees of noncommercial species.

Roundwood (roundwood logs)—Logs, bolts, or other round sections cut from trees for industrial or consumer uses.

Roundwood chipped-Any timber cut primarily for pulpwood, delivered to nonpulpmills, chipped, and then sold to pulpmills as residues, including chipped tops, jump sections, whole trees, and pulpwood sticks.

Roundwood products-Any primary product such as lumber, poles, pilings, pulp, or fuelwood that is produced from roundwood.

Salvable dead trees-Standing or downed dead trees that were formerly growing stock and are considered merchantable. Trees must be $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. to qualify.

Sapling-Live trees 1.0 to 4.9 inches d.b.h./d.r.c.

Saw log-A log meeting minimum standards of diameter, length, and defect, including logs $\geq 8$-feet long, sound and straight, with a minimum diameter inside bark for softwoods of 6 inches ( 8 inches for hardwoods).

Saw-log portion-The part of the bole of sawtimber trees between a 1 foot stump and the saw $\log$ top.

Saw-log top-The point on the bole of sawtimber trees above which a conventional saw $\log$ cannot be produced. The minimum saw $\log$ top is 7.0 inches d.o.b. for softwoods and 9.0 inches d.o.b. for hardwoods.

Sawtimber-size trees-Softwoods $\geq 9.0$ inches d.b.h. and hardwoods $\geq 11.0$ inches d.b.h.

Sawtimber volume-Growing stock volume in the saw-log portion of sawtimber size trees in board feet (International $1 / 4$-inch rule).

Seedlings-Trees 1.0-inch d.b.h. and 1-foot tall for hardwoods, 6 inches tall for softwood, and 0.5 inch in diameter at ground level for longleaf pine.

Select red oaks-The group consisting of cherrybark, Shumard, and northern red oaks. Other red oak species are included in the "other red oaks" group.

Select white oaks-The group consisting of white, swamp chestnut, swamp white, chinkapin, Durand, and bur oaks. Other white oak species are included in the "other white oaks" group.

Site class-A classification of forest land in terms of potential capacity to grow crops of industrial wood based on fully stocked natural stands.

Softwoods-Coniferous trees, usually evergreen, having leaves that are needles or scalelike.

Yellow pines-Loblolly, longleaf, slash, pond, shortleaf, pitch, Virginia, sand, spruce, and Table Mountain pines.

Other softwoods-Cypress, eastern redcedar, white-cedar, eastern white pine, eastern hemlock, spruce, and fir.

Stand age-A stand descriptor that indicates the average age of the live dominant and codominant trees in the predominant stand-size class of a condition.

Stand origin-A classification of forest stands describing their means of origin.

Planted—Planted or artificially seeded.
Natural-No evidence of artificial regeneration.

Stand-size class-A classification of forest land based on the diameter-class distribution of live trees in the stand. See definitions of large-, medium-, and smalldiameter trees.

Large-diameter stands-Stands at least 10 percent stocked with live trees, with onehalf or more of total stocking in largeand medium-diameter trees, and with large-diameter tree stocking at least equal to medium-diameter tree stocking.

Medium-diameter stands—Stands at least 10 percent stocked with live trees, with onehalf or more of total stocking in mediumand large-diameter trees, and with medium-diameter tree stocking exceeding large-diameter tree stocking.

Small-diameter stands-Stands at least 10 percent stocked with live trees, in which small-diameter trees account for more than one-half of total stocking.

Nonstocked stands—Stands < 10 percent stocked with live trees.

Stocking-The degree of occupancy of land by trees, measured by basal area or the number of trees in a stand and spacing in the stand, compared with a minimum standard, depending on tree size, required to fully utilize the growth potential of the land.

Density of trees and basal area per acre required for full stocking:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\begin{array}{c}\text { D.b.h. } \\ \text { class }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Trees per } \\ \text { acre for full } \\ \text { stocking }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Basal area } \\ \text { inches }\end{array} \\ \text { Square feet } \\ \text { per acre }\end{array}\right\}$

Timber products-Roundwood products and byproducts.

Timberland-Forest land that is producing or capable of producing 20 cubic feet per acre or more per year of wood at culmination of mean annual increment. Timberland excludes reserved forest lands.

Tree-A woody perennial plant, typically large, with a single well-defined stem carrying a more or less definite crown; sometimes defined as attaining a minimum diameter of 3 inches and a minimum height of 15 feet at maturity. For FIA, any plant on the tree list in the current field manual is measured as a tree.

Tree grade-A classification of the sawlog portion of large-diameter trees based on: (1) the grade of the butt log, or (2) the ability to produce at least one 12 -foot or two 8 -foot logs in the upper section of the saw-log portion. Tree grade is an indicator of quality; grade 1 is the best quality.

Upper stem portion-The part of the main stem or fork of sawtimber trees above the saw-log top to a minimum top diameter of 4.0 inches d.o.b. or to the point where the main stem or fork breaks into limbs.

Volume of live trees-The cubic-foot volume of sound wood in live trees $\geq 5.0$ inches d.b.h. from a l-foot stump to a minimum 4.0-inch top d.o.b. of the central stem.

Volume of saw-log portion of sawtimber trees-The cubic-foot volume of sound wood in the saw-log portion of sawtimber trees. Volume is the net result after deductions for rot, sweep, and other defects that affect use for lumber.

## Metric Equivalents

1 acre $=4046.87 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or 0.404686 ha
1 cubic foot $=0.028317 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
1 inch $=2.54 \mathrm{~cm}$ or 0.0254 m
Breast height $(4.5$ feet $)=1.4 \mathrm{~m}$ above the ground
1 square foot $=929.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ or $0.0929 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
1 square foot of basal area per acre $=0.229568 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per ha
1 cubic foot per acre $=0.0699722 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per ha
1 pound $=0.454 \mathrm{~kg}$
1 ton $=0.907$ metric ton

| Table A.1-Percentage of area by land status, Texas, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Land status | Area |
|  | percent |
| Accessible forest land |  |
| Unreserved forest land |  |
| Timberland | 8.0 |
| Unproductive | 21.6 |
| Total | 29.6 |
| Reserved forest land |  |
| Productive | 0.1 |
| Unproductive | 0.1 |
| Total | 0.2 |
| Total forest land | 29.8 |
| Nonforest and other area |  |
| Nonforest land | 54.5 |
| Water |  |
| Noncensus water | 0.3 |
| Census water | 2.4 |
| Total | 57.2 |
| Nonsampled area |  |
| Access denied | 12.8 |
| Hazardous conditions | 0.2 |
| All area | 100.0 |
| Total area (thousands of acres) | 171,891.0 |
| Numbers in columns may not sum to totals due to rounding. <br> $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but <0.05. |  |

Table A.1.1—Area by survey unit and land status, Texas, 2008

| Survey unit | Total area | All forest | Land status |  |  |  |  |  | Nonforest land | Census water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unreserved |  |  | Reserved |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Timberland | Unproductive | Total | Productive | Unproductive |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | thousand | d acres |  |  |  |  |
| Southeast | 12,500.1 | 6,793.7 | 6,667.0 | 6,637.9 | 29.1 | 126.7 | 126.7 | 0.0 | 5,061.0 | 645.4 |
| Northeast | 9,918.0 | 5,334.9 | 5,334.9 | 5,326.9 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4,293.4 | 289.7 |
| North Central | 22,777.5 | 6,779.8 | 6,728.3 | 1,923.3 | 4,805.0 | 51.5 | 41.0 | 10.5 | 15,457.9 | 539.8 |
| South | 26,625.6 | 9,136.4 | 9,115.3 | 359.7 | 8,755.7 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 15,066.9 | 2,422.3 |
| West Central | 31,604.1 | 18,138.3 | 18,043.7 | 190.5 | 17,853.2 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 94.7 | 13,153.9 | 311.8 |
| Northwest | 44,939.2 | 10,834.0 | 10,806.9 | 18.8 | 10,788.1 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 33,913.0 | 192.1 |
| West | 23,526.5 | 5,465.7 | 5,382.2 | 9.1 | 5,373.1 | 83.5 | 0.0 | 83.5 | 18,037.6 | 23.3 |
| All units | 171,891.0 | 62,482.8 | 62,078.2 | 14,466.2 | 47,612.0 | 404.6 | 188.8 | 215.8 | 104,983.8 | 4,424.5 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.2—Area of forest land by ownership class and land status, Texas, 2008

| Ownership class | All forest land | Land status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unreserved |  |  | Reserved |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Timberland | Unproductive | Total | Productive | Unproductive |
|  |  |  |  | acres |  |  |  |
| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National forest | 698.2 | 668.2 | 659.2 | 8.9 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 |
| National grassland | 44.4 | 33.9 | 10.5 | 23.4 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 0.0 |
| Other Forest Service | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 746.7 | 706.2 | 673.9 | 32.3 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 0.0 |
| Other Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Park Service | 174.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 174.0 | 91.8 | 82.2 |
| Bureau of Land Management | 13.6 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | 157.4 | 109.7 | 12.0 | 97.7 | 47.8 | 38.5 | 9.2 |
| Dept. of Defense/Dept. of Energy | 455.7 | 429.8 | 139.2 | 290.7 | 25.9 | 4.9 | 21.0 |
| Other Federal | 77.0 | 77.0 | 38.1 | 38.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 877.7 | 630.1 | 189.2 | 440.8 | 247.6 | 135.2 | 112.5 |
| State and local government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 1,430.9 | 1,365.8 | 151.4 | 1,214.4 | 65.1 | 9.7 | 55.5 |
| Local | 574.5 | 540.1 | 92.7 | 447.4 | 34.4 | 3.4 | 31.1 |
| Other non-Federal public | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 16.8 |
| Total | 2,022.3 | 1,905.9 | 244.1 | 1,661.8 | 116.4 | 13.0 | 103.3 |
| Forest industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate | 2,215.8 | 2,215.8 | 2,213.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local partnership/association/club | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native American | 17.2 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | 35.8 | 35.8 | 6.2 | 29.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 2,274.7 | 2,274.7 | 2,243.2 | 31.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nonindustrial private |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate | 6,940.8 | 6,940.8 | 2,283.2 | 4,657.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Conservation/natural resources organization | 223.3 | 223.3 | 37.8 | 185.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local partnership/association/club | 4,231.8 | 4,231.8 | 392.9 | 3,838.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native American | 110.5 | 110.5 | 22.3 | 88.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | 45,054.9 | 45,054.9 | 8,379.6 | 36,675.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 56,561.3 | 56,561.3 | 11,115.8 | 45,445.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All classes | 62,482.8 | 62,078.2 | 14,466.2 | 47,612.0 | 404.6 | 188.8 | 215.8 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.3—Area of forest land by forest-type group and site productivity class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | All classes | Site productivity class (cubic feet/acre/year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20- \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50- \\ 84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85- \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120- \\ 164 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165- \\ & 224 \end{aligned}$ | 225+ |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.0 | 69.6 | 56.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 5,050.0 | 0.0 | 75.9 | 938.8 | 2,177.2 | 1,468.0 | 390.1 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 262.1 | 144.5 | 64.7 | 39.6 | 9.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 9,502.7 | 9,487.8 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 15,006.2 | 9,632.2 | 155.4 | 1,039.5 | 2,256.0 | 1,528.4 | 394.7 | 0.0 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,704.5 | 112.4 | 125.0 | 497.2 | 707.4 | 245.3 | 17.2 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 13,621.7 | 9,253.2 | 1,307.6 | 1,307.6 | 1,230.0 | 444.6 | 76.7 | 2.2 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 2,144.9 | 551.2 | 149.3 | 472.4 | 571.2 | 337.6 | 55.5 | 7.7 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 2,728.8 | 1,470.7 | 555.8 | 309.5 | 321.4 | 60.5 | 6.0 | 4.8 |
| Other hardwoods | 633.2 | 601.4 | 12.6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 23,405.6 | 23,352.3 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 237.6 | 12.0 | 34.3 | 92.2 | 40.3 | 51.3 | 7.5 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 44,476.4 | 35,353.2 | 2,237.9 | 2,684.9 | 2,876.0 | 1,146.8 | 162.9 | 14.7 |
| Nonstocked | 3,000.2 | 2,842.4 | 56.5 | 26.3 | 49.5 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 62,482.8 | 47,827.8 | 2,449.9 | 3,750.6 | 5,181.5 | 2,700.7 | 557.6 | 14.7 |

[^8]Table A.3.1-Area of timberland by forest-type group and site productivity class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ | Site productivity class (cubic feet/acre/year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20- \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50- \\ 84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85- \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120- \\ 164 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165- \\ & 224 \end{aligned}$ | 225+ |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.0 | 69.6 | 56.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 4,992.9 | 0.0 | 66.2 | 938.8 | 2,151.7 | 1,455.9 | 380.2 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 117.6 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 39.6 | 9.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 14.9 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 5,316.8 | 0.0 | 145.8 | 1,039.5 | 2,230.4 | 1,516.4 | 384.7 | 0.0 |
| Hardwood types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,570.0 | 0.0 | 125.0 | 485.7 | 696.9 | 245.3 | 17.2 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 4,319.2 | 0.0 | 1,297.0 | 1,286.7 | 1,224.0 | 432.5 | 76.7 | 2.2 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 1,542.6 | 0.0 | 149.3 | 439.3 | 563.9 | 326.8 | 55.5 | 7.7 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 1,249.0 | 0.0 | 555.8 | 309.5 | 321.4 | 51.4 | 6.0 | 4.8 |
| Other hardwoods | 31.8 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 53.2 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 225.7 | 0.0 | 34.3 | 92.2 | 40.3 | 51.3 | 7.5 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 8,991.5 | 0.0 | 2,227.4 | 2,619.4 | 2,852.2 | 1,114.9 | 162.9 | 14.7 |
| Nonstocked | 157.8 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 26.3 | 49.5 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 14,466.2 | 0.0 | 2,429.7 | 3,685.2 | 5,132.2 | 2,656.8 | 547.7 | 14.7 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.4—Area of forest land by forest-type group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group |  | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All ownerships | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 112.9 | 67.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 5,050.0 | 572.2 | 42.2 | 55.7 | 1,390.6 | 2,989.2 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 262.1 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 242.9 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 9,502.7 | 0.0 | 130.0 | 430.9 | 9.6 | 8,932.1 |
| Total softwoods | 15,006.2 | 583.8 | 182.8 | 495.3 | 1,513.1 | 12,231.2 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,704.5 | 50.4 | 65.2 | 20.5 | 206.0 | 1,362.4 |
| Oak-hickory | 13,621.7 | 61.2 | 231.8 | 171.7 | 203.4 | 12,953.7 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 2,144.9 | 27.2 | 122.2 | 34.0 | 280.3 | 1,681.2 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 2,728.8 | 16.5 | 77.2 | 114.7 | 8.7 | 2,511.7 |
| Other hardwoods | 633.2 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 621.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 23,405.6 | 0.0 | 114.6 | 1,125.3 | 20.0 | 22,145.6 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 237.6 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 13.6 | 21.0 | 192.9 |
| Total hardwoods | 44,476.4 | 163.0 | 625.7 | 1,480.0 | 739.3 | 41,468.4 |
| Nonstocked | 3,000.2 | 0.0 | 69.1 | 47.0 | 22.3 | 2,861.8 |
| All groups | 62,482.8 | 746.7 | 877.7 | 2,022.3 | 2,274.7 | 56,561.3 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.4.1—Area of timberland by forest-type group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 112.9 | 67.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 4,992.9 | 546.7 | 20.3 | 46.0 | 1,390.6 | 2,989.2 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 117.6 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 101.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.9 |
| Total softwoods | 5,316.8 | 558.2 | 30.9 | 52.2 | 1,503.5 | 3,172.1 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,570.0 | 45.9 | 18.6 | 20.5 | 206.0 | 1,279.1 |
| Oak-hickory | 4,319.2 | 35.0 | 48.1 | 71.1 | 203.4 | 3,961.6 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 1,542.6 | 18.2 | 57.5 | 28.4 | 280.3 | 1,158.1 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 1,249.0 | 16.5 | 24.0 | 50.5 | 8.7 | 1,149.3 |
| Other hardwoods | 31.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.8 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 53.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.2 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 225.7 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 13.6 | 21.0 | 180.9 |
| Total hardwoods | 8,991.5 | 115.7 | 158.4 | 184.1 | 719.3 | 7,814.1 |
| Nonstocked | 157.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 20.4 | 129.6 |
| All groups | 14,466.2 | 673.9 | 189.2 | 244.1 | 2,243.2 | 11,115.8 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.5—Area of forest land by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { classes } \end{aligned}$ | Stand-size class |  |  | Nonstocked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large diameter | Medium diameter | Small diameter |  |
|  |  |  | acres |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 132.9 | 40.5 | 18.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 5,050.0 | 2,707.6 | 1,355.6 | 986.8 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 262.1 | 128.6 | 91.8 | 41.7 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 9,502.7 | 4,449.6 | 2,338.8 | 2,714.2 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 15,006.2 | 7,418.8 | 3,826.7 | 3,760.7 | 0.0 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,704.5 | 816.6 | 305.1 | 582.9 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 13,621.7 | 3,978.1 | 4,712.3 | 4,931.3 | 0.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 2,144.9 | 1,263.1 | 308.3 | 573.6 | 0.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 2,728.8 | 1,094.0 | 684.7 | 950.1 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 633.2 | 56.5 | 262.8 | 313.9 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 23,405.6 | 6,880.1 | 3,557.1 | 12,968.4 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 237.6 | 6.5 | 62.0 | 169.2 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 44,476.4 | 14,094.8 | 9,892.2 | 20,489.4 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 3,000.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,000.2 |
| All groups | 62,482.8 | 21,513.6 | 13,718.9 | 24,250.1 | 3,000.2 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.6-Area of forest land by forest-type group and stand-age class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | All classes | Stand-age class (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nonstocked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1- \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21- \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41- \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61- \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81- \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 101- \\ 120 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 121- \\ 140 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141- \\ 160 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161- \\ 180 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181- \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 57.4 | 108.0 | 17.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 5,050.0 | 2,096.5 | 1,596.5 | 853.9 | 417.9 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 262.1 | 48.1 | 56.3 | 107.7 | 39.4 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 9,502.7 | 1,201.8 | 3,326.6 | 3,084.2 | 1,240.4 | 547.0 | 35.5 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 16.8 |
| Total softwoods | 15,006.2 | 3,403.8 | 5,087.4 | 4,062.9 | 1,706.7 | 624.1 | 35.5 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 35.4 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,704.5 | 575.1 | 423.4 | 548.0 | 119.0 | 36.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 13,621.7 | 2,024.2 | 3,357.2 | 4,590.2 | 2,328.6 | 965.8 | 113.8 | 32.0 | 128.8 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 72.5 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 2,144.9 | 367.9 | 520.3 | 709.0 | 420.8 | 91.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 2,728.8 | 499.5 | 948.7 | 752.5 | 417.1 | 71.4 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 633.2 | 66.8 | 206.2 | 177.9 | 91.1 | 57.5 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 23,405.6 | 7,607.9 | 9,526.6 | 4,462.5 | 1,522.7 | 184.8 | 16.8 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 237.6 | 185.2 | 22.3 | 28.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Total hardwoods | 44,476.4 | 11,326.6 | 15,004.7 | 11,268.8 | 4,899.4 | 1,406.6 | 153.4 | 70.0 | 170.1 | 16.8 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 132.7 |
| Nonstocked | 3,000.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,000.2 |
| All groups | 62,482.8 | 14,730.4 | 20,092.1 | 15,331.6 | 6,606.1 | 2,030.8 | 188.9 | 86.9 | 186.9 | 16.8 | 27.2 | 16.8 | 3,168.3 |

Table A.6.1—Area of timberland by forest-type group and stand-age class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | All classes | Stand-age class (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nonstocked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1- \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11- \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21- \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31- \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41- \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51- \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61- \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71- \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81- \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91- \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 101+ |  |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 18.0 | 39.4 | 87.9 | 20.1 | 11.5 | 5.5 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 4,992.9 | 1,000.3 | 1,090.2 | 989.8 | 600.7 | 514.1 | 321.8 | 311.8 | 93.0 | 40.5 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 18.6 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 117.6 | 14.7 | 20.6 | 5.6 | 20.2 | 8.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 5,316.8 | 1,033.0 | 1,150.2 | 1,083.2 | 641.0 | 534.1 | 340.7 | 335.7 | 117.2 | 51.1 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 18.6 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,570.0 | 327.6 | 221.6 | 203.1 | 212.4 | 215.5 | 251.4 | 63.4 | 50.1 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 4,319.2 | 737.8 | 363.2 | 439.5 | 609.8 | 807.3 | 622.6 | 333.0 | 211.9 | 66.0 | 52.2 | 19.2 | 56.7 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 1,542.6 | 114.7 | 153.8 | 133.3 | 185.3 | 277.3 | 315.4 | 239.8 | 85.8 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 1,249.0 | 173.6 | 121.8 | 120.0 | 206.2 | 220.2 | 185.0 | 113.7 | 65.1 | 29.9 | 7.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 31.8 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 53.2 | 12.4 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 225.7 | 98.9 | 74.4 | 16.8 | 5.5 | 16.2 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Total hardwoods | 8,991.5 | 1,475.2 | 960.2 | 918.6 | 1,220.7 | 1,536.5 | 1,400.1 | 762.5 | 412.9 | 149.4 | 63.3 | 25.2 | 66.9 |
| Nonstocked | 157.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 157.8 |
| All groups | 14,466.2 | 2,508.2 | 2,110.4 | 2,001.8 | 1,861.7 | 2,070.6 | 1,740.9 | 1,098.2 | 530.1 | 200.5 | 75.4 | 25.2 | 243.3 |

Table A.7—Area of forest land by forest-type group and stand origin, Texas, 2008

|  |  | Stand origin |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Natificial <br> regen- <br> stands |  |
| eration |  |  |  |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.7.1-Area of timberland by forest-type group and stand origin, Texas, 2008

|  |  | Stand origin |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Natificial <br> regen- <br> stands |  |
| eration |  |  |  |

[^9]$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.8-Area of forest land disturbed annually by forest-type group and disturbance class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Disturbance class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Insects | Disease | Weather | Fire | Domestic animals | Wild animals | Human | Other natural |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Longleaf-slash pine | 1.4 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 1.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 0.0 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 21.5 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 23.0 | 0.0 |
| $\quad$ Total softwoods | 1.4 | 5.0 | 27.6 | 37.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 28.1 | 1.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 0.0 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Oak-hickory | 8.8 | 40.5 | 9.3 | 48.3 | 37.0 | 1.0 | 34.7 | 4.2 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 0.0 | 4.7 | 29.1 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 3.5 | 6.3 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 7.9 | 3.3 | 11.2 | 1.5 |
| Other hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 15.5 | 4.0 | 27.3 | 60.1 | 56.1 | 3.5 | 76.2 | 4.6 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\quad$ Total hardwoods | 27.8 | 55.9 | 87.7 | 126.6 | 103.9 | 10.2 | 128.4 | 14.5 |
| Nonstocked | 0.0 | 3.0 | 10.3 | 27.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 29.2 | 63.9 | 125.6 | 191.5 | 111.0 | 12.9 | 168.1 | 15.8 |

Numbers in columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.8.1-Area of timberland disturbed annually by forest-type group and disturbance class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Disturbance class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Insects | Disease | Weather | Fire | Domestic animals | Wild animals | Human | Other natural |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 1.4 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 1.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 1.4 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 1.3 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Oak-hickory | 0.0 | 2.5 | 9.3 | 2.2 | 9.7 | 1.0 | 11.2 | 0.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 0.0 | 4.7 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 1.5 |
| Other hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 3.5 | 7.5 | 45.3 | 4.1 | 14.6 | 6.7 | 16.4 | 2.7 |
| Nonstocked | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 4.9 | 7.5 | 66.5 | 17.8 | 15.3 | 6.7 | 21.5 | 4.0 |

[^10]$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
Table A.8.2—Area of forest land treated annually by forest-type group and treatment class, Texas, 2008


Table A.8.3—Area of timberland treated annually by forest-type group and treatment class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | Total treated | Treatment class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cutting |  |  |  |  |  | Site preparation | Artificial regeneration | Natural regeneration | Other silvicultural |
|  |  | Final harvest | Partial harvest | Seed-tree/ shelterwood harvest | Commercial thinning | Timber stand improvement | Salvage cutting |  |  |  |  |
|  | acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 10.8 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 2.9 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 273.9 | 60.6 | 30.9 | 6.6 | 172.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.8 | 88.1 | 7.6 | 17.7 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 286.8 | 63.5 | 35.4 | 6.6 | 177.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 90.3 | 8.4 | 20.6 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 69.4 | 20.6 | 23.9 | 2.5 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 26.5 | 11.2 | 9.2 |
| Oak-hickory | 150.6 | 68.8 | 53.5 | 1.3 | 17.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 10.9 | 57.7 | 11.6 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 21.1 | 3.4 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 3.8 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 3.5 |
| Other hardwoods | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 9.1 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 255.1 | 95.7 | 101.5 | 5.3 | 41.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 38.5 | 85.3 | 24.3 |
| Nonstocked | 15.8 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| All groups | 557.7 | 173.7 | 136.9 | 11.9 | 219.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 114.8 | 130.3 | 94.5 | 46.0 |

Table A.9—Area of timberland by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group | All size classes | Stand-size class |  |  | Nonstocked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large diameter | Medium diameter | Small diameter |  |
|  |  |  | acres |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 191.4 | 132.9 | 40.5 | 18.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 4,992.9 | 2,656.5 | 1,349.6 | 986.8 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 117.6 | 46.1 | 44.4 | 27.1 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 14.9 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 5,316.8 | 2,850.4 | 1,434.5 | 1,031.9 | 0.0 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,570.0 | 771.4 | 263.7 | 534.9 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 4,319.2 | 2,045.5 | 1,083.1 | 1,190.6 | 0.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 1,542.6 | 1,058.9 | 259.7 | 224.0 | 0.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 1,249.0 | 670.5 | 302.4 | 276.0 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 31.8 | 6.0 | 14.2 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 53.2 | 3.6 | 26.8 | 22.9 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 225.7 | 6.5 | 50.0 | 169.2 | 0.0 |
| Total | 8,991.5 | 4,562.4 | 1,999.9 | 2,429.2 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 157.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 157.8 |
| All groups | 14,466.2 | 7,412.8 | 3,434.4 | 3,461.1 | 157.8 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.10-Number of live trees on forest land by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

|  |  | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.0- \\ 2.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0- \\ 4.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0- \\ 20.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0- \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  |  | million trees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 56.1 | 20.1 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 2,019.6 | 769.8 | 521.5 | 304.7 | 179.9 | 94.7 | 58.0 | 34.0 | 22.9 | 14.0 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 16.1 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 357.7 | 201.1 | 83.5 | 32.1 | 18.2 | 12.9 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 770.4 | 296.5 | 194.8 | 130.0 | 72.8 | 36.4 | 20.7 | 10.4 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total softwoods | 3,220.0 | 1,291.5 | 810.1 | 478.6 | 280.3 | 150.5 | 87.1 | 50.1 | 30.0 | 17.7 | 10.4 | 8.6 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 146.9 | 95.0 | 23.7 | 9.0 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 209.5 | 96.0 | 41.2 | 31.8 | 19.8 | 9.3 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other white oaks | 1,598.9 | 481.8 | 397.1 | 292.3 | 185.7 | 104.2 | 55.8 | 32.3 | 18.5 | 10.7 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Other red oaks | 1,338.5 | 862.0 | 242.1 | 88.4 | 47.7 | 31.6 | 21.3 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 6.6 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Hickory | 239.0 | 150.0 | 34.7 | 16.5 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hard maple | 18.0 | 13.4 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 242.0 | 197.0 | 27.0 | 9.5 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 8.0 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 1,200.4 | 859.1 | 185.3 | 70.4 | 36.5 | 21.3 | 12.5 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 194.0 | 122.6 | 39.9 | 12.1 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ash | 346.5 | 227.1 | 51.8 | 28.5 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 13.0 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 5.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 2,424.0 | 1,563.3 | 466.1 | 188.8 | 97.4 | 47.8 | 28.0 | 14.4 | 8.4 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 385.9 | 313.4 | 44.3 | 17.3 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 2,207.8 | 1,790.5 | 276.7 | 82.9 | 32.8 | 13.5 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 6,139.1 | 2,960.9 | 1,401.0 | 745.9 | 444.3 | 253.1 | 149.8 | 83.0 | 47.5 | 25.0 | 14.5 | 10.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total hardwoods | 16,720.1 | 9,744.2 | 3,237.7 | 1,597.1 | 917.2 | 513.6 | 298.3 | 172.6 | 100.9 | 56.5 | 34.7 | 30.9 | 10.3 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| All species | 19,940.1 | 11,035.6 | 4,047.8 | 2,075.7 | 1,197.5 | 664.2 | 385.4 | 222.7 | 130.9 | 74.2 | 45.1 | 39.5 | 13.9 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 |

[^11]Table A.10.1-Number of live trees on timberland by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All classes | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0- \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0- \\ 4.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0- \\ 20.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  | million trees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 56.0 | 20.1 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 2,003.7 | 764.4 | 519.7 | 301.8 | 178.4 | 93.3 | 56.9 | 33.5 | 22.6 | 13.7 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 15.4 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 209.7 | 128.3 | 41.3 | 17.6 | 10.0 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland sotwoods | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 2,284.9 | 916.7 | 571.4 | 331.2 | 197.7 | 106.2 | 63.2 | 37.8 | 24.6 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 119.9 | 75.7 | 19.3 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 62.7 | 37.1 | 8.1 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other white oaks | 327.0 | 113.6 | 52.6 | 46.9 | 39.5 | 26.5 | 18.5 | 11.7 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Other red oaks | 1,126.9 | 764.3 | 172.8 | 67.0 | 37.8 | 25.9 | 18.4 | 13.6 | 9.9 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Hickory | 200.9 | 128.0 | 29.5 | 13.8 | 9.8 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hard maple | 18.0 | 13.4 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 237.4 | 193.4 | 26.5 | 9.2 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 7.4 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 1,195.7 | 857.3 | 183.9 | 69.6 | 36.0 | 21.1 | 12.3 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 184.7 | 115.8 | 38.5 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ash | 255.1 | 169.3 | 38.3 | 18.7 | 9.9 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 4.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 1,295.8 | 882.2 | 228.3 | 88.8 | 44.3 | 21.9 | 12.8 | 8.1 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 311.6 | 254.2 | 33.8 | 14.7 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 916.4 | 671.6 | 163.3 | 50.7 | 18.8 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 24.3 | 13.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 6,292.3 | 4,296.8 | 1,003.9 | 410.3 | 225.0 | 131.7 | 83.0 | 56.5 | 32.8 | 20.3 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| All species | 8,577.3 | 5,213.5 | 1,575.3 | 741.5 | 422.7 | 237.9 | 146.1 | 94.3 | 57.4 | 35.3 | 21.2 | 20.4 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.11-Number of growing-stock trees on timberland by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0- \\ 20.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0- \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  | million trees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 26.9 | 9.5 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 706.1 | 294.6 | 175.4 | 92.1 | 56.2 | 33.3 | 22.2 | 13.4 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 9.2 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 23.1 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 765.3 | 315.5 | 191.0 | 102.1 | 61.6 | 36.5 | 23.6 | 14.3 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 21.5 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 14.3 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other white oaks | 98.2 | 26.4 | 23.2 | 17.9 | 12.5 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other red oaks | 148.6 | 49.4 | 29.2 | 20.3 | 15.1 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Hickory | 26.3 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hard maple | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 9.6 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 136.3 | 58.9 | 31.8 | 19.5 | 11.4 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 23.4 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ash | 31.3 | 11.8 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 98.3 | 42.4 | 24.3 | 13.4 | 7.6 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 9.5 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 622.4 | 226.6 | 138.7 | 92.8 | 59.8 | 41.6 | 24.3 | 15.3 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| All species | 1,387.7 | 542.1 | 329.6 | 194.9 | 121.4 | 78.2 | 47.9 | 29.6 | 18.0 | 16.8 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.12—Net ${ }^{\text {a }}$ volume of live trees on forest land by ownership class and land status, Texas, 2008

| Ownership class | All forest land | Land status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unreserved |  |  | Reserved |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Timberland | Unproductive | Total | Productive | Unproductive |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National forest | 2,322.5 | 2,213.8 | 2,213.8 | 0.0 | 108.6 | 108.6 | 0.0 |
| National grassland | 34.8 | 28.1 | 14.6 | 13.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 0.0 |
| Other Forest Service | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 2,363.6 | 2,248.3 | 2,234.8 | 13.5 | 115.3 | 115.3 | 0.0 |
| Other Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Park Service | 229.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 229.7 | 217.1 | 12.6 |
| Bureau of Land Management | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | 149.6 | 73.9 | 30.9 | 43.0 | 75.7 | 68.7 | 7.0 |
| Dept. of Defense/Dept. of Energy | 458.2 | 453.6 | 262.5 | 191.1 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 4.0 |
| Other Federal | 53.4 | 53.4 | 20.4 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 892.5 | 582.5 | 313.8 | 268.7 | 310.0 | 286.5 | 23.5 |
| State and local government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 425.3 | 396.8 | 262.9 | 133.9 | 28.5 | 20.4 | 8.1 |
| Local | 361.5 | 321.6 | 129.7 | 191.9 | 39.9 | 7.7 | 32.2 |
| Other non-Federal public | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 6.9 |
| Total | 793.8 | 718.4 | 392.6 | 325.8 | 75.3 | 28.1 | 47.3 |
| Forest industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate | 2,936.4 | 2,936.4 | 2,936.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local partnership/association/club | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native American | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | 23.1 | 23.1 | 13.8 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 2,964.9 | 2,964.9 | 2,955.6 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nonindustrial private |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate | 3,521.4 | 3,521.4 | 2,795.9 | 725.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Conservation/natural resources organization | 159.9 | 159.9 | 67.2 | 92.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local partnership/association/club | 1,312.9 | 1,312.9 | 511.1 | 801.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native American | 113.5 | 113.5 | 81.7 | 31.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | 20,464.5 | 20,464.5 | 10,261.8 | 10,202.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 25,572.2 | 25,572.2 | 13,717.7 | 11,854.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All classes | 32,587.0 | 32,086.3 | 19,614.5 | 12,471.9 | 500.7 | 429.9 | 70.8 |

[^12]Table A.13-Net ${ }^{a}$ volume of live trees on forest land by forest-type group and standsize class, Texas, 2008

|  | All size |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| classes |  |$\quad$| Large |
| :---: |
| diameter | | Stand-size class |
| :---: |
| Million cubic feet |
| diameter | | Small |
| :---: |
| diameter |$\quad$| Non- |
| :---: |
| stocked |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.13.1- Net $^{a}$ volume of live trees on timberland by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group ${ }^{b}$ | All size classes | Stand-size class |  |  | Nonstocked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large diameter | Medium diameter | Small diameter |  |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 299.1 | 252.2 | 46.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 8,814.5 | 7,263.5 | 1,416.9 | 134.1 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 98.3 | 70.5 | 25.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 12.2 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 9,224.2 | 7,598.4 | 1,488.1 | 137.6 | 0.0 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,929.6 | 1,541.5 | 254.9 | 133.2 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 4,216.0 | 3,120.4 | 905.6 | 189.9 | 0.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 2,734.4 | 2,422.7 | 262.5 | 49.3 | 0.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 1,393.9 | 1,099.7 | 263.5 | 30.7 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 17.7 | 3.9 | 13.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 13.3 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 76.8 | 10.7 | 31.9 | 34.1 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 10,381.7 | 8,207.7 | 1,735.6 | 438.5 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 |
| All groups | 19,614.5 | 15,806.1 | 3,223.7 | 576.1 | 8.6 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table $14-$ Net $^{a}$ volume of live trees on forest land by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group $^{b}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 312.8 | 42.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 177.2 | 92.9 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 9,067.5 | 1,907.0 | 144.6 | 138.9 | 1,696.3 | 5,180.6 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 293.3 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 64.3 | 27.8 | 169.7 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 432.7 | 0.9 | 19.4 | 11.4 | 1.6 | 399.5 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 557.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 5.1 | 533.3 |
| Total softwoods | 10,664.1 | 1,950.8 | 195.4 | 233.9 | 1,908.1 | 6,375.9 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 488.7 | 51.7 | 46.8 | 10.5 | 92.1 | 287.5 |
| Select red oaks | 617.0 | 18.9 | 14.6 | 21.8 | 78.6 | 483.1 |
| Other white oaks | 4,259.6 | 44.5 | 100.5 | 68.5 | 87.9 | 3,958.1 |
| Other red oaks | 2,998.5 | 85.3 | 108.9 | 39.9 | 317.1 | 2,447.3 |
| Hickory | 595.7 | 23.6 | 18.9 | 10.1 | 20.0 | 523.1 |
| Hard maple | 11.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 6.3 |
| Soft maple | 103.7 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 19.7 | 73.6 |
| Beech | 57.9 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 34.1 |
| Sweetgum | 1,485.7 | 78.5 | 53.4 | 21.0 | 195.1 | 1,137.7 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 345.1 | 21.2 | 23.7 | 4.5 | 84.4 | 211.3 |
| Ash | 628.0 | 29.7 | 21.2 | 33.0 | 22.1 | 521.9 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 241.1 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 234.4 |
| Basswood | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 9.6 |
| Black walnut | 32.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.4 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 2,280.2 | 38.9 | 92.1 | 102.9 | 54.8 | 1,991.6 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 116.5 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 11.2 | 95.6 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 530.0 | 5.5 | 30.1 | 21.9 | 48.0 | 424.5 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 7,120.7 | 0.0 | 169.0 | 223.2 | 4.2 | 6,724.3 |
| Total hardwoods | 21,922.8 | 412.8 | 697.1 | 559.9 | 1,056.8 | 19,196.4 |
| All species | 32,587.0 | 2,363.6 | 892.5 | 793.8 | 2,964.9 | 25,572.2 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table 14.1—Net ${ }^{a}$ volume of live trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 311.5 | 41.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 177.2 | 92.9 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 8,879.5 | 1,812.2 | 74.7 | 115.8 | 1,696.3 | 5,180.6 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 269.2 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 64.3 | 27.8 | 169.7 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 262.6 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 250.1 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total softwoods | 9,723.2 | 1,853.8 | 89.4 | 183.6 | 1,903.0 | 5,693.3 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 427.2 | 51.0 | 28.2 | 2.3 | 92.1 | 253.6 |
| Select red oaks | 367.4 | 16.5 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 78.6 | 256.0 |
| Other white oaks | 1,650.7 | 34.1 | 21.6 | 36.1 | 87.9 | 1,471.0 |
| Other red oaks | 2,735.3 | 78.6 | 54.8 | 33.8 | 317.1 | 2,250.9 |
| Hickory | 460.0 | 19.9 | 12.8 | 6.3 | 20.0 | 401.1 |
| Hard maple | 11.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 6.3 |
| Soft maple | 100.8 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 19.7 | 73.6 |
| Beech | 54.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 34.1 |
| Sweetgum | 1,468.1 | 75.5 | 39.3 | 21.0 | 195.1 | 1,137.2 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 324.6 | 20.8 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 84.4 | 211.2 |
| Ash | 492.2 | 27.7 | 8.2 | 31.3 | 22.1 | 403.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 62.6 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.3 |
| Basswood | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 7.5 |
| Black walnut | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 1,285.8 | 38.2 | 27.1 | 55.7 | 54.8 | 1,110.1 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 98.0 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 80.6 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 311.0 | 5.0 | 11.1 | 8.4 | 48.0 | 238.5 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.2 |
| Total hardwoods | 9,891.3 | 381.0 | 224.4 | 209.0 | 1,052.5 | 8,024.4 |
| All species | 19,614.5 | 2,234.8 | 313.8 | 392.6 | 2,955.6 | 13,717.7 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.15-Net ${ }^{a}$ volume of live trees on forest land by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{b}$ | All classes | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0- \\ 20.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0- \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 312.8 | 24.0 | 46.2 | 60.1 | 54.7 | 49.3 | 29.9 | 20.6 | 16.2 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 9,067.5 | 697.0 | 1,067.4 | 1,115.6 | 1,155.2 | 1,034.6 | 1,011.9 | 833.9 | 660.5 | 846.3 | 416.1 | 149.8 | 79.1 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 293.3 | 6.9 | 17.6 | 18.0 | 26.0 | 21.7 | 16.2 | 21.6 | 24.1 | 20.2 | 47.2 | 15.1 | 22.3 | 36.4 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 432.7 | 64.8 | 81.1 | 100.0 | 53.2 | 57.6 | 35.0 | 22.6 | 12.2 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 557.6 | 89.0 | 102.5 | 90.3 | 84.9 | 66.9 | 42.7 | 25.9 | 14.7 | 12.9 | 15.3 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 9.2 |
| Total softwoods | 10,664.1 | 881.9 | 1,314.8 | 1,384.0 | 1,374.0 | 1,230.2 | 1,135.6 | 924.5 | 727.7 | 885.9 | 490.3 | 168.0 | 101.4 | 45.7 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 488.7 | 21.6 | 32.6 | 38.1 | 45.4 | 57.7 | 46.6 | 48.6 | 41.4 | 80.2 | 36.4 | 32.2 | 7.8 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 617.0 | 66.6 | 87.5 | 73.1 | 63.4 | 53.2 | 58.9 | 25.9 | 45.2 | 56.5 | 25.0 | 28.1 | 7.4 | 26.3 |
| Other white oaks | 4,259.6 | 483.4 | 607.9 | 612.2 | 529.7 | 445.1 | 350.1 | 265.2 | 248.7 | 311.2 | 163.4 | 96.0 | 40.8 | 106.0 |
| Other red oaks | 2,998.5 | 201.1 | 238.2 | 293.9 | 319.6 | 339.8 | 359.4 | 265.9 | 197.1 | 350.5 | 224.7 | 97.7 | 52.2 | 58.4 |
| Hickory | 595.7 | 28.6 | 54.8 | 67.7 | 98.2 | 86.8 | 69.1 | 49.2 | 46.2 | 52.0 | 26.9 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| Hard maple | 11.7 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 103.7 | 25.0 | 24.2 | 18.4 | 14.2 | 12.7 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 57.9 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 12.6 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 1,485.7 | 151.4 | 209.7 | 231.2 | 228.3 | 187.9 | 143.2 | 101.1 | 76.3 | 97.4 | 50.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 345.1 | 29.3 | 40.1 | 47.4 | 35.2 | 46.9 | 27.8 | 37.1 | 22.8 | 32.5 | 15.1 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 1.1 |
| Ash | 628.0 | 66.6 | 71.4 | 82.0 | 83.5 | 92.6 | 54.8 | 66.3 | 48.9 | 49.0 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 241.1 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 9.9 | 5.4 | 11.2 | 13.9 | 20.4 | 18.1 | 13.9 | 50.7 | 55.5 | 19.6 | 16.1 |
| Basswood | 10.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 32.4 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 2,280.2 | 351.6 | 395.9 | 348.8 | 333.8 | 250.3 | 190.7 | 140.9 | 97.5 | 87.5 | 44.7 | 0.0 | 38.4 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 116.5 | 36.5 | 27.1 | 20.4 | 11.1 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 530.0 | 144.5 | 120.1 | 87.1 | 51.5 | 45.8 | 34.1 | 15.1 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 7,120.7 | 794.1 | 1,028.4 | 1,071.7 | 1,046.1 | 916.0 | 744.2 | 541.6 | 398.8 | 396.1 | 125.4 | 32.5 | 14.4 | 11.2 |
| Total hardwoods | 21,922.8 | 2,409.4 | 2,950.5 | 3,014.5 | 2,875.2 | 2,568.6 | 2,113.5 | 1,598.1 | 1,266.5 | 1,548.6 | 786.7 | 366.2 | 185.7 | 239.3 |
| All species | 32,587.0 | 3,291.3 | 4,265.4 | 4,398.5 | 4,249.2 | 3,798.8 | 3,249.2 | 2,522.6 | 1,994.1 | 2,434.5 | 1,277.0 | 534.2 | 287.2 | 285.0 |

[^13]
## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.15.1-Net ${ }^{a}$ volume of live trees on timberland by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All classes | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0- \\ 20.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
| million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 311.5 | 23.9 | 46.2 | 60.1 | 53.4 | 49.3 | 29.9 | 20.6 | 16.2 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8,879.5 | 689.4 | 1,057.4 | 1,097.9 | 1,130.1 | 1,017.2 | 995.0 | 812.5 | 632.0 | 815.1 | 410.8 | 143.0 | 79.1 | 0.0 |
| 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 269.2 | 6.7 | 16.3 | 15.7 | 25.0 | 17.4 | 13.2 | 21.6 | 24.1 | 20.2 | 43.6 | 15.1 | 22.3 | 28.1 |
| 262.6 | 37.1 | 46.7 | 54.5 | 28.9 | 39.8 | 27.2 | 17.6 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

$\begin{array}{lllll}841.7 & 466.1 & 158.0 & 101.4 & 28.1\end{array}$

| $\circ$ |
| :--- |
| $\infty$ |
| $\infty$ |
| $\infty$ |
| $\vdots$ |
| N |
| N |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\infty$ |
| $\infty$ |
| 0 |








Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
a Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.16-Net ${ }^{\text {a }}$ volume of live trees on forest land by forest-type group and stand origin, Texas, 2008

|  |  | Stand origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Artificial |  |


| Softwood |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 299.1 | 125.1 | 174.1 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | $9,007.3$ | $6,544.7$ | $2,462.6$ |
| Other eastern softwoods | 213.7 | 213.7 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | $3,426.7$ | $3,426.7$ | 0.0 |
| $\quad$ Total softwoods | $12,946.8$ | $10,310.1$ | $2,636.7$ |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | $2,032.1$ | $1,980.9$ | 51.2 |
| Oak-hickory | $7,838.6$ | $7,823.6$ | 15.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | $3,071.3$ | $3,058.1$ | 13.2 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | $2,264.5$ | $2,264.5$ | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 218.8 | 218.7 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | $4,036.9$ | $4,036.9$ | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 78.0 | 73.9 | 4.0 |
| $\quad$ Total hardwoods | $19,540.2$ | $19,456.7$ | 83.5 |
| Nonstocked | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $32,587.0$ | $29,866.8$ | $2,720.2$ |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.16.1-Net ${ }^{\text {a }}$ volume of live trees on timberland by forest-type group and stand origin, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group ${ }^{b}$ | Total | Stand origin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Natural stands | Artificial regeneration |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 299.1 | 125.1 | 174.1 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 8,814.5 | 6,351.9 | 2,462.6 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 98.3 | 98.3 | 0.0 |
| Pinyon-juniper | 12.2 | 12.2 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 9,224.2 | 6,587.5 | 2,636.7 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 1,929.6 | 1,878.4 | 51.2 |
| Oak-hickory | 4,216.0 | 4,200.9 | 15.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 2,734.4 | 2,721.2 | 13.2 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 1,393.9 | 1,393.9 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 17.7 | 17.7 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 13.3 | 13.3 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 76.8 | 72.8 | 4.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 10,381.7 | 10,298.2 | 83.5 |
| Nonstocked | 8.6 | 8.6 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 19,614.5 | 16,894.3 | 2,720.2 |

[^14]Table A.17-Net ${ }^{\text {a }}$ volume of growing-stock trees on timberland by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

|  | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species group ${ }^{b}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0- \\ 20.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0- \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 309.1 | 23.2 | 46.1 | 59.3 | 52.8 | 49.3 | 29.9 | 20.6 | 16.2 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 8,770.2 | 676.9 | 1,044.4 | 1,086.6 | 1,119.3 | 1,011.3 | 981.5 | 798.5 | 618.0 | 813.9 | 407.4 | 133.3 | 79.1 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 262.4 | 6.3 | 15.6 | 14.8 | 24.0 | 16.8 | 12.0 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 20.2 | 43.6 | 15.1 | 22.3 | 28.1 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 155.4 | 21.4 | 31.5 | 34.5 | 20.1 | 22.9 | 13.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 9,497.4 | 728.1 | 1,137.6 | 1,195.1 | 1,216.2 | 1,100.3 | 1,037.1 | 844.8 | 659.5 | 840.6 | 460.1 | 148.4 | 101.4 | 28.1 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 406.0 | 15.8 | 27.8 | 35.3 | 39.6 | 50.6 | 36.5 | 45.2 | 41.4 | 62.5 | 21.7 | 21.8 | 7.8 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 308.0 | 14.2 | 14.9 | 18.0 | 21.7 | 35.8 | 43.2 | 23.8 | 35.5 | 47.8 | 25.0 | 28.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other white oaks | 1,101.4 | 61.2 | 117.8 | 159.0 | 171.4 | 151.6 | 107.3 | 96.9 | 87.2 | 70.2 | 56.4 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 11.6 |
| Other red oaks | 2,351.6 | 135.8 | 169.5 | 212.5 | 246.9 | 282.1 | 300.8 | 216.7 | 174.6 | 274.2 | 190.2 | 73.6 | 37.7 | 36.9 |
| Hickory | 325.3 | 15.2 | 31.6 | 41.1 | 55.5 | 45.3 | 33.8 | 34.8 | 21.8 | 33.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| Hard maple | 8.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 62.3 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 12.7 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 42.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 1,377.5 | 133.0 | 188.0 | 217.6 | 213.0 | 180.5 | 138.5 | 101.1 | 76.3 | 85.6 | 43.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 273.9 | 21.9 | 31.5 | 39.0 | 30.5 | 42.5 | 21.0 | 32.3 | 18.7 | 23.8 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ash | 355.6 | 32.7 | 38.5 | 52.8 | 47.8 | 67.6 | 30.0 | 38.7 | 19.9 | 27.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 62.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 7.4 | 10.7 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 16.1 |
| Basswood | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 6.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 814.6 | 105.5 | 127.1 | 125.8 | 114.7 | 106.1 | 71.5 | 48.7 | 34.4 | 37.8 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 48.7 | 14.2 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Total hardwoods

All species
Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.18-Net ${ }^{\text {a }}$ volume of growing-stock trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{b}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  |  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 309.1 | 41.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 176.2 | 91.9 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 8,770.2 | 1,809.5 | 74.4 | 102.5 | 1,688.5 | 5,095.3 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 262.4 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 64.2 | 27.7 | 165.4 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 155.4 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 149.2 |
| Total softwoods | 9,497.4 | 1,850.7 | 82.3 | 169.7 | 1,892.9 | 5,501.8 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 406.0 | 50.5 | 28.2 | 2.0 | 89.3 | 236.0 |
| Select red oaks | 308.0 | 16.1 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 70.7 | 206.2 |
| Other white oaks | 1,101.4 | 30.8 | 21.3 | 16.6 | 84.6 | 948.1 |
| Other red oaks | 2,351.6 | 73.0 | 44.7 | 22.5 | 294.1 | 1,917.3 |
| Hickory | 325.3 | 18.6 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 17.7 | 274.1 |
| Hard maple | 8.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| Soft maple | 62.3 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 13.3 | 43.1 |
| Beech | 42.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 25.9 |
| Sweetgum | 1,377.5 | 74.0 | 38.4 | 20.1 | 188.3 | 1,056.6 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 273.9 | 19.0 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 77.4 | 169.6 |
| Ash | 355.6 | 20.8 | 5.5 | 17.8 | 21.0 | 290.6 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 62.4 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 |
| Basswood | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.4 |
| Black walnut | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 814.6 | 33.9 | 15.4 | 32.7 | 40.3 | 692.4 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 48.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 39.9 |
| Total hardwoods | 7,548.6 | 346.3 | 182.5 | 128.7 | 920.0 | 5,971.0 |
| All species | 17,046.0 | 2,197.0 | 264.8 | 298.5 | 2,812.9 | 11,472.8 |

[^15]Table A.19-Net ${ }^{\text {a }}$ volume of sawtimber trees on timberland by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{b}$ | All classes | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0- \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0- \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  | million board feet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 1,205.4 | 219.5 | 243.1 | 260.4 | 172.9 | 124.9 | 104.2 | 17.2 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 38,376.4 | 3,922.2 | 5,080.6 | 5,229.0 | 5,557.4 | 4,811.7 | 3,921.0 | 5,424.5 | 2,864.0 | 974.2 | 591.7 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 1,305.5 | 44.6 | 91.7 | 74.3 | 58.0 | 111.1 | 118.2 | 116.1 | 260.9 | 94.0 | 143.4 | 193.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 504.8 | 142.4 | 96.1 | 121.0 | 76.7 | 24.9 | 19.9 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 41,391.9 | 4,328.6 | 5,511.5 | 5,684.6 | 5,864.9 | 5,072.5 | 4,163.2 | 5,581.8 | 3,188.2 | 1,068.2 | 735.1 | 193.3 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 1,579.2 | 0.0 | 134.8 | 203.7 | 162.0 | 217.6 | 210.8 | 336.0 | 131.1 | 135.7 | 47.4 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 1,383.2 | 0.0 | 71.7 | 149.0 | 204.9 | 122.9 | 197.2 | 283.7 | 163.3 | 190.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other white oaks | 3,539.0 | 0.0 | 622.9 | 638.4 | 500.4 | 476.0 | 455.3 | 387.3 | 323.2 | 63.7 | 0.0 | 71.7 |
| Other red oaks | 9,131.5 | 0.0 | 924.7 | 1,205.2 | 1,412.3 | 1,093.3 | 923.5 | 1,525.0 | 1,115.6 | 447.9 | 239.1 | 244.8 |
| Hickory | 1,077.1 | 0.0 | 193.7 | 184.1 | 152.3 | 169.5 | 113.5 | 186.4 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.0 |
| Hard maple | 21.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 77.0 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 27.8 | 14.4 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 139.4 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 14.1 | 26.1 | 32.9 | 38.1 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 3,940.1 | 0.0 | 761.6 | 774.9 | 668.8 | 528.1 | 424.9 | 508.0 | 273.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 774.5 | 0.0 | 95.4 | 158.7 | 87.0 | 146.5 | 90.4 | 125.5 | 44.1 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ash | 975.6 | 0.0 | 155.9 | 263.2 | 129.9 | 181.1 | 98.2 | 147.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 357.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 12.5 | 42.2 | 64.5 | 83.2 | 0.0 | 109.0 |
| Basswood | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 18.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 1,966.4 | 0.0 | 396.1 | 417.9 | 314.2 | 226.3 | 170.2 | 194.1 | 100.9 | 0.0 | 146.8 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 43.8 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 19.6 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 25,029.6 | 0.0 | 3,423.4 | 4,074.9 | 3,683.7 | 3,255.5 | 2,743.7 | 3,747.6 | 2,233.0 | 948.0 | 433.3 | 486.5 |
| All species | 66,421.5 | 4,328.6 | 8,934.9 | 9,759.5 | 9,548.7 | 8,328.0 | 6,907.0 | ,329.4 | 5,421.2 | ,016.2 | 168 | 679.7 | All species

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding. $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^16]
## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A. $20-$ Net $^{\text {a }}$ volume of sawtimber trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group $^{b}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  |  | million board feet ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 1,205.4 | 232.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 633.9 | 339.2 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 38,376.4 | 9,718.5 | 421.6 | 556.7 | 5,833.1 | 21,846.5 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 1,305.5 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 284.9 | 120.0 | 876.9 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 504.8 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 482.7 |
| Total softwoods | 41,391.9 | 9,950.7 | 457.0 | 852.0 | 6,587.0 | 23,545.3 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 1,579.2 | 192.9 | 114.4 | 6.6 | 329.5 | 935.7 |
| Select red oaks | 1,383.2 | 63.1 | 37.9 | 12.5 | 369.3 | 900.5 |
| Other white oaks | 3,539.0 | 54.2 | 74.1 | 54.6 | 350.2 | 3,005.9 |
| Other red oaks | 9,131.5 | 265.5 | 193.2 | 76.8 | 1,210.8 | 7,385.1 |
| Hickory | 1,077.1 | 36.6 | 28.6 | 13.7 | 51.1 | 947.1 |
| Hard maple | 21.8 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 9.5 |
| Soft maple | 77.0 | 6.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 40.3 |
| Beech | 139.4 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.8 | 89.1 |
| Sweetgum | 3,940.1 | 198.7 | 132.2 | 68.0 | 585.8 | 2,955.4 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 774.5 | 41.5 | 15.8 | 21.5 | 206.2 | 489.6 |
| Ash | 975.6 | 62.9 | 14.1 | 48.1 | 78.5 | 772.1 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 357.4 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 324.9 |
| Basswood | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| Black walnut | 18.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.7 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 1,966.4 | 81.0 | 35.2 | 78.8 | 69.1 | 1,702.3 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 43.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 40.4 |
| Total hardwoods | 25,029.6 | 1,017.6 | 679.9 | 380.5 | 3,330.3 | 19,621.2 |
| All species | 66,421.5 | 10,968.3 | 1,136.9 | 1,232.5 | 9,917.2 | 43,166.6 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).
${ }^{c}$ International $1 / 4$-inch rule.

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.21-Aboveground dry weight of live trees on forest land by ownership class and land status, Texas, 2008

| Ownership class | All forest land | Land status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unreserved |  |  | Reserved |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Timberland | Unproductive | Total | Productive | Unproductive |
|  | thousand tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National forest | 55,052.2 | 52,411.7 | 52,411.7 | 0.0 | 2,640.5 | 2,640.5 | 0.0 |
| National grassland | 976.8 | 793.8 | 338.7 | 455.1 | 183.0 | 183.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Forest Service | 148.6 | 148.6 | 148.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 56,177.6 | 53,354.0 | 52,898.9 | 455.1 | 2,823.6 | 2,823.6 | 0.0 |
| Other Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Park Service | 6,049.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6,049.0 | 5,697.7 | 351.4 |
| Bureau of Land Management | 66.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | 4,079.6 | 1,944.2 | 801.0 | 1,143.2 | 2,135.4 | 1,987.3 | 148.1 |
| Dept. of Defense/Dept. of Energy | 12,044.4 | 11,906.6 | 6,918.1 | 4,988.5 | 137.8 | 22.5 | 115.2 |
| Other Federal | 1,691.1 | 1,691.1 | 789.9 | 901.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 23,930.9 | 15,608.6 | 8,509.0 | 7,099.7 | 8,322.2 | 7,707.5 | 614.8 |
| State and local government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 11,472.0 | 10,704.0 | 6,872.2 | 3,831.8 | 768.0 | 499.9 | 268.2 |
| Local | 10,121.7 | 8,992.6 | 3,366.5 | 5,626.1 | 1,129.1 | 205.0 | 924.1 |
| Other non-Federal public | 193.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 193.8 | 0.0 | 193.8 |
| Total | 21,787.6 | 19,696.6 | 10,238.7 | 9,457.9 | 2,091.0 | 704.9 | 1,386.1 |
| Forest industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate | 81,252.0 | 81,252.0 | 81,252.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local partnership/association/club | 28.3 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native American | 200.3 | 200.3 | 200.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | 650.4 | 650.4 | 421.6 | 228.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 82,131.0 | 82,131.0 | 81,902.2 | 228.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nonindustrial private |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate | 100,290.3 | 100,290.3 | 77,865.3 | 22,425.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Conservation/natural resources organization | 3,881.3 | 3,881.3 | 1,745.7 | 2,135.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local partnership/association/club | 39,846.6 | 39,846.6 | 13,933.8 | 25,912.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native American | 2,799.0 | 2,799.0 | 1,888.6 | 910.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | 586,784.6 | 586,784.6 | 284,386.9 | 302,397.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 733,601.8 | 733,601.8 | 379,820.3 | 353,781.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All classes | 917,628.9 | 904,392.1 | 533,369.0 | 371,023.1 | 13,236.8 | 11,235.9 | 2,000.8 |

[^17]$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.21.1-Aboveground green weight of live trees on forest land by ownership class and land status, Texas, 2008

| Ownership class | All forest land | Land status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unreserved |  |  | Reserved |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Timberland | Unproductive | Total | Productive | Unproductive |
|  | thousand tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National forest | 110,104.5 | 104,823.4 | 104,823.4 | 0.0 | 5,281.1 | 5,281.1 | 0.0 |
| National grassland | 1,953.6 | 1,587.5 | 677.3 | 910.2 | 366.1 | 366.1 | 0.0 |
| Other Forest Service | 297.1 | 297.1 | 297.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 112,355.2 | 106,708.1 | 105,797.8 | 910.2 | 5,647.2 | 5,647.2 | 0.0 |


| Other Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ National Park Service | $12,098.1$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $12,098.1$ | $11,395.3$ | 702.7 |
| Bureau of Land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Management | $8,159.2$ | $3,888.4$ | $1,602.0$ | $2,286.4$ | $4,270.8$ | $3,974.5$ | 296.3 |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dept. of Defense/ | $24,088.8$ | $23,813.2$ | $13,836.2$ | $9,977.0$ | 275.5 | 45.0 | 230.5 |
| Dept. of Energy | $3,382.2$ | $3,382.2$ | $1,579.7$ | $1,802.5$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Federal | $47,861.7$ | $31,217.3$ | $17,017.9$ | $14,199.3$ | $16,644.5$ | $15,414.9$ | $1,229.5$ |
| $\quad$ Total |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State and local government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | $22,944.1$ | $21,408.0$ | $13,744.4$ | $7,663.6$ | $1,536.1$ | 999.7 | 536.4 |
| Local | $20,243.5$ | $17,985.2$ | $6,732.9$ | $11,252.3$ | $2,258.2$ | 410.1 | $1,848.2$ |
| Other non-Federal public | 387.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 387.6 | 0.0 | 387.6 |
| $\quad$ Total | $43,575.1$ | $39,393.2$ | $20,477.3$ | $18,915.9$ | $4,181.9$ | $1,409.8$ | $2,772.1$ |

Forest industry
Corporate

| $162,504.1$ | $162,504.1$ | $162,504.1$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Unincorporated local $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { partnership/association/club } & 56.5 & 56.5 & 56.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}$

| Native American | 400.7 | 400.7 | 400.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Individual | $1,300.8$ | $1,300.8$ | 843.1 | 457.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\quad$ Total | $164,262.1$ | $164,262.1$ | $163,804.4$ | 457.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| Nonindustrial private |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Corporate | $200,580.7$ | $200,580.7$ | $155,730.7$ | $44,850.0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Conservation/natural <br> resources organization | $7,762.5$ | $7,762.5$ | $3,491.4$ | $4,271.1$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local <br> partnership/association/club | $79,693.2$ | $79,693.2$ | $27,867.7$ | $51,825.5$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\quad$ Native American | $5,598.0$ | $5,598.0$ | $3,777.1$ | $1,820.9$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | $1,173,569.2$ | $1,173,569.2$ | $568,773.7$ | $604,795.5$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\quad$ Total | $1,467,203.6$ | $1,467,203.6$ | $759,640.6$ | $707,563.0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All classes | $1,835,257.8$ | $1,808,784.2$ | $1,066,738.0$ | $742,046.2$ | $26,473.6$ | $22,471.9$ | $4,001.7$ |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
Table A.22-Aboveground dry weight of live trees on forest land by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diameter | class (in | ches at bre | ast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All classes | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0- \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0- \\ 4.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0- \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0- \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
| thousand tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 7,730.7 | 106.8 | 270.2 | 638.8 | 1,097.6 | 1,408.4 | 1,262.6 | 1,141.8 | 684.8 | 476.5 | 369.3 | 58.8 | 215.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 214,334.9 | 2,775.1 | 9,168.4 | 18,783.0 | 24,817.7 | 24,766.1 | 25,255.3 | 22,506.6 | 21,852.0 | 18,029.1 | 14,206.2 | 18,235.2 | 8,982.1 | 3,263.9 | 1,694.4 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 7,037.0 | 11.7 | 41.3 | 114.6 | 318.9 | 343.4 | 538.2 | 480.8 | 372.6 | 509.2 | 584.4 | 509.6 | 1,200.8 | 387.8 | 597.4 | 1,026.5 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 12,402.4 | 633.1 | 1,326.3 | 1,940.7 | 2,028.5 | 2,329.6 | 1,203.2 | 1,283.4 | 780.1 | 476.6 | 265.0 | 82.3 | 53.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 12,680.9 | 785.9 | 1,432.0 | 1,824.7 | 2,029.7 | 1,724.8 | 1,562.2 | 1,219.8 | 747.6 | 451.3 | 252.0 | 214.4 | 245.2 | 50.8 | 0.0 | 140.5 |
| Total softwoods | 254,192.2 | 4,312.5 | 12,238.2 | 23,308.0 | 30,292.3 | 30,572.4 | 29,821.5 | 26,632.3 | 24,437.0 | 19,942.8 | 15,677.0 | 19,100.2 | 10,696.7 | 3,702.5 | 2,291.8 | 1,166.9 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 14,987.0 | 381.2 | 490.5 | 599.8 | 863.9 | 1,018.5 | 1,243.0 | 1,600.8 | 1,318.3 | 1,385.6 | 1,198.5 | 2,444.1 | 1,093.3 | 1,090.0 | 259.6 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 19,334.4 | 363.3 | 732.3 | 1,797.1 | 2,255.7 | 1,846.6 | 1,572.2 | 1,436.6 | 1,601.9 | 771.0 | 1,331.4 | 1,956.1 | 782.0 | 906.3 | 255.1 | 1,726.8 |
| Other white oaks | 132,295.0 | 1,794.6 | 6,659.1 | 11,696.0 | 16,212.3 | 16,953.1 | 14,944.3 | 12,959.0 | 10,517.2 | 8,279.5 | 7,835.8 | 10,332.0 | 5,362.6 | 3,312.5 | 1,606.5 | 3,830.5 |
| Other red oaks | 97,410.4 | 4,101.3 | 5,738.5 | 6,186.2 | 6,894.4 | 8,247.0 | 8,955.4 | 9,542.6 | 10,211.2 | 7,670.0 | 5,710.5 | 10,403.5 | 6,766.5 | 3,087.4 | 1,717.4 | 2,178.3 |
| Hickory | 17,634.7 | 578.0 | 707.8 | 846.7 | 1,437.0 | 1,752.9 | 2,556.4 | 2,308.2 | 1,869.6 | 1,343.4 | 1,294.4 | 1,495.8 | 931.1 | 189.4 | 0.0 | 324.1 |
| Hard maple | 471.1 | 60.3 | 68.9 | 67.9 | 57.5 | 49.0 | 45.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 97.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 4,156.0 | 777.1 | 685.1 | 655.2 | 616.7 | 474.0 | 360.2 | 340.2 | 81.2 | 67.6 | 0.0 | 98.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 1,807.2 | 18.9 | 16.7 | 55.4 | 39.0 | 154.9 | 118.0 | 145.9 | 304.0 | 291.8 | 397.1 | 164.8 | 100.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 40,677.3 | 2,940.8 | 3,620.1 | 4,045.5 | 4,758.3 | 5,097.3 | 5,021.6 | 4,170.4 | 3,224.0 | 2,278.7 | 1,757.8 | 2,328.5 | 1,214.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 220.4 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 9,384.3 | 519.1 | 841.6 | 592.8 | 808.2 | 971.5 | 758.4 | 1,053.3 | 667.9 | 911.1 | 559.1 | 860.7 | 454.5 | 125.5 | 132.3 | 128.4 |
| Ash | 14,746.3 | 782.2 | 1,080.7 | 1,729.2 | 1,606.5 | 1,727.9 | 1,634.2 | 1,768.4 | 1,075.1 | 1,221.9 | 985.5 | 891.1 | 170.8 | 72.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 5,625.5 | 20.9 | 43.9 | 53.0 | 83.1 | 201.2 | 110.8 | 237.0 | 299.4 | 450.9 | 438.5 | 323.7 | 1,184.1 | 1,308.2 | 471.3 | 399.3 |
| Basswood | 228.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 41.5 | 43.1 | 15.8 | 21.3 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 1,057.9 | 6.0 | 11.9 | 30.2 | 79.3 | 78.3 | 99.1 | 174.4 | 26.7 | 63.1 | 104.8 | 112.9 | 0.0 | 271.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 64,279.1 | 5,208.5 | 8,417.4 | 7,505.9 | 8,347.4 | 7,443.2 | 7,281.2 | 5,576.7 | 4,410.7 | 3,327.4 | 2,411.6 | 2,108.5 | 1,206.0 | 0.0 | 1,034.6 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 5,585.9 | 1,299.2 | 1,017.6 | 962.8 | 715.6 | 534.7 | 339.2 | 345.5 | 183.8 | 136.6 | 0.0 | 50.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 24,439.9 | 5,417.2 | 4,945.0 | 4,356.9 | 3,141.6 | 2,153.9 | 1,245.2 | 1,099.4 | 882.5 | 372.5 | 259.4 | 130.6 | 374.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.7 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 209,316.7 | 7,112.9 | 14,160.9 | 24,958.0 | 29,842.0 | 29,286.0 | 27,137.9 | 22,629.2 | 18,117.5 | 13,075.1 | 9,300.7 | 9,448.2 | 2,900.8 | 813.9 | 230.0 | 303.7 |
| Total hardwoods | 663,436.6 | 31,390.2 | 49,238.1 | 66,156.0 | 77,800.1 | 78,033.0 | 73,438.6 | 65,433.0 | 54,837.2 | 41,743.8 | 33,584.9 | 43,184.0 | 22,540.6 | 11,177.3 | 5,706.7 | 9,173.2 |
| All species | 917,628.9 | 35,702.8 | 61,476.3 | 89,464.0 | 108,092.4 | 108,605.4 | 103,260.0 | 92,065.3 | 79,274.2 | 61,686.6 | 49,261.9 | 62,284.2 | 33,237.3 | 14,879.7 | 7,998.5 | 10,340.2 |
| Numbers in rows and columns $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or <br> ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been inclu | may not sum to <br> value of >0.0 <br> ded (species | totals due <br> but <0.05. <br> codes 906 to | orounding. <br> 915). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.22.1—Aboveground dry weight of live trees on timberland by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

|  | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0- \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0- \\ 4.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.0- \\ & 14.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0- \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0- \\ & 24.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  | thousand tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 7,700.2 | 106.8 | 270.2 | 636.1 | 1,097.6 | 1,408.4 | 1,234.8 | 1,141.8 | 684.8 | 476.5 | 369.3 | 58.8 | 215.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 210,172.4 | 2,761.7 | 9,138.0 | 18,583.0 | 24,587.1 | 24,376.3 | 24,710.5 | 22,129.2 | 21,489.2 | 17,564.0 | 13,596.1 | 17,569.4 | 8,870.3 | 3,103.2 | 1,694.4 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 6,465.1 | 11.7 | 41.3 | 112.0 | 295.1 | 296.8 | 517.7 | 384.4 | 302.8 | 509.2 | 584.4 | 509.6 | 1,108.8 | 387.8 | 597.4 | 806.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 7,428.6 | 403.8 | 726.5 | 1,100.6 | 1,163.3 | 1,267.3 | 656.0 | 886.1 | 610.2 | 381.4 | 97.4 | 82.3 | 53.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 231,775.1 | 3,283.9 | 10,176.0 | 20,438.0 | 27,145.6 | 27,348.8 | 27,119.1 | 24,541.6 | 23,086.9 | 18,931.1 | 14,647.2 | 18,220.1 | 10,247.8 | 3,491.0 | 2,291.8 | 806.0 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 13,094.2 | 340.9 | 424.6 | 527.4 | 812.0 | 1,002.4 | 1,201.3 | 1,406.7 | 1,172.0 | 1,294.0 | 1,198.5 | 2,021.3 | 658.3 | 775.0 | 259.6 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 12,214.3 | 175.9 | 198.1 | 398.1 | 555.0 | 540.2 | 583.7 | 1,084.2 | 1,291.9 | 708.6 | 1,155.6 | 1,852.9 | 782.0 | 906.3 | 255.1 | 1,726.8 |
| Other white oaks | 50,170.4 | 435.4 | 1,057.9 | 2,696.0 | 4,911.2 | 6,000.7 | 6,540.5 | 6,028.8 | 4,718.2 | 4,403.5 | 3,649.0 | 3,868.7 | 2,274.3 | 619.8 | 373.2 | 2,593.2 |
| Other red oaks | 86,993.3 | 3,577.5 | 4,345.3 | 4,977.4 | 5,760.1 | 7,056.9 | 7,970.4 | 8,891.4 | 9,177.0 | 7,176.7 | 5,372.6 | 9,710.8 | 6,145.5 | 2,935.9 | 1,717.4 | 2,178.3 |
| Hickory | 13,790.1 | 489.7 | 588.7 | 733.4 | 1,220.4 | 1,487.6 | 2,077.5 | 1,840.9 | 1,268.2 | 1,188.9 | 822.7 | 1,247.6 | 500.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 324.1 |
| Hard maple | 471.1 | 60.3 | 68.9 | 67.9 | 57.5 | 49.0 | 45.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 97.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 4,050.0 | 763.5 | 674.6 | 635.9 | 608.8 | 430.6 | 348.9 | 340.2 | 81.2 | 67.6 | 0.0 | 98.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 1,700.4 | 18.0 | 16.7 | 51.0 | 39.0 | 154.9 | 118.0 | 145.9 | 245.5 | 248.8 | 397.1 | 164.8 | 100.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 40,223.5 | 2,930.0 | 3,593.7 | 3,999.3 | 4,689.2 | 5,054.3 | 4,977.1 | 4,170.4 | 3,224.0 | 2,278.7 | 1,757.8 | 2,263.6 | 1,065.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 220.4 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 8,833.9 | 492.1 | 808.2 | 585.2 | 780.2 | 938.3 | 735.0 | 1,020.1 | 627.5 | 841.7 | 484.0 | 681.0 | 454.5 | 125.5 | 132.3 | 128.4 |
| Ash | 11,440.0 | 590.8 | 832.1 | 1,186.4 | 1,198.5 | 1,369.1 | 1,292.8 | 1,510.1 | 992.4 | 917.6 | 711.3 | 595.3 | 170.8 | 72.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 1,568.8 | 10.5 | 43.9 | 14.6 | 6.6 | 41.0 | 18.1 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 158.9 | 88.1 | 175.4 | 255.1 | 318.0 | 0.0 | 399.3 |
| Basswood | 178.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 23.8 | 33.1 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 298.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 44.8 | 34.9 | 0.0 | 60.1 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 104.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 36,990.4 | 3,237.5 | 4,685.6 | 4,128.8 | 4,455.9 | 4,033.7 | 3,930.1 | 3,554.2 | 2,482.1 | 1,778.4 | 1,575.8 | 1,642.8 | 866.2 | 0.0 | 619.2 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 4,507.5 | 1,058.1 | 764.1 | 830.4 | 602.4 | 491.7 | 264.6 | 275.2 | 138.2 | 82.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 14,402.4 | 2,846.6 | 3,607.3 | 2,830.6 | 1,912.1 | 1,084.5 | 625.6 | 618.9 | 564.4 | 217.8 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.7 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 667.0 | 37.5 | 53.5 | 119.8 | 115.8 | 86.9 | 79.8 | 138.4 | 35.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 301,594.0 | 17,077.6 | 21,763.3 | 23,815.9 | 27,793.4 | 29,890.1 | 30,808.9 | 31,170.0 | 26,090.6 | 21,461.5 | 17,350.2 | 24,357.1 | 13,273.0 | 5,753.3 | 3,356.8 | 7,632.1 |
| All species | 533,369.0 | 20,361.6 | 31,939.3 | 44,253.9 | 54,939.0 | 57,238.9 | 57,928.1 | 55,711.6 | 49,177.6 | 40,392.7 | 31,997.4 | 42,577.1 | 23,520.8 | 9,244.3 | 5,648.6 | 8,438.2 |
| Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding. $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$. <br> ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Softwood
Longleaf and slash
Loblolly Total hardwoods $301,594.0 \quad 17,077.621,763.3 \quad 23,815.927,793.429,890.130,808.9 \quad 31,170.026,090.621,461.517,350.2 \quad 24,357.1 \quad 13,273.0 \quad 5,753.3 \quad 3,356.87,632.1$ All species $\quad 533,369.020,361.631,939.344,253.954,939.057,238.957,928.155,711.649,177.640,392.7$ 31,997.4 42,577.1 23,520.8 9,244.3 5,648.6 8,438.2

Table A.22.2-Aboveground green weight of live trees on forest land by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0- \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0- \\ 4.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0- \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0- \\ 24.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | thousand | dons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 15,461.4 | 213.5 | 540.4 | 1,277.6 | 2,195.2 | 2,816.8 | 2,525.1 | 2,283.6 | 1,369.6 | 953.0 | 738.7 | 117.5 | 430.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 428,669.9 | 5,550.1 | 18,336.7 | 37,566.0 | 49,635.3 | 49,532.3 | 50,510.6 | 45,013.1 | 43,703.9 | 36,058.2 | 28,412.5 | 36,470.4 | 17,964.2 | 6,527.8 | 3,388.8 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 14,074.0 | 23.3 | 82.6 | 229.2 | 637.8 | 686.9 | 1,076.3 | 961.5 | 745.1 | 1,018.4 | 1,168.7 | 1,019.3 | 2,401.6 | 775.6 | 1,194.8 | 2,052.9 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 24,804.8 | 1,266.2 | 2,652.6 | 3,881.3 | 4,057.0 | 4,659.3 | 2,406.5 | 2,566.8 | 1,560.2 | 953.2 | 530.0 | 164.6 | 107.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 25,361.9 | 1,571.9 | 2,864.1 | 3,649.5 | 4,059.5 | 3,449.5 | 3,124.4 | 2,439.6 | 1,495.2 | 902.6 | 504.0 | 428.7 | 490.3 | 101.6 | 0.0 | 280.9 |
| Total softwoods | 508,384.5 | 8,625.1 | 24,476.3 | 46,616.1 | 60,584.7 | 61,144.8 | 59,642.9 | 53,264.6 | 48,874.1 | 39,885.5 | 31,354.0 | 38,200.5 | 21,393.5 | 7,404.9 | 4,583.6 | 2,333.9 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 29,974.0 | 762.3 | 980.9 | 1,199.6 | 1,727.8 | 2,036.9 | 2,486.0 | 3,201.6 | 2,636.6 | 2,771.1 | 2,397.0 | 4,888.2 | 2,186.5 | 2,179.9 | 519.2 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 38,668.9 | 726.7 | 1,464.5 | 3,594.2 | 4,511.5 | 3,693.1 | 3,144.5 | 2,873.3 | 3,203.9 | 1,542.0 | 2,662.8 | 3,912.1 | 1,564.1 | 1,812.6 | 510.1 | 3,453.5 |
| Other white oaks | 264,590.0 | 3,589.2 | 13,318.3 | 23,392.0 | 32,424.6 | 33,906.2 | 29,888.6 | 25,918.1 | 21,034.3 | 16,558.9 | 15,671.7 | 20,664.1 | 10,725.2 | 6,624.9 | 3,212.9 | 7,661.1 |
| Other red oaks | 194,820.7 | 8,202.7 | 11,477.0 | 12,372.5 | 13,788.7 | 16,494.0 | 17,910.8 | 19,085.3 | 20,422.4 | 15,339.9 | 11,421.0 | 20,806.9 | 13,533.0 | 6,174.9 | 3,434.9 | 4,356.7 |
| Hickory | 35,269.4 | 1,155.9 | 1,415.7 | 1,693.3 | 2,874.0 | 3,505.7 | 5,112.7 | 4,616.5 | 3,739.2 | 2,686.8 | 2,588.7 | 2,991.6 | 1,862.2 | 378.8 | 0.0 | 648.2 |
| Hard maple | 942.2 | 120.7 | 137.8 | 135.8 | 115.0 | 98.1 | 91.3 | 48.2 | 0.0 | 195.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 8,312.0 | 1,554.3 | 1,370.1 | 1,310.4 | 1,233.4 | 948.0 | 720.5 | 680.4 | 162.3 | 135.2 | 0.0 | 197.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 3,614.4 | 37.7 | 33.5 | 110.8 | 78.0 | 309.9 | 235.9 | 291.8 | 608.1 | 583.7 | 794.1 | 329.6 | 201.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 81,354.5 | 5,881.5 | 7,240.2 | 8,091.0 | 9,516.6 | 10,194.6 | 10,043.2 | 8,340.8 | 6,448.0 | 4,557.3 | 3,515.5 | 4,656.9 | 2,428.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 440.9 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 18,768.6 | 1,038.3 | 1,683.2 | 1,185.7 | 1,616.4 | 1,942.9 | 1,516.7 | 2,106.6 | 1,335.8 | 1,822.2 | 1,118.2 | 1,721.3 | 909.0 | 251.1 | 264.5 | 256.7 |
| Ash | 29,492.6 | 1,564.4 | 2,161.3 | 3,458.4 | 3,213.1 | 3,455.7 | 3,268.4 | 3,536.8 | 2,150.2 | 2,443.9 | 1,971.0 | 1,782.1 | 341.6 | 145.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 11,251.0 | 41.9 | 87.8 | 106.1 | 166.3 | 402.5 | 221.7 | 473.9 | 598.9 | 901.9 | 877.0 | 647.3 | 2,368.3 | 2,616.5 | 942.6 | 798.5 |
| Basswood | 456.0 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 82.9 | 86.2 | 31.6 | 42.7 | 92.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 2,115.7 | 12.0 | 23.9 | 60.4 | 158.5 | 156.5 | 198.3 | 348.7 | 53.3 | 126.2 | 209.5 | 225.7 | 0.0 | 542.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 128,558.2 | 10,417.0 | 16,834.8 | 15,011.8 | 16,694.8 | 14,886.4 | 14,562.4 | 11,153.3 | 8,821.4 | 6,654.8 | 4,823.2 | 4,217.0 | 2,412.0 | 0.0 | 2,069.2 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 11,171.8 | 2,598.3 | 2,035.3 | 1,925.5 | 1,431.2 | 1,069.5 | 678.5 | 690.9 | 367.7 | 273.1 | 0.0 | 101.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncom mercial hardwoods | 48,879.9 | 10,834.4 | 9,890.0 | 8,713.7 | 6,283.3 | 4,307.7 | 2,490.4 | 2,198.8 | 1,764.9 | 745.0 | 518.7 | 261.3 | 748.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 123.4 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 418,633.4 | 14,225.8 | 28,321.8 | 49,916.0 | 59,684.0 | 58,571.9 | 54,275.8 | 45,258.3 | 36,234.9 | 26,150.3 | 18,601.3 | 18,896.4 | 5,801.5 | 1,627.8 | 460.0 | 607.4 |
| Total hardwoods | 1,326,873.3 | 62,780.5 | 98,476.2 | 132,311.9 | 155,600.2 | 156,066.0 | 146,877.1 | 130,866.0 | 109,674.3 | 83,487.6 | 67,169.9 | 86,368.0 | 45,081.1 | 22,354.5 | 11,413.5 | 18,346.5 |
| All species | 1,835,257.8 | 71,405.5 | 122,952.5 | 178,928.0 | 216,184.9 | 217,210.8 | 206,520.0 | 184,130.7 | 158,548.4 | 123,373.1 | 98,523.9 | 124,568.5 | 66,474.6 | 29,759.4 | 15,997.1 | 20,680.3 |
| Numbers in rows and colu $0.0=$ no sample for the ce ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been | mns may not ll or a value of included (spe | um to total $>0.0$ but <0 cies codes | due to roun 05. <br> 906 to 915). | ding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A.22.3-Aboveground green weight of live trees on timberland by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.0- \\ 2.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0- \\ 4.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0- \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.0- \\ 24.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  | thousand tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 15,400.4 | 213.5 | 540.4 | 1,272.1 | 2,195.2 | 2,816.8 | 2,469.7 | 2,283.6 | 1,369.6 | 953.0 | 738.7 | 117.5 | 430.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 420,344.8 | 5,523.4 | 18,276.0 | 37,166.0 | 49,174.1 | 48,752.6 | 49,421.1 | 44,258.4 | 42,978.4 | 35,128.1 | 27,192.2 | 35,138.7 | 17,740.6 | 6,206.4 | 3,388.8 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 12,930.1 | 23.3 | 82.6 | 224.1 | 590.2 | 593.6 | 1,035.5 | 768.9 | 605.5 | 1,018.4 | 1,168.7 | 1,019.3 | 2,217.6 | 775.6 | 1,194.8 | 1,612.1 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 14,857.1 | 807.6 | 1,453.0 | 2,201.2 | 2,326.7 | 2,534.7 | 1,312.1 | 1,772.2 | 1,220.3 | 762.7 | 194.8 | 164.6 | 107.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 463,550.1 | 6,567.8 | 20,352.0 | 40,876.0 | 54,291.2 | 54,697.7 | 54,238.3 | 49,083.1 | 46,173.8 | 37,862.3 | 29,294.4 | 36,440.1 | 20,495.7 | 6,982.0 | 4,583.6 | 1,612.1 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 26,188.3 | 681.8 | 849.2 | 1,054.8 | 1,624.1 | 2,004.9 | 2,402.7 | 2,813.3 | 2,344.1 | 2,588.0 | 2,397.0 | 4,042.6 | 1,316.7 | 1,549.9 | 519.2 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 24,428.7 | 351.8 | 396.2 | 796.2 | 1,110.0 | 1,080.4 | 1,167.5 | 2,168.4 | 2,583.7 | 1,417.1 | 2,311.3 | 3,705.8 | 1,564.1 | 1,812.6 | 510.1 | 3,453.5 |
| Other white oaks | 100,340.9 | 870.8 | 2,115.8 | 5,392.0 | 9,822.3 | 12,001.5 | 13,081.1 | 12,057.5 | 9,436.3 | 8,807.1 | 7,298.0 | 7,737.4 | 4,548.6 | 1,239.6 | 746.4 | 5,186.3 |
| Other red oaks | 173,986.6 | 7,154.9 | 8,690.6 | 9,954.9 | 11,520.2 | 14,113.9 | 15,940.8 | 17,782.9 | 18,353.9 | 14,353.4 | 10,745.2 | 19,421.6 | 12,290.9 | 5,871.8 | 3,434.9 | 4,356.7 |
| Hickory | 27,580.2 | 979.3 | 1,177.4 | 1,466.9 | 2,440.9 | 2,975.3 | 4,154.9 | 3,681.7 | 2,536.4 | 2,377.8 | 1,645.3 | 2,495.1 | 1,000.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 648.2 |
| Hard maple | 942.2 | 120.7 | 137.8 | 135.8 | 115.0 | 98.1 | 91.3 | 48.2 | 0.0 | 195.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 8,100.0 | 1,526.9 | 1,349.2 | 1,271.8 | 1,217.6 | 861.2 | 697.8 | 680.4 | 162.3 | 135.2 | 0.0 | 197.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 3,400.7 | 35.9 | 33.5 | 102.0 | 78.0 | 309.9 | 235.9 | 291.8 | 491.0 | 497.7 | 794.1 | 329.6 | 201.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 80,447.0 | 5,860.0 | 7,187.5 | 7,998.5 | 9,378.4 | 10,108.6 | 9,954.1 | 8,340.8 | 6,448.0 | 4,557.3 | 3,515.5 | 4,527.2 | 2,130.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 440.9 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 17,667.9 | 984.2 | 1,616.3 | 1,170.5 | 1,560.4 | 1,876.6 | 1,470.1 | 2,040.2 | 1,255.0 | 1,683.4 | 967.9 | 1,362.1 | 909.0 | 251.1 | 264.5 | 256.7 |
| Ash | 22,880.0 | 1,181.7 | 1,664.1 | 2,372.9 | 2,396.9 | 2,738.2 | 2,585.5 | 3,020.3 | 1,984.8 | 1,835.1 | 1,422.7 | 1,190.6 | 341.6 | 145.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 3,137.5 | 21.0 | 87.8 | 29.3 | 13.3 | 82.0 | 36.2 | 78.3 | 0.0 | 317.7 | 176.3 | 350.7 | 510.3 | 636.1 | 0.0 | 798.5 |
| Basswood | 356.3 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 47.5 | 66.2 | 0.0 | 42.7 | 92.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 597.2 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 45.3 | 89.7 | 69.7 | 0.0 | 120.1 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 209.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 73,980.9 | 6,475.1 | 9,371.2 | 8,257.6 | 8,911.9 | 8,067.4 | 7,860.2 | 7,108.4 | 4,964.3 | 3,556.8 | 3,151.5 | 3,285.7 | 1,732.4 | 0.0 | 1,238.5 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 9,015.0 | 2,116.2 | 1,528.3 | 1,660.8 | 1,204.8 | 983.5 | 529.1 | 550.3 | 276.5 | 165.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 28,804.7 | 5,693.2 | 7,214.7 | 5,661.1 | 3,824.3 | 2,169.0 | 1,251.1 | 1,237.7 | 1,128.8 | 435.5 | 66.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 123.4 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 1,333.9 | 75.0 | 107.0 | 239.5 | 231.6 | 173.8 | 159.6 | 276.9 | 70.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 603,187.9 | 34,155.3 | 43,526.6 | 47,631.8 | 55,586.8 | 59,780.2 | 61,617.9 | 62,340.0 | 52,181.3 | 42,923.0 | 34,700.4 | 48,714.1 | 26,546.0 | 11,506.7 | 6,713.7 | 15,264.3 |
| All species | 1,066,738.0 | 723.1 | 63,878.6 | $88,507.7$ | 878.0 | 7 | 856.2 | ,423.1 | 98.355 | $80,785.3$ |  |  |  |  | 297, | 876.3 | Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

${ }^{2}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.22.4—Merchantable dry weight of live trees on forest land by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0- \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0- \\ & 24.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  |  | thousand tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 6,269.0 | 461.5 | 906.9 | 1,194.4 | 1,096.0 | 1,000.8 | 606.8 | 425.9 | 330.6 | 52.6 | 193.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 173,013.5 | 13,427.0 | 20,380.4 | 21,197.8 | 21,992.9 | 19,724.4 | 19,311.0 | 15,916.2 | 12,605.1 | 16,154.3 | 7,937.2 | 2,857.2 | 1,510.2 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 4.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 5,745.9 | 79.2 | 247.4 | 276.6 | 441.6 | 398.0 | 309.8 | 423.4 | 482.0 | 419.2 | 1,006.5 | 325.4 | 495.0 | 841.8 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 8,605.0 | 1,473.9 | 1,665.6 | 1,953.6 | 1,015.4 | 1,088.9 | 657.0 | 406.6 | 226.4 | 71.2 | 46.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 5,750.7 | 746.4 | 969.2 | 916.7 | 907.8 | 745.7 | 483.7 | 298.0 | 175.2 | 161.8 | 180.6 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 128.7 |
| Total softwoods | 199,388.8 | 16,192.7 | 24,169.5 | 25,539.1 | 25,453.7 | 22,957.8 | 21,368.3 | 17,470.0 | 13,819.4 | 16,859.1 | 9,364.2 | 3,219.5 | 2,005.1 | 970.4 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 10,964.0 | 404.6 | 651.7 | 788.4 | 975.9 | 1,267.6 | 1,046.8 | 1,111.8 | 959.0 | 1,889.6 | 883.6 | 794.3 | 190.6 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 12,785.1 | 1,145.7 | 1,578.3 | 1,319.8 | 1,137.1 | 1,102.5 | 1,272.5 | 607.7 | 1,017.8 | 1,370.0 | 628.4 | 720.7 | 188.1 | 696.4 |
| Other white oaks | 94,104.4 | 7,749.6 | 12,084.8 | 13,068.8 | 11,616.1 | 10,155.5 | 8,192.6 | 6,345.8 | 6,016.0 | 7,893.4 | 4,166.1 | 2,625.8 | 1,112.7 | 3,077.1 |
| Other red oaks | 66,410.0 | 3,985.6 | 5,059.7 | 6,317.1 | 6,955.4 | 7,503.7 | 8,046.2 | 6,010.8 | 4,483.8 | 7,985.8 | 5,226.1 | 2,210.5 | 1,221.9 | 1,403.5 |
| Hickory | 12,771.4 | 538.2 | 1,075.1 | 1,370.5 | 2,049.6 | 1,854.0 | 1,506.7 | 1,089.8 | 1,049.1 | 1,207.3 | 627.5 | 158.7 | 0.0 | 244.8 |
| Hard maple | 253.5 | 44.2 | 42.2 | 37.7 | 35.2 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 74.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 1,988.8 | 451.9 | 467.9 | 358.6 | 277.4 | 249.8 | 68.1 | 37.6 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 1,304.2 | 35.9 | 29.5 | 119.5 | 92.2 | 115.2 | 213.8 | 226.3 | 300.4 | 121.9 | 49.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 27,922.8 | 2,722.1 | 3,767.8 | 4,203.8 | 4,228.4 | 3,555.3 | 2,763.8 | 1,979.1 | 1,514.7 | 1,963.3 | 1,028.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 196.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 6,262.4 | 419.5 | 639.9 | 791.5 | 616.9 | 861.2 | 527.8 | 730.4 | 455.4 | 668.0 | 315.9 | 99.6 | 114.2 | 22.2 |
| Ash | 10,197.4 | 1,207.0 | 1,286.7 | 1,406.2 | 1,366.4 | 1,471.1 | 845.7 | 1,006.4 | 723.0 | 706.8 | 113.8 | 64.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 4,757.8 | 38.1 | 65.8 | 168.2 | 94.7 | 203.6 | 258.6 | 386.9 | 346.4 | 277.1 | 1,023.9 | 1,139.6 | 406.6 | 348.3 |
| Basswood | 169.9 | 11.8 | 33.2 | 32.8 | 12.7 | 15.6 | 34.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 819.3 | 20.2 | 57.9 | 59.7 | 77.7 | 137.9 | 17.3 | 50.7 | 84.1 | 92.0 | 0.0 | 221.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 40,217.1 | 5,219.6 | 6,593.7 | 6,082.2 | 6,021.6 | 4,631.6 | 3,601.7 | 2,703.0 | 1,905.6 | 1,716.1 | 916.6 | 0.0 | 825.5 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 2,237.4 | 622.4 | 513.0 | 401.0 | 226.0 | 213.2 | 122.2 | 100.1 | 0.0 | 39.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 9,872.5 | 2,816.3 | 2,255.7 | 1,578.9 | 925.0 | 840.9 | 608.1 | 252.1 | 203.1 | 92.7 | 276.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.8 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 120,157.3 | 13,401.5 | 17,505.6 | 18,266.3 | 17,762.3 | 15,288.0 | 12,360.0 | 9,160.7 | 6,707.9 | 6,652.8 | 2,084.2 | 525.5 | 203.1 | 239.4 |
| Total hardwoods | 423,195.4 | 40,834.2 | 53,708.6 | 56,371.0 | 54,470.9 | 49,486.3 | 41,486.0 | 31,874.0 | 25,766.4 | 32,783.3 | 17,340.5 | 8,560.8 | 4,262.7 | 6,250.6 |
| All species | 622,584.1 | 57,026.9 | 77,878.1 | 81,910.1 | 79,924.6 | 72,444.0 | 62,854.3 | 49,344.1 | 39,585.8 | 49,642.3 | 26,704.7 | 11,780.4 | 6,267.8 | 7,221.0 |
| Numbers in rows and columns $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been incl | may not sum value of $>0.0$ ded (species | totals due <br> but <0.05. <br> odes 906 to | rounding. <br> 915). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.22.5-Merchantable dry weight of live trees on timberland by species group and diameter class, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { classes } \end{gathered}$ | Diameter class (inches at breast height) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.0- \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0- \\ 8.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0- \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0- \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0- \\ 14.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0- \\ 16.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0- \\ 18.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0- \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0- \\ & 24.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0- \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0- \\ 32.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0- \\ 36.9 \end{gathered}$ | 37.0+ |
|  |  | thousnd tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 6,242.7 | 459.7 | 906.9 | 1,194.4 | 1,071.5 | 1,000.8 | 606.8 | 425.9 | 330.6 | 52.6 | 193.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 169,439.7 | 13,284.8 | 20,190.9 | 20,863.1 | 21,516.5 | 19,392.5 | 18,990.6 | 15,507.2 | 12,060.6 | 15,558.8 | 7,837.1 | 2,727.5 | 1,510.2 | 0.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 4.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 5,271.9 | 77.3 | 228.8 | 239.7 | 424.9 | 318.3 | 251.8 | 423.4 | 482.0 | 419.2 | 929.5 | 325.4 | 495.0 | 656.6 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 5,194.8 | 836.4 | 953.4 | 1,061.8 | 551.3 | 752.4 | 510.5 | 328.4 | 83.0 | 71.2 | 46.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland softwoods | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 186,154.9 | 14,662.9 | 22,281.1 | 23,359.0 | 23,564.3 | 21,463.9 | 20,359.8 | 16,684.9 | 12,956.3 | 16,101.8 | 9,006.5 | 3,052.9 | 2,005.1 | 656.6 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 9,531.4 | 357.6 | 612.7 | 775.9 | 943.7 | 1,112.0 | 931.5 | 1,037.4 | 959.0 | 1,546.9 | 527.6 | 536.3 | 190.6 | 0.0 |
| Select red oaks | 8,438.8 | 268.3 | 422.9 | 425.4 | 465.3 | 861.5 | 1,030.1 | 561.5 | 882.0 | 1,288.2 | 628.4 | 720.7 | 188.1 | 696.4 |
| Other white oaks | 37,076.8 | 1,774.3 | 3,641.8 | 4,603.9 | 5,069.8 | 4,709.8 | 3,704.0 | 3,373.4 | 2,783.3 | 2,816.1 | 1,801.5 | 495.8 | 240.8 | 2,062.3 |
| Other red oaks | 60,100.8 | 3,204.4 | 4,226.1 | 5,413.1 | 6,197.4 | 6,999.6 | 7,257.1 | 5,630.0 | 4,241.9 | 7,437.0 | 4,775.4 | 2,093.3 | 1,221.9 | 1,403.5 |
| Hickory | 9,830.8 | 465.9 | 911.0 | 1,162.0 | 1,664.4 | 1,472.4 | 1,017.5 | 962.8 | 660.4 | 1,001.1 | 268.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 244.8 |
| Hard maple | 253.5 | 44.2 | 42.2 | 37.7 | 35.2 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 74.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 1,933.1 | 438.7 | 461.6 | 331.7 | 268.1 | 249.8 | 68.1 | 37.6 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 1,235.6 | 33.1 | 29.5 | 119.5 | 92.2 | 115.2 | 179.5 | 194.9 | 300.4 | 121.9 | 49.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 27,580.9 | 2,690.8 | 3,712.3 | 4,168.1 | 4,190.2 | 3,555.3 | 2,763.8 | 1,979.1 | 1,514.7 | 1,914.5 | 895.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 196.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 5,872.3 | 414.0 | 618.6 | 764.1 | 601.2 | 834.1 | 496.3 | 678.9 | 392.4 | 521.0 | 315.9 | 99.6 | 114.2 | 22.2 |
| Ash | 7,932.6 | 829.0 | 959.9 | 1,122.5 | 1,075.7 | 1,250.6 | 773.7 | 756.1 | 485.0 | 501.9 | 113.8 | 64.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 1,270.5 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 34.2 | 15.5 | 33.4 | 0.0 | 134.2 | 45.9 | 148.2 | 218.1 | 277.0 | 0.0 | 348.3 |
| Basswood | 133.0 | 7.2 | 19.0 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 34.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 222.3 | 15.1 | 31.5 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 48.5 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 84.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 23,009.5 | 2,866.8 | 3,502.4 | 3,289.1 | 3,226.1 | 2,947.0 | 2,028.5 | 1,413.4 | 1,220.2 | 1,314.0 | 666.1 | 0.0 | 535.9 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 1,850.5 | 536.2 | 429.8 | 367.1 | 167.6 | 188.0 | 94.5 | 67.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 5,614.7 | 1,854.4 | 1,408.5 | 827.7 | 473.1 | 483.6 | 375.7 | 139.5 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.8 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 343.7 | 62.8 | 63.2 | 50.4 | 51.6 | 90.1 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 202,230.6 | 15,873.4 | 21,098.5 | 23,545.7 | 24,537.1 | 24,986.0 | 20,797.0 | 17,040.7 | 13,598.7 | 18,717.8 | 10,260.8 | 4,287.1 | 2,491.5 | 4,996.3 |
| All species | 388,385.6 | 30,536.3 | 43,379.7 | 46,904.8 | 48,101.4 | 46,449.9 | 41,156.8 | 33,725.5 | 26,555.0 | 34,819.6 | 19,267.2 | 7,339.9 | 4,496.6 | 5,652.9 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding. $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.23-Total carbon ${ }^{a}$ of live trees on forest land by ownership class and land status, Texas, 2008

| Ownership class | All forest land | Land status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unreserved |  |  | Reserved |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Timberland | Unproductive | Total | Productive | Unproductive |
|  | thousand tons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National forest | 27,526.1 | 26,205.9 | 26,205.9 | 0.0 | 1,320.3 | 1,320.3 | 0.0 |
| National grassland | 488.4 | 396.9 | 169.3 | 227.6 | 91.5 | 91.5 | 0.0 |
| Other Forest Service | 74.3 | 74.3 | 74.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 28,088.8 | 26,677.0 | 26,449.5 | 227.6 | 1,411.8 | 1,411.8 | 0.0 |
| Other Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Park Service | 3,024.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3,024.5 | 2,848.8 | 175.7 |
| Bureau of Land Management | 33.4 | 33.4 | 0.0 | 33.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | 2,039.8 | 972.1 | 400.5 | 571.6 | 1,067.7 | 993.6 | 74.1 |
| Dept. of Defense/Dept. of Energy | 6,022.2 | 5,953.3 | 3,459.0 | 2,494.3 | 68.9 | 11.3 | 57.6 |
| Other Federal | 845.6 | 845.6 | 394.9 | 450.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 11,965.4 | 7,804.3 | 4,254.5 | 3,549.8 | 4,161.1 | 3,853.7 | 307.4 |
| State and local government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 5,736.0 | 5,352.0 | 3,436.1 | 1,915.9 | 384.0 | 249.9 | 134.1 |
| Local | 5,060.9 | 4,496.3 | 1,683.2 | 2,813.1 | 564.6 | 102.5 | 462.0 |
| Other non-Federal public | 96.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.9 | 0.0 | 96.9 |
| Total | 10,893.8 | 9,848.3 | 5,119.3 | 4,729.0 | 1,045.5 | 352.4 | 693.0 |
| Forest industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate | 40,626.0 | 40,626.0 | 40,626.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local partnership/association/club | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native American | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | 325.2 | 325.2 | 210.8 | 114.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 41,065.5 | 41,065.5 | 40,951.1 | 114.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Nonindustrial private |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate | 50,145.2 | 50,145.2 | 38,932.7 | 11,212.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Conservation/natural resources organization | 1,940.6 | 1,940.6 | 872.9 | 1,067.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated local partnership/association/club | 19,923.3 | 19,923.3 | 6,966.9 | 12,956.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native American | 1,399.5 | 1,399.5 | 944.3 | 455.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Individual | 293,392.3 | 293,392.3 | 142,193.4 | 151,198.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 366,800.9 | 366,800.9 | 189,910.1 | 176,890.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All classes | 458,814.4 | 452,196.1 | 266,684.5 | 185,511.5 | 6,618.4 | 5,618.0 | 1,000.4 |

[^18]Table A.24-Average annual net growth of live trees by ownership class and land status, Texas, 2008

| Land status |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ownership class | Timberland Forest land |
| million cubic feet |  |


| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| National forest | 64.0 | 68.0 |
| Other Forest Service | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 64.0 | 68.0 |


| Other Federal |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| National Park Service | 0.0 | -7.1 |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Dept. of Defense/Dept. of Energy | 10.1 | 9.6 |
| Other Federal | 7.9 | -0.1 |
| $\quad$ Total | 19.4 | 3.8 |


| State and local government |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| State | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Local | 14.8 | 6.2 |
| Total | 15.8 | 7.2 |

Forest industry

| Individual | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Native American | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Corporate | 213.6 | 213.6 |
| Unincorporated partnership/association/club | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| $\quad$ Total | 215.4 | 215.4 |


| Nonindustrial private |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Corporate | 162.7 | 162.9 |
| Conservation/natural resources organization | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Individual | 466.9 | 454.6 |
| Unincorporated partnership/association/club | 20.4 | 20.4 |
| Native American | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| $\quad$ Total | 653.7 | 641.5 |
| All classes | 968.3 | 935.9 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

Table A.25-Average annual net growth of live trees on forest land by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

|  | All size |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| classes |  |$\quad$| Large |
| :--- |
| diameter | | Stand-size class |
| :---: |
| Medium |
| diameter |
| million cubic feet | | Small |
| :---: |
| diameter |$\quad$ Nonstocked

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.25.1-Average annual net growth of live trees on timberland by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

|  | All size |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| classes |  |$\quad$| Large |
| :---: |
| diameter | | Stand-size class |
| :---: |
| Medium |
| diameter |
| million cubic feet | | Small |
| :---: |
| diameter |$\quad$ Nonstocked

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.26-Average annual net growth of live trees on forest land by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  |  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 18.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 4.2 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 610.7 | 61.5 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 175.0 | 371.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 6.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.5 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 9.9 |
| Total softwoods | 645.8 | 62.2 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 190.0 | 388.6 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 5.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 3.0 |
| Select red oaks | 10.0 | -0.2 | -1.2 | 0.1 | -0.9 | 12.1 |
| Other white oaks | 30.7 | 0.5 | -1.0 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 27.7 |
| Other red oaks | 97.7 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 83.4 |
| Hickory | 9.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 7.8 |
| Hard maple | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Soft maple | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.3 | 2.9 |
| Beech | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| Sweetgum | 60.7 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 8.5 | 49.6 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 7.2 | 0.7 | -0.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 6.8 |
| Ash | 8.2 | -0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 7.2 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Basswood | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Black walnut | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 38.6 | 1.3 | -0.4 | -0.7 | 1.6 | 36.8 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 2.3 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 9.8 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 8.9 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total hardwoods | 290.1 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 25.4 | 252.9 |
| All species | 935.9 | 68.0 | 3.8 | 7.1 | 215.4 | 641.5 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.26.1-Average annual net growth of live trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  |  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 18.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 4.2 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 615.8 | 57.8 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 175.0 | 371.2 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.5 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 9.9 |
| Total softwoods | 650.2 | 58.6 | 4.4 | 8.4 | 190.0 | 388.8 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 5.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 3.0 |
| Select red oaks | 12.2 | -0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 12.4 |
| Other white oaks | 39.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 33.3 |
| Other red oaks | 104.8 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 9.3 | 85.4 |
| Hickory | 10.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 8.8 |
| Hard maple | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Soft maple | 3.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.3 | 2.9 |
| Beech | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| Sweetgum | 61.3 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 8.5 | 49.7 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 7.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 6.9 |
| Ash | 8.7 | -0.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 7.3 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Basswood | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Black walnut | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 44.2 | 1.3 | 3.6 | -0.4 | 1.6 | 38.1 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 11.5 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 10.4 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total hardwoods | 318.2 | 5.4 | 15.1 | 7.4 | 25.4 | 264.9 |
| All species | 968.3 | 64.0 | 19.4 | 15.8 | 215.4 | 653.7 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but <0.05.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.27-Average annual net growth of growing-stock trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 18.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 4.3 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 610.0 | 57.5 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 173.7 | 367.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 6.6 |
| Total softwoods | 640.7 | 58.2 | 4.3 | 8.4 | 188.5 | 381.2 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 5.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Select red oaks | 12.1 | -0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | -0.4 | 11.9 |
| Other white oaks | 31.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 25.1 |
| Other red oaks | 99.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 9.2 | 84.4 |
| Hickory | 9.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 7.7 |
| Hard maple | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| Soft maple | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 2.0 |
| Beech | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Sweetgum | 59.4 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 8.5 | 48.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 5.7 | 0.6 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.7 |
| Ash | 9.6 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 8.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Basswood | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Black walnut | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 32.1 | 0.9 | 2.5 | -0.5 | 1.4 | 27.8 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 273.5 | 5.9 | 9.7 | 4.7 | 25.0 | 228.2 |
| All species | 914.2 | 64.1 | 14.0 | 13.1 | 213.5 | 609.4 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.27.1-Average annual net growth of sawtimber on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 84.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.2 | 14.8 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 2,377.1 | 274.8 | 24.5 | 34.1 | 548.0 | 1,495.8 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 28.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 20.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 24.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 23.4 |
| Total softwoods | 2,514.6 | 279.4 | 26.4 | 35.5 | 619.1 | 1,554.3 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 8.3 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 0.6 | -5.0 | 3.9 |
| Select red oaks | 54.2 | -1.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | -3.4 | 55.6 |
| Other white oaks | 136.9 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 17.7 | 108.6 |
| Other red oaks | 407.3 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 36.3 | 353.0 |
| Hickory | 44.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 35.4 |
| Hard maple | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -1.0 | 0.4 |
| Soft maple | 5.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.7 |
| Beech | 4.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.3 |
| Sweetgum | 196.3 | 5.1 | 11.2 | 3.5 | 25.5 | 150.9 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 16.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | -1.6 | 16.5 |
| Ash | 20.6 | -3.0 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 4.9 | 15.6 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 21.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.3 |
| Basswood | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Black walnut | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 79.0 | 4.1 | 3.6 | -0.3 | 2.5 | 69.1 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 994.7 | 21.7 | 40.6 | 17.9 | 79.0 | 835.6 |
| All species | 3,509.4 | 301.1 | 66.9 | 53.3 | 698.1 | 2,389.9 |

[^19]Table A.28-Average annual net mortality of live trees by ownership class and land status, Texas, 2008

| Ownership class | Land status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Timberland | Forest land |
|  | million cubic feet |  |
| U.S. Forest Service |  |  |
| National forest | 14.7 | 15.2 |
| Other Forest Service | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total | 15.0 | 15.5 |
| Other Federal |  |  |
| National Park Service | 0.0 | 10.4 |
| Dept. of Defense/Dept. of Energy | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Other Federal | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 2.4 | 12.8 |
| State and local government |  |  |
| State | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Local | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Total | 6.0 | 6.1 |
| Forest industry |  |  |
| Corporate | 23.3 | 23.3 |
| Total | 23.3 | 23.3 |
| Nonindustrial private |  |  |
| Corporate | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Conservation/natural resources organization | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unincorporated partnership/association/club | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Native American | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Individual | 77.8 | 78.4 |
| Total | 100.6 | 101.1 |
| All classes | 147.3 | 158.9 |
| Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding. |  |  |

Table A.29-Average annual mortality of live trees on forest land by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All size classes | Stand-size class |  |  | Nonstocked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large diameter | Medium diameter | Small diameter |  |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 5.0 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 69.5 | 61.7 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 75.0 | 66.8 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Hardwood types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 19.0 | 15.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 27.0 | 20.5 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 28.7 | 25.9 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 7.9 | 6.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 83.7 | 68.1 | 12.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| All groups | 158.9 | 135.0 | 19.0 | 4.7 | 0.1 |

[^20]Table A.29.1—Average annual mortality of live trees on timberland by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All size classes | Stand-size class |  |  | Nonstocked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large diameter | Medium diameter | Small diameter |  |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 5.0 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 64.9 | 57.1 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 70.4 | 62.3 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Hardwood types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 18.9 | 14.9 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 26.6 | 20.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 23.0 | 21.1 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 7.3 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 76.9 | 62.3 | 11.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All groups | 147.3 | 124.5 | 18.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.30-Average annual mortality of live trees on forest land by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  |  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 6.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 5.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 69.9 | 9.9 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 12.1 | 40.5 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Total softwoods | 77.8 | 10.0 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 13.9 | 46.4 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.2 |
| Select red oaks | 4.6 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Other white oaks | 8.3 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.7 |
| Other red oaks | 25.9 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 18.4 |
| Hickory | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.7 |
| Hard maple | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Beech | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Sweetgum | 10.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 7.7 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Ash | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Black walnut | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 11.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 8.4 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 5.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 81.1 | 5.5 | 8.3 | 3.1 | 9.4 | 54.8 |
| All species | 158.9 | 15.5 | 12.8 | 6.2 | 23.3 | 101.1 |

[^21]Table A.30.1-Average annual mortality of live trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 6.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 5.0 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 65.8 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 12.1 | 40.5 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Total softwoods | 73.6 | 9.5 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 13.9 | 46.4 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.2 |
| Select red oaks | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Other white oaks | 6.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.6 |
| Other red oaks | 24.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 18.4 |
| Hickory | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.7 |
| Hard maple | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Beech | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Sweetgum | 9.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 7.5 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Ash | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Black walnut | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 10.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 8.3 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 73.7 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 9.4 | 54.2 |
| All species | 147.3 | 15.0 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 23.3 | 100.6 |

[^22]Table A.31-Average annual mortality of growing-stock trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 6.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 4.9 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 63.3 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 11.8 | 38.3 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total softwoods | 70.5 | 9.5 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 13.6 | 43.5 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 |
| Select red oaks | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Other white oaks | 4.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| Other red oaks | 17.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 13.0 |
| Hickory | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| Hard maple | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Beech | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Sweetgum | 7.7 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 5.6 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Ash | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 7.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 4.7 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 49.9 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 36.1 |
| All species | 120.4 | 13.7 | 2.1 | 5.8 | 19.2 | 79.6 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.31.1-Average annual mortality of sawtimber on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million board feet ${ }^{b}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 25.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 20.5 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 328.1 | 52.1 | 2.6 | 20.4 | 62.9 | 190.2 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 355.1 | 52.1 | 2.6 | 20.4 | 68.0 | 212.0 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 23.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 |
| Select red oaks | 17.6 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 |
| Other white oaks | 15.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.4 |
| Other red oaks | 73.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 19.1 | 52.0 |
| Hickory | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Hard maple | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Soft maple | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Beech | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Sweetgum | 11.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Ash | 6.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black walnut | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 15.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 10.2 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 171.0 | 12.7 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 21.3 | 126.4 |
| All species | 526.2 | 64.8 | 5.5 | 28.2 | 89.3 | 338.4 |

[^23]Table A.32-Average annual net removals of live trees by ownership class and land status, Texas, 2008

|  | Land status |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Ownership class | Timberland | Forest land |
|  | million cubic feet |  |

Table A.33-Average annual removals of live trees on forest land by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

|  | All size |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| classes |  |$\quad$| Large |
| :---: |
| diameter | | Stand-size class |
| :---: |
| Medium |
| diameter |
| million cubic feet | | Small |
| :---: |
| diameter |$\quad$ Nonstocked

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.33.1-Average annual removals of live trees on timberland by forest-type group and stand-size class, Texas, 2008

| Forest-type group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All size classes | Stand-size class |  |  | Nonstocked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large diameter | Medium diameter | Small diameter |  |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf-slash pine | 21.5 | 19.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Loblolly-shortleaf pine | 504.4 | 364.1 | 136.8 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total softwoods | 526.5 | 383.7 | 139.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| Hardwood types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oak-pine | 71.0 | 54.8 | 12.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| Oak-hickory | 97.9 | 65.6 | 24.2 | 8.1 | 0.0 |
| Oak-gum-cypress | 29.9 | 23.4 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Elm-ash-cottonwood | 6.2 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Exotic hardwoods | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 206.5 | 149.7 | 43.8 | 12.9 | 0.0 |
| Nonstocked | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| All groups | 733.2 | 533.4 | 183.2 | 16.4 | 0.2 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.34-Average annual removals of live trees on forest land by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 8.8 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 524.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 182.2 | 341.0 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| Total softwoods | 547.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 195.0 | 351.3 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 8.3 |
| Select red oaks | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 6.1 |
| Other white oaks | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 26.4 |
| Other red oaks | 58.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 53.9 |
| Hickory | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 8.0 |
| Hard maple | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Soft maple | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Beech | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 41.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 36.0 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.2 |
| Ash | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Black walnut | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 17.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 15.7 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.3 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 186.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 166.0 |
| All species | 733.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 215.2 | 517.3 |

[^24]$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

## Appendix A-Core Tables

Table A.34.1-Average annual removals of live trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { ownerships } \end{gathered}$ | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 8.8 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 524.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 182.2 | 340.6 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| Total softwoods | 547.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 195.0 | 351.0 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 8.3 |
| Select red oaks | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 6.1 |
| Other white oaks | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 26.4 |
| Other red oaks | 57.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 53.0 |
| Hickory | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 8.0 |
| Hard maple | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Soft maple | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Beech | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 41.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 36.4 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.3 |
| Ash | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Black walnut | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 15.6 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.4 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 185.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 165.7 |
| All species | 733.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 215.2 | 516.7 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.35-Average annual removals of growing-stock trees on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  |  | million cubic feet |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 8.8 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 516.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 180.6 | 334.6 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Total softwoods | 539.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 193.3 | 344.4 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 7.4 |
| Select red oaks | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 5.9 |
| Other white oaks | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 24.1 |
| Other red oaks | 50.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 46.9 |
| Hickory | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 6.4 |
| Hard maple | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Soft maple | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Beech | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 38.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 33.3 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| Ash | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Black walnut | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 11.3 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 156.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 141.3 |
| All species | 695.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 208.9 | 485.7 |

[^25]$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

Table A.35.1-Average annual removals of sawtimber on timberland by species group and ownership group, Texas, 2008

| Species group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | All ownerships | Ownership group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. <br> Forest <br> Service | Other Federal | State and local government | Forest industry | Nonindustrial private |
|  | million board feet ${ }^{b}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longleaf and slash pines | 94.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 38.2 |
| Loblolly and shortleaf pines | 1,980.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 627.8 | 1,349.9 |
| Other yellow pines | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cypress | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other eastern softwoods | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| Total softwoods | 2,077.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 684.3 | 1,390.3 |
| Hardwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Select white oaks | 23.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.2 |
| Select red oaks | 31.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 25.4 |
| Other white oaks | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 88.5 |
| Other red oaks | 176.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 174.1 |
| Hickory | 24.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 18.9 |
| Hard maple | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Soft maple | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Beech | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweetgum | 98.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 84.4 |
| Tupelo and blackgum | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.8 |
| Ash | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| Cottonwood and aspen | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Basswood | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Black walnut | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Other eastern soft hardwoods | 21.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 20.6 |
| Other eastern hard hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Eastern noncommercial hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Western woodland hardwoods | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total hardwoods | 477.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 445.4 |
| All species | 2,554.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 716.8 | 1,835.6 |

Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
$0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but <0.05.
${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).
${ }^{b}$ International $1 / 4$-inch rule.

## Appendix B—Inventory Methods

The following is a general description of the sample design and methods used to derive forest resource estimates provided in this report. Current procedures were implemented during the 2003 survey. Readers wishing to learn about how current methodology differs from the older surveys should refer to the inventory methods section of the 2003 State report (Rudis and others 2008). These changes necessitate caution when making long-term comparisons with previous forest resource estimates.

One of the major impacts on the data interpretation and analysis is the startup of central and west Texas in 2004. Adding to the complexity, FIA plots in the western region (central and west Texas) will be measured on a 10 -year cycle, in contrast with the 5 -year cycle for east Texas plots.

## Sample Design

In 1995, FIA began efforts to standardize an inventory design to be used in all States. The FIA inventory today is a three-phase, fixed-plot sample survey (Bechtold and Patterson 2005). The three phases of the current sampling method are arranged on a hexagonal grid design, with each successive phase sampled with less intensity. There are 16 phase 2 ( P 2 ) hexagons for every phase 3 (P3) hexagon, and 27 phase 1 (Pl) hexagons for every P 2 hexagon. P 1 hexagons represent about 222 acres, while P2 and P3 hexagons represent roughly 6,000 and 96,000 acres, respectively.

The Pl stratified estimation procedures reduce variance associated with estimates of forest land area and produce more-precise estimates than simple random sampling. A statistical estimation technique is used to classify digital satellite imagery and initially stratify the land base as forest or nonforest to assign a representative acreage to each sample plot. Pixels within 0.04 mile ( 2 pixel widths) of a forest/nonforest boundary form two additional strata: (1) forest edge, and (2) nonforest edge. Forest pixels within 0.04 mile of the boundary on the forest side are classified as forest edge while pixels within 0.04 mile of the boundary on the nonforest side are classified as nonforest edge. The estimated population total for the variable is the sum across all strata of the product of each stratum's area (from the pixel count) and variable's mean per unit area (from plot measurements) for the stratum.

The P2 sample design utilizes a fixed-radius plot consisting of four subplots spaced 120 feet apart in a triangular fashion. The cumulative sample area of these four subplots is $1 / 6$ of an acre. The cluster plot is a 1.5 -acre circle that circumscribes the outer boundary of the three outer subplots. Trees $\geq 5$ inches d.b.h. are measured on each subplot. Trees $\geq 1.0$ but $<5.0$ inches d.b.h. and seedlings (<1.0-inch d.b.h.) are measured on a microplot ( $1 / 300$ of an acre; 6.8 -foot radius) on each of the four subplots. The microplot is offset 12 feet at 90 degrees from the subplot center. A unique feature of this plot design is in the mapping of different land use and forest conditions that are encountered on the cluster plot. Since the plots are placed on
the ground without bias, i.e., systematically but at a scale large enough to be considered random, there is a probability that the cluster plot will straddle more than one type of land use or forest condition. When this does occur, a boundary is drawn across the plot so that the different homogeneous units are identified and isolated.

There are two steps in the mapping process. The first step involves identifying forest and nonforest areas on the plot and establishing a boundary line on the plot if both are present. The second step involves identifying homogeneous areas in the forested portion of the plot based on six factors: (1) forest type, (2) stand size, (3) ownership, (4) stand density, (5) regeneration status, and (6) reserved status. These, too, are mapped into separate entities.

P3 procedures involve sampling on a subset ( $1 / 16$ th) of the P2 sample locations. P3 measurements are combined with P2 measurements to assess the overall health of forested ecosystems within each State. P3 data collection includes variables pertaining to tree crown health, down woody material (DWM), foliar ozone injury, lichen diversity, and soil composition. Tree crown health, DWM, and soil composition measurements are collected by using the same plot design used during P2 data collection, while lichen data are collected within a 120-foot-radius circle centered on subplot one of each FIA P3 field plot.


## Appendix C—Reliability of the Data

A relative standard of accuracy has been incorporated into the forest survey. This standard satisfies user demands, minimizes human and instrumental sources of error, and keeps costs within prescribed limits. The two primary types of error are measurement error and sampling error.

## Measurement Error

Measurement error is also called nonsampling or data acquisition error. These are errors that arise in the acquisition, recording, or editing of statistical data (Burt and Barber 1996). There are three elements of measurement error: (1) biased error, caused by instruments not properly calibrated; (2) compensating error, caused by instruments of moderate precision; and (3) accidental error, caused by human error in measuring, recording, and compiling. All of these are held to a minimum by a system, the FIA quality assurance (QA) program that incorporates training, check plots, and editing and checking for consistency. The goal of the QA program is to provide a framework to assure the production of complete, accurate, and unbiased forest assessments for given standards.

It is not possible to determine measurement error statistically, but it is held to a minimum level through a number of quality control procedures. These methods include use of nationally standardized field manuals, use of portable data recorders (PDRs), thorough entry-level training, periodic review training, supervision, use of check plots, editing checks, and an emphasis on careful work. Additionally, data quality is assessed and documented by using performance measurements and post-survey assessments. These assessments are then used to identify areas of the data collection process that need improvement or refinement in order to meet quality objectives of the program.

Editing checks in the PDR and office screen out logical and data entry inconsistencies and errors for all plots. Use of PDRs also helps ensure that specified procedures are followed. The minimum national standards for annual training of field crews are: (1) a minimum of 40 hours for new employees, and (2) a minimum of 8 hours for returning employees. Field crew members are certified on a test plot. All crews are required to have at least one certified person present on the plot at all times.

Field audits consist of hot checks, cold checks, and blind checks. A hot check is an inspection normally done as part of the training process. The inspector is present with the crew to document crew performance as plots are measured. The recommended intensity for hot checks is 2 percent of the plots installed.

Cold checks are done at regular intervals throughout the field season. The crew that installed the plot is not present at the time of inspection and does not know when or which plots will be remeasured. The inspector visits the completed plot, evaluates the crew's data collection, and notes corrections where necessary. The recommended intensity for cold checks is 5 percent of the plots installed.

A blind check is a complete reinstallation measurement of a previously completed plot. However, the QA crew performs the remeasurement without the previously recorded data. This type of blind measurement provides a direct, unbiased observation of measurement precision from two independent crews. Plots selected for blind checks are chosen to be a representative subsample of all plots measured and are randomly selected. Blind checks are planned to take place within 2 weeks of the date of the field measurement. The recommended intensity for blind checks is 3 percent of the plots installed.

## Sampling Error

A measure of reliability of inventory statistics is provided by sampling errors. Sampling error is associated with the natural and expected deviation of the sample from the true population mean. This deviation is susceptible to a mathematical evaluation of the probability of error. Sampling errors for State totals are based on one standard deviation, meaning that the chances are two out of three that the true population value is within the limits indicated by a confidence interval.

FIA inventories supported by the full complement of sample plots are designed to achieve reliable statistics at the survey unit and State levels. However, users should note that sampling error increases as the area considered decreases in magnitude. Sampling errors and associated confidence intervals are often unacceptably high for small components of the total resource.

Sampling errors (in percent) and associated confidence intervals around the sample estimates for timberland area, inventory volumes, and components of change are presented in the following table C.l.

Statistical confidence may be computed for any subdivision of the State totals by using the following formula. Sampling
errors obtained from this method are only approximations of reliability because this process assumes constant variance across all subdivisions of totals.

$$
S E_{S}=S E_{t} \frac{\sqrt{X_{t}}}{\sqrt{X_{s}}}
$$

where
$\mathrm{SE}_{s}=$ sampling error for subdivision of State total
$\mathrm{SE}_{t}=$ sampling error for State total
$X_{s}=$ sum of values for the variable of interest (area or volume) for subdivision of State
$\mathrm{X}_{t}=$ total area or volume for State

For example, the estimate of sampling error for volume of softwood on timberland is computed as:

$$
S E_{S}=2.09 \frac{\sqrt{19,606.9}}{\sqrt{9,708.3}}=2.97
$$

Thus, the sampling error is 2.97 percent, and the resulting confidence interval (two times out of three) for softwood live-tree inventory on public timberland is 9,708.3 $\pm$ 288.3 million cubic feet.

| Variable | Sample estimate | Sampling error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | percent |
| Area (thousand acres) |  |  |
| Forest land | 62,481.0 | 0.90 |
| Timberland | 14,462.0 | 1.35 |
| Reserved forest land | 297.5 | 18.34 |
| Other forest land | 47,721.5 | 1.17 |
| All-live tree (million trees) |  |  |
| Inventory (forest land) | 19,935.5 | 1.51 |
| Inventory (timberland) | 8,569.5 | 1.98 |
| All-live volume (million cubic feet) |  |  |
| Inventory (forest land) | 32,354.0 | 1.54 |
| Inventory (timberland) | 19,606.9 | 2.09 |

Table D.1-Tree species by scientific and common name recorded on forest sampled conditions and $\geq 1.0$ inch d.b.h., Texas, 2008

| Scientific name ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Common name | Scientific name ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Common name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acer barbatum | Florida maple | Liquidambar styraciflua | Sweetgum |
| A. negundo | Boxelder | Maclura pomifera | Osage-orange |
| A. rubrum | Red maple | Magnolia grandiflora | Southern magnolia |
| Aesculus glabra var. arguta | Texas buckeye ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | M. spp. | Magnolia spp. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Ailanthus altissima | Ailanthus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | M. virginiana | Sweetbay |
| Albizia julibrissin | Mimosa/silktree ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Melia azedarach | Chinaberry |
| Amelanchier spp. | Serviceberry ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Morus alba | White mulberry ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Asimina triloba | Pawpaw ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | M. rubra | Red mulberry |
| Betula nigra | River birch | M. spp. | Mulberry spp. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| B. spp. | Birch spp. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | J. nigra | Black walnut |
| Bumelia lanuginosa | Chittamwood | Juniperus silicicola | Southern redcedar ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Carpinus caroliniana | American hornbeam | J. virginiana | Eastern redcedar |
| Carya aquatica | Water hickory | Nyssa aquatica | Water tupelo |
| C. cordiformis | Bitternut hickory | N. sylvatica | Blackgum |
| C. glabra | Pignut hickory | N. sylvatica var. biflora | Swamp tupelo |
| C. illinoensis | Pecan | Ostrya virginiana | Eastern hophornbeam |
| C. laciniosa | Shellbark hickory ${ }^{b}$ | Oxydendrum arboreum | Sourwood ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| C. myristiciformis | Nutmeg hickory ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Persea borbonia | Redbay |
| C. ovata | Shagbark hickory | Pinus echinata | Shortleaf pine |
| C. spp. | Hickory spp. | P. elliottii | Slash pine |
| C. texana | Black hickory | P. palustris | Longleaf pine |
| C. tomentosa | Mockernut hickory | P. taeda | Loblolly pine |
| Castanea pumila | Allegheny chinkapin ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | P. virginiana | Virginia pine |
| Catalpa bignonioides | Southern catalpa | Planera aquatica | Water-elm, planertree |
| Celtis laevigata | Sugarberry | Platanus occidentallis | Sycamore |
| C. occidentalis | Hackberry | Populus deltoides | Eastern cottonwood |
| Cercis canadensis | Eastern redbud | P. spp. | Cottonwood and poplar spp. |
| Cornus florida | Flowering dogwood | Prosopis pubescens | Screwbean mesquite ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Crataegus crus-galli | Cockspur hawthorn ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Prunus americana | Wild plum ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| C. mollis | Downy hawthorn ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | P. serotina | Black cherry |
| C. spp. | Hawthorn | P. spp. | Cherry and plum other |
| Diospyros virginiana | Common persimmon |  | than black ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Fagus grandifolia | American beech | P. virginiana | Chokecherry ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Fraxinus americana | White ash | Quercus alba | White oak |
| F. caroliniana | Carolina ash ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Q. falcata var. falcata | Southern red oak |
| F. pennsy/vanica | Green ash | Q. falcata var. pagodifolia | Cherrybark oak |
| Gleditsia aquatica | Waterlocust | Q. incana | Bluejack oak |
| G. triacanthos | Honeylocust | Q. laevis | Turkey oak ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| llex opaca | American holly | Q. laurifolia | Laurel oak |
| Juglans cinerea | Butternut ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Q. Iyrata | Overcup oak |

Table D.1-Tree species by scientific and common name recorded on forest sampled conditions and $\geq 1.0$ inch d.b.h., Texas, 2008 (continued)

| Scientific name ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Common name | Scientific name ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Common name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quercus marilandica | Blackjack oak | Salix amygdaloides | Peachleaf willow ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Q. michauxii | Swamp chestnut oak | S. nigra | Black willow |
| Q. minima | Dwarf live oak ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | S. spp. | Willow |
| Q. muehlenbergii | Chinkapin oak | Sapindus drummondii | Western soapberry ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Q. nigra | Water oak | Sapium sebiferum | Chinese tallowtree |
| Q. nuttallii | Nuttall oak | Sassafras albidum | Sassafras |
| Q. phellos | Willow oak | Taxodium distichum | Baldcypress |
| Q. rubra | Northern red oak ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Tilia americana | American basswood |
| Q. shumardii | Shumard oak | T. americana var. |  |
| Q. spp. | Oak spp.-deciduous | caroliniana | Carolina basswood |
| Q. stellata | Post oak | Ulmus alata | Winged elm |
| Q. stellata var. margaretta | Dwarf post oak ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ulmus americana | American elm |
| Q. stellata var. mississippiensis | Delta post oak | U. crassifolia | Cedar elm |
| Q. velutina | Black oak | U. pumila | Siberian elm ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Q. virginiana | Live oak | U. rubra | Slippery elm |
| Robinia pseudoacacia Salix alba | Black locust White willow ${ }^{b}$ | U. spp. | Elm spp. |

D.b.h. $=$ diameter at breast height.
${ }^{a}$ Little (1979).
${ }^{b}$ Taxa with an average basal area $<1.0$ square feet per 1,000 acres.

Table E.1—Industries included in the forest products sector by group category and with corresponding NAICS and IMPLAN sector codes and general description

| Forest products sector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAICS } \\ & 2007 \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | IMPLAN sector | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Timber logging | 1131-2 | 15 | Forestry, forest products, and timber tract production |
|  | 1133 | 16 | Commercial logging |
| Primary |  |  |  |
| Sawmill panel | 3211 | 95 | Sawmills and wood preservation |
|  | 321211-2 | 96 | Veneer and plywood manufacturing |
|  | 321219 | 98 | Reconstituted wood product manufacturing |
| Pulp | 32211 | 104 | Pulpmills |
|  | 32212 | 105 | Paper mills |
|  | 32213 | 106 | Paperboard mills |
| Secondary |  |  |  |
| Durable goods | 321213-4 | 97 | Engineered wood member and truss manufacturing |
|  | 32191 | 99 | Wood windows and doors and millwork manufacturing |
|  | 32192 | 100 | Wood container and pallet manufacturing |
|  | 321991 | 101 | Manufactured home (mobile home) manufacturing |
|  | 321992 | 102 | Prefabricated wood building manufacturing |
|  | 321999 | 103 | All other miscellaneous wood product manufacturing |
|  | 33711 | 295 | Wood kitchen cabinet and countertop manufacturing |
|  | 337122 | 297 | Nonupholstered wood household furniture manufacturing |
|  | 337129 | 300 | Wood television, radio, and sewing machine cabinet manufacturing |
|  | 337211-12 | 301 | Office furniture and custom architectural woodwork and millwork manufacturing |
| Nondurable goods | 32221 | 107 | Paperboard container manufacturing |
|  | 322221-2 | 108 | Coated and laminated paper, packaging paper and plastics film manufacturing |
|  | 322223-6 | 109 | All other paper bag and coated and treated paper manufacturing |
|  | 32223 | 110 | Stationery product manufacturing |
|  | 322291 | 111 | Sanitary paper product manufacturing |
|  | 322299 | 112 | All other converted paper product manufacturing |

NAICS = North American industry classification system; IMPLAN = IMpact analysis for PLANning.

Table E.2-Texas counties sorted by FIA survey unit

| Southeast | North Central | South | West Central | Northwest | Northwest (cont'd) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angelina | Austin | Aransas | Bandera | Andrews | Lynn |
| Chambers | Bastrop | Atascosa | Bell | Archer | Martin |
| Grimes | Brazos | Bee | Bexar | Armstrong | Midland |
| Hardin | Burleson | Brazoria | Blanco | Bailey | Mitchell |
| Harris | Caldwell | Brooks | Bosque | Baylor | Moore |
| Houston | Clay | Calhoun | Brown | Borden | Motley |
| Jasper | Collin | Cameron | Burnet | Briscoe | Nolan |
| Jefferson | Colorado | Dimmit | Callahan | Carson | Ochiltree |
| Leon | Cooke | Duval | Coleman | Castro | Oldham |
| Liberty | Dallas | Fort Bend | Comal | Childress | Parmer |
| Madison | Delta | Frio | Comanche | Cochran | Potter |
| Montgomery | Denton | Galveston | Concho | Coke | Randall |
| Newton | DeWitt | Hidalgo | Coryell | Collingsworth | Reagan |
| Orange | Ellis | Jackson | Crockett | Cottle | Roberts |
| Polk | Falls | Jim Hogg | Eastland | Crosby | Scurry |
| Sabine | Fannin | Jim Wells | Edwards | Dallam | Shackelford |
| San Augustine | Fayette | Karnes | Erath | Dawson | Sherman |
| San Jacinto | Freestone | Kenedy | Gillespie | Deaf Smith | Sterling |
| Trinity | Goliad | Kleberg | Hamilton | Dickens | Stonewall |
| Tyler | Gonzales | La Salle | Hays | Donley | Swisher |
| Walker | Grayson | Live Oak | Hood | Fisher | Taylor |
| Waller | Guadalupe | McMullen | Kendall | Floyd | Terry |
|  | Hill | Matagorda | Kerr | Foard | Throckmorton |
| Northeast | Hopkins | Maverick | Kimble | Gaines | Tom Green |
| Anderson | Hunt | Nueces | Kinney | Garza | Wheeler |
| Bowie | Jack | Refugio | Lampasas | Glasscock | Wichita |
| Camp | Johnson | San Patricio | Llano | Gray | Wilbarger |
| Cass | Kaufman | Starr | McCulloch | Hale | Yoakum |
| Cherokee | Lamar | Victoria | McLennan | Hall |  |
| Franklin | Lavaca | Webb | Mason | Hansford | West |
| Gregg | Lee | Wharton | Medina | Hardeman | Brewster |
| Harrison | Limestone | Willacy | Menard | Hartley | Crane |
| Henderson | Milam | Wilson | Mills | Haskell | Culberson |
| Marion | Montague | Zapata | Palo Pinto | Hemphill | Ector |
| Morris | Navarro | Zavala | Real | Hockley | El Paso |
| Nacogdoches | Parker |  | Runnels | Howard | Hudspeth |
| Panola | Rains |  | San Saba | Hutchinson | Jeff Davis |
| Red River | Robertson |  | Schleicher | Irion | Loving |
| Rusk | Rockwall |  | Somervell | Jones | Pecos |
| Shelby | Tarrant |  | Stephens | Kent | Presidio |
| Smith | Washington |  | Sutton | King | Reeves |
| Titus | Wise |  | Travis | Knox | Terrell |
| Upshur | Young |  | Uvalde | Lamb | Upton |
| Van Zandt |  |  | Val Verde | Lipscomb | Ward |
| Wood |  |  | Williamson | Lubbock | Winkler |
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This bulletin describes forest resources of the State of Texas at the time of the 2008 forest inventory. This bulletin addresses forest area, volume, growth, removals, mortality, forest health, timber product output, and the economy of the forest sector.
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[^0]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

[^1]:    - = no sample for the cell.
    ${ }^{a}$ Categories are not exclusive.

[^2]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding. $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

[^3]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

[^4]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

[^5]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

[^6]:    Logging residue from harvest activity. (photo by

[^7]:    D.b.h. = diameter at breast height; $\mathrm{SE}=$ standard error.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

    - = SE is not presented for species groups with number of trees <20.
    ${ }^{a}$ SE calculations consider the clustering of trees on plots.

[^8]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.

[^9]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

[^10]:    Numbers in columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

[^11]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^12]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.

[^13]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^14]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
    ${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^15]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Excludes rotten, missing, and form cull defects volume.
    ${ }^{b}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^16]:    ${ }^{c}$ International $1 / 4$-inch rule.

[^17]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

[^18]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Estimates of carbon calculated by multiplying aboveground dry tree biomass by 0.5 .

[^19]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^20]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^21]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^22]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).

[^23]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding
    $0.0=$ no sample for the cell or a value of $>0.0$ but $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{a}$ Palm species have been included (species codes 906 to 915 ).
    ${ }^{b}$ International $1 / 4$-inch rule.

[^24]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

[^25]:    Numbers in rows and columns may not sum to totals due to rounding.

